

BID TAB SUMMARY - RESURFACING GROUP 2 (BCR-2025-2)													
		Harwell and Company, LLC		APAC Alabama Inc.		Ammons & Blackmon Construction, LLC		Wiregrass Construction Co.		Asphalt Services, Inc.			
Alternate 1 - Thirty Nine Roads - All Labor and Material		TOTAL: NO BID		TOTAL: REJECTED		TOTAL: NO BID		TOTAL: \$ 2,447,577.77		TOTAL: \$ 2,134,485.00			
Alternate 2 - Thirty Nine Roads - All Labor and Material Except County Provided Asphalt Plant Mix		Lay Down: \$ 698,410.96		Lay Down: NO BID		Lay Down: \$ 556,976.50		Lay Down: NO BID		Lay Down: \$ 790,387.00			
		Indexed Asphalt Cost: \$ 1,330,673.88		Indexed Asphalt Cost: \$ -		Indexed Asphalt Cost: \$ 1,330,673.88		Indexed Asphalt Cost: \$ -		Indexed Asphalt Cost: \$ 1,330,673.88			
		TOTAL: \$ 2,029,084.84		TOTAL: \$ -		TOTAL: \$ 1,887,650.38		TOTAL: \$ -		TOTAL: \$ 2,121,060.88			
		Bid Bond Included		YES		YES		YES		YES		YES	
		Contract Included		YES		YES		YES		YES		YES	
		Addendum Included		YES		NO		YES		YES		YES	

Ammons and Blackmon Construction is the lowest bidder utilizing Alternate 2 (All Labor & Materials Except County Provided Asphalt Plant Mix).

Ammons and Blackmon had an error in their total bid calculation resulting in the increase of their proposal by \$4,546.50. this did not change the outcome of the lowest bidder.

APAC Alabama Inc. did not provide the Addendum that was issued to this bid package, therefore their bid could not be calculated. This resulted in APAC Alabama Inc's bid to be rejected.

ENGINEER'S CERTIFICATE:

I, MARK ACREMAN, P.E., ASSISTANT COUNTY ENGINEER, HEREBY CERTIFY TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE THAT THE ABOVE IS A TRUE AND CORRECT BID TABULATION FOR THE ABOVE REFERENCED PROJECT.

Mark Acreman 4-2-25
MARK ACREMAN, P.E. Date