MS. LINDA LEE: 1 Thank you. 2 8-E - CASE Z-19009 - SEAGLADE AT ST. ANDREWS BAY, PRD SITE PLAN 3 APPROVAL 4 CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okav. The last case is Z-19009, Seaglade at St. Andrews Bay. 5 6 ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: Mr. Chairman, I have a 7 conflict of interest on this item in that our firm represents Alabama Chapel, LLC. I spoke with Vince 8 9 before the meeting, and he did not believe there was any 10 legal issues. This is a recommendation to the County Commission. 11 12 And so if there are any legal issues that need to be 13 addressed, they can be address between the recommendation 14 and the time we proceed to the County Commission. 15 you. COMMISSION MEMBER BRANDON BIAS: Mr. Chairman, 16 17 I also have a conflict of interest in this case. 18 CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okay. So noted. Can we 19 have a staff report? 20 MR. VINCE JACKSON: Yes. This is a request for 21 PRD site plan approval. The subject property is located in Planning District 25, which is the Fort Morgan area. 22 23 The general location is the south side of Fort Morgan 24 Road, which is State Highway 180, west of Triple Tale 25 Lane and east of Pontoon Lane. 26 The applicant is requesting, as I stated, PRD site 27 plan approval for a twenty-seven (27) lot development on 28 ten-point-five-three (10.53) acres. The development, if

```
1
     approved, will be known as Seaglade at St. Andrews Bay.
 2
          This is located on the south side of Fort Morgan
     Road, again, west of Triple Tale Lane, and east of
 3
     Pontoon Lane.
 4
 5
          Here is the locator map showing the subject
 6
     property. It does include a portion which is zoned
 7
     RSF-4, and a portion which is zoned RSF-1.
 8
          You have already seen the RSF-4 portion. You dealt
     with a re-zoning.
                        The property was originally zoned
10
     RSF-1, and the County Commission approved the re-zoning
11
     to RSF-4, I believe -- Let me -- let me check that real
     quick -- in 2017. And the recommendation from the
12
13
     Planning Commission at that time was approval.
14
          If you notice, this is an unusual piece of property.
15
     Those -- All of those lines that you see on there
16
     surrounded in blue, those are lots of record.
17
     are -- those are ninety-five (95) tiny, little lots that
18
    was approved back in 1984 as a development called Palm
19
     Tree Penthouse, which was never constructed.
20
          At the time of the re-zoning of this property, the
21
     applicant at that time envisioned seventeen (17) lots.
22
    And the seventeen (17) lots envisioned at this time are
     consistent with the seventeen (17) lots that are proposed
23
     for this portion of the property.
24
          With the RSF-1 portion, you can't see this on the
25
26
     locator map, but I'll go to another map where it shows up
27
    better. There are actually ten (10) lots of record on
28
    that parcel, which are shown on one parcel's ID number.
```

If you look at this proposed layout, you notice toward that end of the property how the lot lines actually extend further. Those are the -- those are the existing lots of record.

1.6

Now, those would become -- those would lose some of their depth because of that deed-restrictive common area which is shown to the rear of those lots. And that's one of the reasons that they requested the PRD.

The developers have been working with Fish and Wildlife. This is beach mouse habitat, except this is being planned in an effort to address the beach mouse issues. And they have been working with Fish and Wildlife.

Now, one thing you'll notice in your -- in your staff report, it mentions the gravel road which would be constructed at the front of the lots. However, we have -- and we have some -- some -- some letters from residents of the Fort Morgan area expressing opposition to the gravel road.

We also have information from Fish and Wildlife that they would not approve a gravel road. They would approve a concrete or asphalt. And we communicated that information with applicant. They are aware. They have said that they are agreeable to do concrete or asphalt.

And so if an approval is granted, we will need to state on the record that the approval does not include the gravel road as shown on the site plan.

