```
hearing at this point.
1
         Does the applicant have anything you'd like for us
2
3
    to know?
4
                              (No response.)
5
              CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Where is the applicant?
              MR. WILLIAM MORGAN: (Standing.) No, sir.
6
              CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. We'll close
7
8
    the public hearing at this point. Staff has recommended
9
    approval of this to the County Commission. Is there a
    motion to do so?
10
               COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE: So moved.
11
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okay.
                                           There is a motion
12
13
    on the table to -- to recommend approval. Is there a
14
    second?
               COMMISSION MEMBER DANIEL NANCE: Second.
15
16
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: There is a second. All in
    favor, say aye.
17
18
                   (Commissioners say "aye" in unison.)
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All opposed?
19
20
                              (No response.)
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Motion carries.
21
22
            7-D - CASE Z-18020 - LOXLEY SUPER STORAGE PROPERTY
23
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Next one Z-18020, Loxley
24
25
     Super Storage property.
               MS. LINDA LEE: The subject property is located
26
     on south side of Dawson Road, west of the State
27
     Highway 59 in Planning District 12. The subject property
28
```

```
hearing at this point.
         Does the applicant have anything you'd like for us
2
    to know?
3
                              (No response.)
4
5
              CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Where is the applicant?
              MR. WILLIAM MORGAN: (Standing.) No, sir.
6
7
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. We'll close
    the public hearing at this point. Staff has recommended
8
9
    approval of this to the County Commission. Is there a
    motion to do so?
10
               COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE:
11
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okay.
                                           There is a motion
12
    on the table to -- to recommend approval. Is there a
13
    second?
14
               COMMISSION MEMBER DANIEL NANCE: Second.
15
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: There is a second. All in
16
     favor, say aye.
17
18
                   (Commissioners say "aye" in unison.)
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All opposed?
19
                              (No response.)
20
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Motion carries.
21
22
23
            7-D - CASE Z-18020 - LOXLEY SUPER STORAGE PROPERTY
24
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Next one Z-18020, Loxley
25
     Super Storage property.
               MS. LINDA LEE: The subject property is located
26
    on south side of Dawson Road, west of the State
27
     Highway 59 in Planning District 12. The subject property
28
```

currently zoned RSF-1, Single-Family District, and is currently occupied with a storage building and fenced storage area.

The requested zoning designation is B-3, General Business District. According to the submitted information, the purpose of request is to allow for an additional storage building on an existing slab.

The subject property is approximately three-point-nine-nine (3.99) acres. Adjoining properties are residential. The Baldwin County Master Plan provides a future land use designation the residential for the subject property. If the re-zoning is approved, the future land use map will be amended to reflect the designation of commercial.

The surrounding future land use designations are residential and commercial. There are commercially zoned property with frontage on State Highway 59 just east of this property.

The requested change is compatible with the existing zoning of nearby properties to the north and east. The current use is commercial and was established prior to the implementation of zoning in Planning District 12.

Unless information to the contrary is revealed at the public hearing, staff feels this re-zoning application should be recommended for approval. And I'll answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any questions for Linda?

COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE: I've got

```
1
    quick one.
         Linda, it looks like there's a lot to the east of
2
3
    this property. It's also listed as RSF-1; is that
    correct?
4
5
               MS. LINDA LEE: Yes, there is RSF-1 to the
6
    east.
7
               COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE: Okay.
    everybody around it would be residentially zoned?
8
9
               MS. LINDA LEE: Correct.
10
               COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE: Okay.
                                                        I just
    wanted to make sure.
11
12
               MS. LINDA LEE: The parcel that's adjacent to
    the east is zoned residential, but it's my understanding
13
    that there is a commercial use on that first property
14
    that's adjacent to the east. But, yes, the zoning is
15
    residential.
16
17
               COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE:
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any other questions for
18
19
    Linda?
20
                               (No response.)
21
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Thank you, Linda.
               MS. LINDA LEE: You're welcome.
22
23
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: We'll open the public
     hearing at this point. There are three people signed up
24
25
     in support. I'd like one of you to come up and state
26
     your case. Any then the other two will have an
27
     opportunity to add to it, if you leave something out.
28
               THE COURT REPORTER: State your name, please.
```

```
My name is Taylor Pierce.
1
              MR. TAYLOR PIERCE:
2
    I'm the president of the Loxley Super Storage.
         We bought the business in 2016. And we feel like
3
    we've been good neighbors, cleaning the property. We
4
5
    removed an old mobile home that was falling apart. We
    also gave away the home that was previously there for
6
7
    free for someone to move to live in. We spent
    seventy-five hundred dollars ($7,500) removing that
8
    mobile home.
9
         And the plan going forward is, in this back lot, to
10
    add other building here on that pre-existing slab, which
11
12
    is currently parking for RVs at the moment, and, also, on
    the frontage road, to add fencing, six-foot privacy fence
13
    all the way around.
14
15
         Are there any questions?
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any questions for
16
    Mr. Pierce?
17
                               (No response.)
18
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. Thank you.
19
          Did he leave anything out, the other two people
20
21
    signed up to speak for it?
                  (Audience members indicate negatively.)
22
23
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS:
                                    Okay. Great. We've got
24
    one person signed up to speak against.
         Kay Wright.
25
               MS. KAY WRIGHT:
                               Hello.
                                        I'm Kay Wright.
26
    husband and I own the property that is directly south of
27
     this property. And we are adversely affected by
28
```

