BALDWIN COUNTY PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING 10/04/2018

```
Then it's a motion to recommend to the
   1
       the motion.
   2
       County Commission to approve this application.
   3
                 CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Is there a second?
   4
                 COMMISSION MEMBER BRANDON BIAS: Second.
                 CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okay. Let's have a
   5
   6
       rollcall vote.
   7
                MR. VINCE JACKSON: Mr. Oken?
                COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: Yes.
                MR. VINCE JACKSON: Mr. Monroe?
 --10
             COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE:
                                                  No.
  11
                MR. VINCE JACKSON: Mr. Nance?
  12
      You COMMISSION MEMBER DANIEL NANCE: Yes.
                MR. VINCE JACKSON: And Mr. Bias?
  13
     Medicommission member brandon bias: Yes.
14
1.5
       MR. VINCE JACKSON: So that motion carries on a
  16
      vote of three-to-one. And we will take that
  17
       recommendation to the County Commission.
                CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. Thank you.
  18
  19
  2.0
               8D - CASE Z-18046 THE RESERVE AT DAPHNE PROPERTY
  21
                CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Our final case is Z-18046
  22
       the Research at Daphne. Could we have a staff report?
  23
                MR. VINCE JACKSON: Yes, sir. This is a
       request for PRD site plan approval. The applicant is
  24
  25
       requesting site plan approval of a proposed development
  26
       to be known as the Reserve at Daphne consisting of six
       hundred nine (609) lots on approximately four hundred and
  27
  28
       sixty-nine (469) acres.
```

1.

1.4

.15

The subject property is located north of the Reserve at Daphne Phase I, south of Plantation Hills and east of County Road 54 in Planning District 7, 15 and 28.

Planning Districts 15 and 28 are zoned. Planning District 7 is un-zoned.

If this seems familiar to you, you have seen this before. This is the third time that you've looked at the Reserve at Daphne -- most of you.

When this first came before the Planning Commission,
May of 2018, the PRD at that time consisted of six
hundred and twelve (612) lots. Subsequent to Planning
Commission action, the plans for the PRD were revised to
include an additional six (6) lots. And as a result, it
was felt that further review by the Planning Commission
was necessary, so it came back to the Planning
Commission. And that revised site plan was again
recommended for approval this time on July 12, 2018.

Having said that, the application was withdrawn prior to any action by the County Commission because there was some issues that came up relative to the property. And also, at the time, it was expressed that they might add some additional lots.

What we've ended up now is a new application that actually has fewer lots than the original approval.

We're down to six hundred nine (609) lots now.

I would mention -- And I'm just gonna go through the maps. This is the locator map, area photography, this is a survey, and then this is the proposed site plan.

```
And if you'll notice, at the west side of the
      1
     2
         development, there is some property that has been set
      3
          aside for a school site with frontage on County Road 54.
              The staff recommends approval of the PRD site plan
         to the County Commission. A PRD site plan approval works
     5
         the same way as a re-zoning where you are a recommending
      6
     7
         body to the County Commission.
     8
              And I would point out that you have already
         recommended approval on this twice. So we are asking
        magain for a recommendation to approve.
 10
          CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. Thank you,
     11
     12
         Vince.
    13
              Any questions for Vince?
14 / Lange ( )
                                    (No response.)
          , and CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. There's three
    15
         people signed up to speak for and only one in opposition.
  16
     17
              Casey Hill?
     18
                   MS. CASEY HILL: Good evening. My name is
     19
         Casey Hill. I work with Dewberry, and I'm representing
         the developer for this application for the modification.
    20
         I'm more than happy to answer any questions that you may
    21
     22
         have. And I appreciate your time.
    23
                   CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any questions for
         Ms. Hill?
    24
     25
                   COMMISSION MEMBER SPENCE MONROE: Which lots
    26
         did you eliminate?
    27
                   MS. CASEY HILL: Well, we eliminated -- Let's
               We eliminated nine (9) overall. I don't exactly
    28
```

1000

BALDWIN COUNTY PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING 10/04/2018

remember where they are in terms of the entire development. It's six hundred-ish lots, so it would be kind of hard for me to remember.