We do think that this is a reasonable request. This

```
helps to correct this unusual situation that was left
 2
     over from 1984. Like I said, you've ninety-five (95)
 3
     lots of record on that parcel. And while they are
     nonconforming, they are lots of record. And,
 4
 5
     theoretically, they could be built on.
                                             I mean, it's
 6
     unlikely, but stranger things have happened.
 7
          We think this is a good plan. We think that this is
 8
     consistent with the original re-zoning. It's consistent
     with the lots of record, which are existing on the RSF-1
10
    portion of the property. And they are taking steps to
     address the beach mouse issues and make sure the habitat
11
12
     is protected.
13
          They are proposing -- the large lot size is thirteen
14
     thousand, three hundred ninety-six (13,396) square feet.
     And the smallest would be six thousand, seven fifty-one
15
16
     (6,751) but that would be in the area with the lots of
17
     record where they become smaller because of the
18
    deed-restricted common area.
19
          They are proposing one hundred eighty-three
20
     thousand, seven hundred six (183,706) square feet of open
21
     space, which is approximately forty percent (40%) of the
     entire development. We only require twenty percent
22
     (20%). So they will be exceeding the open space
23
24
     requirement. And that would include the -- the
25
    deed-restricted common area, which would be Lots 18
26
    through 27 of the RSF-1 area.
27
          Once again, we do feel that this is a reasonable
28
     request.
              We did have some letter of opposition that were
```

```
1
    submitted regarding the gravel road. And you should have
2
    received those.
         We also, if you'll notice in your staff report,
3
    there's a letter of opposition there that refers to this
4
5
    as a proposed commercial development. It is not
    commercial. These are going to be single-family,
6
7
    residential lots, single-family detached homes. They
    will not be condominiums.
8
         The zoning designations make no provisions
9
    whatsoever for commercial use. I want to be real clear.
10
11
    This is not a commercial re-zoning. This is actually a
12
    PRD site plan approval.
13
         The process works in much the same way as a
14
    re-zoning, where the Planning Commission makes a
15
    recommendation to the County Commission. And the County
    Commission will have the final vote.
16
         And the staff recommendation is for approval.
17
18
    will be happy to answer any questions you-all have.
19
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any questions for Vince?
20
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: Vince, a
21
    simple question. When we talked previous to this with
22
    the PRD, it was our understanding -- And I delivered it
23
    to the people at Fort Morgan -- that it was because of
    Fish and Wildlife, they wanted the gravel road rather
24
25
    than the pavement.
26
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: I think there was a
27
    misunderstanding. And in the feedback -- I mean, that --
28
    that was our understanding, that -- that, you know -- and
```

2.6

part of the reason for the PRD was because of the gravel road, because the regs requires pavement. But there are other reasons for the PRD as well. That was not the only reason.

And in communicating what we learned from Fish and Wildlife to the applicant, I think the applicant's original understanding was they would be okay to do a gravel road as well.

We didn't find out until Tuesday that Fish and Wildlife had an issue with the gravel road. So, you know, if we had known prior to writing the staff report, we would have provided that information earlier than what we did.

COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: The reason I ask is because just recently Baldwin County graveled the end on the beach side of Fort Morgan on the gulf, the very end of Mobile Street.

MR. VINCE JACKSON: Uh-huh. (Indicates affirmatively.)

COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: And it is an all-gravel parking area, which is under ITP, the beach mouse, the whole bit. And I don't understand the difference in what they originally said and what they're saying now.

MR. VINCE JACKSON: I can't speak to that. I mean, I -- I guess it would have been the Highway