excessive water runoff.

We had no issues when we built our home in 1998 with any kind of runoff. And then once construction started on this property, we were flooded. Our driveway was flooded. The water ran all the way up to the foundation of our home.

We have excess water. Our humidity under our home is very high. We have had termite issues because of excessive humidity and water in our home. We can't even renew our termite bond, because nobody will touch us because of the fact that the humidity is so high under our house.

We've had our floors redone. They buckled because of the humidity in our house is forty percent (40%). And it's all because of this the water runoff from this property.

The holding pond this was built is inadequate. It dumps all the water onto our property. All this paved area, all the water runs on to us.

And I am totally in objection to any further development on this property. My husband and I called the County and complained when this was being built or after it was built. Because, of course, we didn't know it was going to be built until we saw it going up. And we called and complained.

We called the original builder asking him to call us. They refused to call us. We called the County, called the County, they finally sent

```
an engineer out. The engineer said, well, you know, it's
1
2
    not right, but, oh, well.
         And I want to ask, do you guys have any records of
3
4
    any of the complaints we've made on this property?
5
              CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: We wouldn't, as a
    Commission. But I'm sure whoever you made the complaints
6
7
    to would have the record.
              MS. KAY WRIGHT: Okay. But, anyway, I am
8
    adversely affected with the severe runoff from this
9
    property. The back end of our property, which is -- we
10
    have four acres that run straight back from this
11
12
    property. And that stays so wet that my husband can't
    even mow the grass.
13
         The neighbor that is south of us, they had to put in
14
    a pond to keep their driveway from washing out because of
15
    all the runoff. So we have a lot of issues with this
16
    piece of property.
17
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. We'll get
18
     staff come back and address the water situation.
19
         Any questions for Ms. Wright?
20
               COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE: Where is the
21
    holding pond?
22
23
               MS. KAY WRIGHT: The holding pond? You see
     this little area right here?
24
               COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE: Yeah.
25
               MS. KAY WRIGHT: That is the holding pond.
26
               COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE: Okay.
27
                                                         That's
28
     what I wanted to know.
```

```
1
               MS. KAY WRIGHT:
                                It is overgrown.
    don't -- it's never been properly maintained.
2
3
    original builder agreed to maintain. And then, of
    course, they lost it. And it was bank owned. Then no
4
5
    one maintains it. The new owners don't maintain it.
6
          So besides it not being maintained, it is not an
7
    adequate holding pond to keep water off me. Of course,
8
    this place is a nuisance to us. People are in and out
9
    all hours of the day and night and --
               COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE: That's fine.
10
11
    You answered the question.
12
               MS. KAY WRIGHT: Uh-huh. (Indicates
    affirmatively.)
13
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any other questions for
14
    Ms. Wright?
15
                               (No response.)
16
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okay.
17
                                           Thank you.
          Linda, would you like to come back up and address
18
     the water issue?
19
               MS. LINDA LEE: I'm actually going to have
20
21
    Mr. Peterson address the water issue. Although, I will
     state, on a major project, we will require a drainage
22
     plan at the time a land use is issued.
23
               MR. SETH PETERSON: One of the things of note
24
25
     on this application that I believe the proposed site
26
     plan -- Which you're not approving the site plan.
27
     proposed site plan we have, they're going to build on an
28
     existing slab. So, in theory, they wouldn't be
```

```
increasing the impervious area.
1
          However, with a case like this, we'd probably look
2
3
    at the existing pond and see if it's being maintained or
    needs additional work. I think we do that as far as the
 4
5
    land use process.
6
          It wouldn't be a condition on a re-zoning.
7
     just for your information, we would look at that at the
    land use process when they apply for the site plan.
8
9
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: If y'all did find the pond
    was not maintained, you would have it redone?
10
               MR. SETH PETERSON: We -- we could make that a
11
12
    requirement of land use as far as approval on the
    drainage side of things. However, moving forward, you
13