But the reason that happened was because they changed certain lot dimensions from sixty (60) foot wide to sixty-two (62) foot wide. So, in essence, it took away nine (9) lots overall.

CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: I don't remember if I said that the public hearing was open or not, but it is open.

Okay. Well, thank you.

.. 9

favor. Have y'all got anything to add at this point?

MSL-LOUISA TOLER: Yes. I am Louisa Toler.

And I'm not speaking against the development.

At the County Commission meeting on June the 19th, I did voice my concerns about the situation where you have to drive so far to the north border of this property and voiced my concerns about the need for the people at the far north extremities to have some access for exit area to Highway 90.

Because there are almost four hundred (400) houses that have no way out except across a bridge there to the south with where the road gives you a choice of going to Highway 64 or Highway 54.

So at the County Commission meeting on June the 19th, I asked that consideration be given to looking into the matter of finding an outlet to give these people another way out of this property to the north.

```
1
             So I'm asking for a progress report. Because the
        County Commission did view that situation favorably in
    2
        terms of safety and emergency situations.
    3
             And so I want to know has the developer made any
    4
    5
        progress in finding an access route to Highway 90.
                  CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. We'll get them
    6
    7
        to come back and answer that question after we hear from
        anyone else that's here.
    8
9 ( ) ( )
                 MS. LOUISA TOLER: Okav.
         CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Mr. Bolar, have you got
   \cdot 10
   11
        anything?
   12
                 MR. FRED BOLAR:
                                   No.
        CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Carol McKnight signed up
   13
   14
        in opposition.
   15
         MS. CAROL MCKNIGHT: Good evening. I have to
       acknowledge that Ms. Toler is my sister. And I'm not
  16
        totally opposed to this program, but I am still concerned
   17
        about the infrastructure. The traffic and the situation
   18
        is horrible. It's only gonna get worse.
   19
   20
             On 54 east at 64, there's a plan for a school that
        according to a school board member told me this week that
   21
   22
        they anticipate seven hundred (700) children going to
   23
        that school, which should open in 2020.
   24
            On this map, you also have a potential school
   25
        location. Although the rumor is going around the
       community that there's to be a middle school there,
   26
       Mr. Tyler's office could not confirm that for me nor
   27
   28
       could a school board member. I have not received a
```

r 3.

response from somebody else. But there is that potential.

- 9

In addition, we have Patolla Farms that has nine hundred (900) units that's well underway. They're just tearing up ground all over the place. And some of that property also accesses -- ingress/egress on 54 west.

South of 64, we had a commercial corner that was just approved by the County Commission recently. The traffic backs up tremendously in the mornings.

At the intersection where I live, which is 64 in Rigsby on the northeast corner, there's currently a single caution light. I understand that there have been at least four deaths at that intersection, traumatic brain injuries, a few minor things like that, some very recently.

There's also a church that rents part of their property to a school, so you have a school there. You have a double caution light at the 54 west property, but you still -- There's no control of traffic flow in that area.

The speed limit from Loxley all the way to -shortly before you get to 181 is 55. They did install
recently a flashing light for that school zone, but
that's only during school hours.

So we need to do something about traffic. We need to do something about speed limits, because congestion is only getting worse. It's very difficult to get onto 64 if you're coming from the north or from the south. It's

really a problem.

. 14

. 15

- 16

At the County Commission meeting the other week when I addressed this issue relating to the re-zoning to commercial on that 54 west corner, the County Engineer said that they are looking at a roundabout to put at the Rigsby off 64 intersection.

That first came up at -- I first heard about it at a political forum back in June, I think, at the civic center when Mr. Dorsey said that they were looking at that.