Department that did that. And that's -- you know, I'm not involved with paving of beach access. So, you know,

```
1
    you may want to direct that question to the Highway
2
    Department or directly to Fish and Wildlife.
3
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: Is there a
4
    possibility that Fish and Wildlife has a ruling on
5
    streets, whether they be paved or graveled or just sand
6
    or whatever --
7
              MR. VINCE JACKSON: We can --
8
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: -- that we
    could find for future reference?
9
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: We could ask Mr. Lynn if
10
11
    there is a particular provision. We could incorporate
12
    that. We'll be glad to look into it.
13
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any other questions for
    Vince?
14
15
                               (No response.)
16
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Thank you.
                                                Vince.
17
         We'll open the public hearing at this time.
18
    going to do it a little differently. We're going to
19
    start with the opposition, because we have engineers here
20
    for the program. So by starting with the opposition,
21
    we'll get your questions on the record and then hopefully
2.2
    get those answered.
23
          So it looks like we've got three people signed up
    against. Who would like to start?
24
25
                (An audience member approached the podium.)
2.6
               THE COURT REPORTER: And state your name,
27
    please.
               MR. GREG STRATEGIER: My name is Greg
28
```

```
Strategier. I live at corner of Pontoon and Ponce de
1
2
    Leon. So this is adjacent or close to where live.
 3
          I really want to -- I'm happy about the gravel road,
    that it won't be allowed. But I'm more here -- kind of
 4
    what Bonnie said. I attended the Fort Morgan meeting to
5
 6
    approve this.
7
          And, Bonnie, you and the other Commissioners from
8
    Planning and Zoning stated this wasn't an approval for
    this -- this site. It was an approval -- that this
9
    development was already approved, this PDR, and it was
10
11
    only related to the road.
12
          And it doesn't look like that's true. It looks like
13
    this has not been approved, and we're doing that today.
14
    And so if you came to the Fort Morgan meeting -- I've
15
    been going there for a couple years. There might be
    three or four people show up. There was probably
16
17
    twenty-five (25) people there and all upset about it.
18
          So I feel like this is an injustice to move this
19
    forward without doing the public hearing again at the
20
    Fort Morgan level or to get an advertisement out there
    that's clear.
21
22
          It -- it's really misleading. Because we were
23
    told -- and there's several people here in this room
24
    tonight that went to that meeting. And we were basically
25
    told it's about the road. The development's already
26
    approved.
27
          And that really took the wind out of everybody's
```

sails. That's why not many people showed up here

28

```
tonight. And so that's -- that's my biggest complaint.
2
    I'm not thrilled about having a subdivision where people
    do what people do. Like we said, there's progress.
3
4
    I think it's an injustice for the people of the area not
5
    to put this out more clearly, and particularly when they
6
    did show up to a meeting to express their opinion just to
    be told it was already done.
7
8
          So that's -- that's my biggest complaint tonight.
    I'm very happy about the road not being gravel.
10
    very concerned about that. But I don't think you're
11
    going to get a fair representation of the people who live
12
    in the area and property owners unless we tried -- there
    needs to be better communication out there about this
13
    subdivision.
14
15
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okay. As far as this
16
    Commission is concerned tonight, this would be -- if
17
    staff recommendation is taken and followed or
18
    recommended, it would only be a recommendation to the
19
    County Commission.
20
              MR. GREG STRATEGIER: Yes.
21
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: So then the County
22
    Commission will have a hearing thirty (30) days or so
23
    from now, by which time anyone that may have
24
    misunderstood the first hearing at Fort Morgan would be
25
    able to address their County Commissioners and also
26
    attend the meeting.
27
               MR. GREG STRATEGIER: Yeah.
                                            I'll -- I'll get
28
    the word out so they'll better understand the process.
```

```
1
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right.
2
              MR. GREG STRATEGIER: Thank you.
3
              CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any questions for this
    gentleman?
4
5
               COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: Yes, sir.
    you do favor the PRD, you just --
6
7
              MR. GREG STRATEGIER: I do not.
8
              COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: You do not
    favor it?
9
10
              MR. GREG STRATEGIER: I do not favor it.
11
              COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: Thank you.
12
              MR. GREG STRATEGIER: I'm very happy about the
13
    road part, but I do not favor it.
14
              CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okay. Thank you, sir.
15
              MR. GREG STRATEGIER: All right.
16
              CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Anyone else in opposition
17
    would like to address?
              AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Mr. Chairman, I came in
18
    late, so I didn't sign up.
19
20
              CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS:
                                    Okay.
              THE COURT REPORTER: And you're going to have
21
22
    to state your name and spell it for me since you don't
    have a sheet.
23
24
              AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'll do that.
25
              THE COURT REPORTER:
                                    Thank you.
26
              MR. MICHAEL LUDVIGSEN:
                                       My name is Michael
27
    Ludvigsen.
                That's L-U-D-V-I-G-S-E-N. And I live on Fort
28
    Morgan Road as well.
```

So there is obviously some concern about the development itself. And obviously there is a bigger concern about the process.