    know, ten years down the road, I don't know if there is a
14
15
    way to make them keep maintaining it.
16
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: I guess that's probably an
17
    issue for the County Commission.
          All right. Any questions for Seth or Linda?
18
19
                               (No response.)
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: We'll close the public
20
21
    hearing at this point.
               COMMISSION MEMBER DEWANE HAYES: I would like
22
23
    to ask Taylor another question.
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okay. Taylor Pierce.
24
          The public hearing -- I mean, the public hearing is
25
     still in session.
26
27
                 (Mr. Taylor Pierce approached the podium.)
               COMMISSION MEMBER DEWANE HAYES:
28
                                                 Taylor, you
```

```
said you removed a mobile home park. On this map, could
1
2
    you show me where you moved -- where you removed that
 3
    mobile home park at?
 4
               MR. TAYLOR PIERCE: This used to be a mobile
    home right here.
5
 6
               COMMISSION MEMBER DEWANE HAYES: A mobile home?
7
               MR. TAYLOR PIERCE: Yes, sir.
 8
               MS. LINDA LEE: One.
 9
               MR. TAYLOR PIERCE: One.
               MS. LINDA LEE: One mobile home. It wasn't a
1.0
    park.
11
               COMMISSION MEMBER DEWANE HAYES: Okay. Now, do
12
    you live in that house there?
13
14
               MR. TAYLOR PIERCE: No, sir. We gave that --
    that house away.
15
16
               COMMISSION MEMBER DEWANE HAYES: Okay.
17
               MR. TAYLOR PIERCE: And had it moved.
18
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: You want to say anything
19
     concerning the condition of the drainage pond or
20
     retention pond?
21
               MR. TAYLOR PIERCE: I will say that we can have
22
     it fixed and will have it fixed. And, also, about the --
23
     the people coming around late hours, we're going to put
     in a new gate. It'll be 6 a.m. to 9:00 p.m., a swipe
24
     access.
25
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any other questions for
26
    Mr. Pierce?
27
                               (No response.)
28
```

```
CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. Being none,
1
2
    thank you.
3
         We'll close the public hearing. Staff has
    recommended a recommendation of approval to the County
4
    Commission. Is there a motion to do so?
5
               COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE:
6
                                                 I make a
7
    motion to approve it contingent upon them repairing the
    stormwater retention pond.
8
9
               ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: Really, the -- the --
    the determination that should be made tonight is whether
10
    or not that zoning classification is appropriate for the
11
    property. And then any stormwater drainage issues will
12
    be addressed in the Building Department and the site plan
13
14
    review process.
         Normally, we don't put conditions like that. I
15
16
    understand why you're trying to do it. And I agree with
17
    you. We like to try to catch it. But I think -- I think
     that may be complicated when you do that.
18
19
               COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE: I make the
    recommendation to approve.
20
               COMMISSION MEMBER DANIEL NANCE: And I'll
21
    second.
22
23
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right.
                                                There is a
    motion to recommend for Commission approval and a second.
24
    All in favor, say aye.
25
                 (Commission Members say "aye" in unison.)
26
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All opposed?
27
28
                               (No response.)
```

CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Motion carries.

7-E - CASE Z-18021 - MCCLAIN TRAILERS INC PROPERTY

CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: The next case is Z-18021, McClain Trailers, Inc.

MS. LINDA LEE: The applicant is requesting re-zone approximately ten-point-five (10.5) acres from RA to M-1 to allow industrial use of the property and to sell trailers.

The subject property is located east side of State Highway 59, north of Hinote Glass Road in Planning District 12. The adjacent property to the north was re-zoned from R-1 to -- I'm sorry -- RA to M-1 in September 2008 for the Ecovery recycling business. The adjoining property to the south and east are zoned RA and have residential uses.

The Baldwin County Master Plan provide a future land use designation of rural for the subject property. If re-zoning is approved, the future land use map will be amended to reflect the designation of industrial. The surroundings future land use designations are industrial, rural, and commercial.

Staff is not aware of any opposition to this request. On your screen is the site map. This is a survey of the two parcels that are requested to be re-zoned. These are pictures of the subject property and adjacent properties and the property across 59 that is commercial.