A slightly different version is that I had heard that there is already money allocated for a traffic light at that intersection. It would seem to me that it would be very appropriate to look seriously at doing two things to help relieve some of this issue, and that's putting a couple of traffic lights and see what happens before they start spending two million dollars or so to do a roundabout at an intersection that is just a wash with drainage that goes down to Fish River, waterlines, all kinds of utilities and stuff in there.

Besides, you have structures on all four of those corners. But I am just asking that as part of looking at this project that some consideration be given to dealing with some of these horrendous traffic issues that are only gonna get worse.

And as she spoke, you know, you're dealing with emergency situations and little relief in the way of traffic.

```
CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS:
                                    Okay. Thank you.
1
    questions for this lady?
2
                               (No response.)
3
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS:
                                    Thank you, ma'am.
4
         Casey, would you come back up? The question was the
5
    addressing of an easement out onto or an exit out onto
6
    Highway 90. Would you address that?
7
              MS. CASEY HILL: Absolutely. It's my
8
    understanding that the previous two times that we've been
    there, weediscussed it at some point during the
10
    conversation. So, we have passed this information along
11
   ato the developer hand it's something that we are looking
12
    into.
13
    And we'll have a more definite answer, I believe, by
14
    the time that we get to actually filing a development
15
    permit application for those areas to the north. But at
16
    the moment, it's something that we're still discussing.
17
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. Thank you.
18
         Any other questions for Casey?
19
                               (No response.)
20
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okay. Thank you.
21
          We'll close the public hearing at this point.
22
     staff have anything else to add?
23
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: I was just gonna say that
24
     this is a multi-phase development, seven phases
25
     altogether. And so it's gonna take a while before they
26
     get up to the north. And that's the area where they
27
     could possibly be looking at a connection to Highway 90.
28
```

```
1
                And I think from my understanding it's something
           they're agreeable to look at. It's just there are some
       2
           details that would have to be worked out. But it's gonna
       3
           take a while before they get that far.
       4
       5
                Also, I wanted to mention that when this first came
          before you all, there was also a re-zoning application
       6
      7
           that accompanied the PRD re-zoning from rural
          agricultural to RSF-2. And that re-zoning has been
      8
          approved by the County Commission. So the property is --
          The zoned portions of the property are zoned RSF-2 now.
     1.0
                    CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. Any questions
    11
          for Vince?
     12
[ ] n a nu 13 | a a n ]
                                     (No response.)
          CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Thank you, Vince.
     14:
     15
               Any other discussion?
   16
                                     (No response.)
     17
                    CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: As Vince pointed out,
          we've already recommended this twice before. This is a
     18
          reduction of, I think he said, nine (9) lots from what we
     19
     20
          recommended last time.
     21
                    MR. VINCE JACKSON: Yes.
                    CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Is there any other
     22
     23
          discussion concerning this?
     24
                                     (No response.)
     25
                    CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: That being the case, is
          there a motion to approve this request?
     26
     27
                    COMMISSION MEMBER DANIEL NANCE: Motion to
     28
          approve.
```

BALDWIN COUNTY PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING 10/04/2018

```
CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS:
                                    Is there a second?
               COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: Second,
  2
  3
      Mr. Chairman.
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: There's a second. All in
  4
  5
      favor, say aye.
                  (Commission Members say "aye" in unison.)
  6
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All opposed?
  7
                               (No response.)
  8
      CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: It carries unanimously.
  9
    MR. VINCE JACKSON: And that concludes our
 10
 1.1
      agenda for tonight.
 12
                             10 - OLD BUSINESS
 13
         CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any old business?
- 14
        MR. VINCE JACKSON: No old business.
 15
 16
                       11 - REPORTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS
 17
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any staff reports?
 18
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: No staff reports.
 19
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Legal counsel report?
 20
               ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: No reports.
 21
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Next regular meeting,
 22
 23
      November 1.
 24
                             12 - ADJOURNMENT
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: We are adjourned.
 25
 26
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: Thank you very much.
 27
 28
```