1.3

And so I do have a question for y'all to start off with; and that is, as the Fort Morgan group, the Planning and Zoning group makes recommendations, I'm not sure where I see that in this whole process.

Bonnie sits on that group. A number of us attend those meetings. And we always felt the reason for that process is to mitigate a hundred (100) of us showing up at this meeting so that that information gets communicated to this group kind of in a compiled method so that you don't have a hundred (100) of us showing up, and so that our -- our wants or our desires or our concerns are -- are conveyed to this group.

So in watching this, I'm not sure where that occurs. I haven't seen anything on the sheet of paper that says that group approved or disapproved or was in favor or not in favor nor have I heard anything. So that's just to convey that concern to you.

The other thing that I think is a concern as I watch this process is there's an awful lot verbal communication between developers, between applicants, to both our group and you as well, and to staff.

And so this confusion about the gravel road is a good example. I'm the one that talked to Bill. Bill and I serve on a couple committees together. And I mentioned to him the gravel road and said, hey, you know that did

get approved. And the Fort Morgan group, and the big thing is the gravel road that -- that you want. And he conveyed to me, I never said that.

So that's -- that's a concern to me that there's nothing in writing from Fish and Wildlife to say here's what we want, you know.

So it's all this communicating is going on, but there's nothing solid. And I think that's real important when we're looking at this, whether it's at the Fort Morgan level or your level, we know in fact that that's what they're requesting or that's what they have asked for.

And we never see that. It's a lot of discussion in general that's coming from the developer or from the property owners that wants something changed. So that is kind of a concern to me, too.

I'm -- I'm -- I'm not even going to get into all of the minutia of why, I think, generally we're -- we're against this. Obviously, we don't like to see, you know, density increase. And we're really pushing for that.

I know that the current lots were much smaller. And I understand that. And the perception is that because they are lots of record, that they're buildable. And that simply, in my experience, is not the case. Just because they're there and they're tiny doesn't mean they're buildable.

I own a property in Mobile at the loop area. And my property is not constructible at all, even though I've

got lots that are ten-by-fifteen (10X15). And they're lots of record. We pay tax on it, but they're not constructible.

So just because of that, that's not a reason to re-zone and allow this development to go forward, just because we're reducing the density from what appears to be on the -- on the map.

So just as we move forward, I think clarification on these points would be helpful, and it would eliminate some of the confusion and some of the frustration, I think, from the other property owners.

I think you covered it well. I really think -- I understand how far it's gotten, but I can tell you now there's going to be a huge uproar from the folks on Fort Morgan to find out that the whole thing is not what we expected and not what we thought.

And I hate to throw it back that way, but I really think that's the only fair way to do this at this point, is to go back to that and, you know, be transparent with what's really happened.

Because that's the way it was presented, Bonnie is one hundred percent (100%) right, it was presented that the only reason for doing this was the gravel road.

And I think it's important for them to know, whether they support it or are against it, it doesn't really matter. But there's this feeling out there that's going to come away from this that, you know, we are hoodwinked. And that wasn't the intention. And I understand that,

but that doesn't over -- overcome the perception.

-8

2.5

CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: I think -- I'm not sure if you were here when our attorney had to recuse himself, but I think your question is more a legal question. His response to that, if one came up, is that it probably need to wait to be answered at Commission level.

MR. MICHAEL LUDVIGSEN: Sure.

CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: So I wish we could answer that one, but we're not equipped to.

COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: Let me -- if I may, let me say this. All of these situations that come before us here at the Planning and Zoning Commission are advertised for three weeks in advance in the newspaper.

At the Planning and Zoning Advisory Committee at Fort Morgan, I made the statement that if you have a question or are unsure about a zoning ordinance, then you go to the internet and find out everything. All of them are on there, all of the regulations are on there. All the rules are on there.

We were of the understanding, Vince and I -- because I talked to Vince -- that Fish and Wildlife was the one that was saying they would rather the gravel road rather than the paved road because of the both the wetland area and the beach mouse.

This has nothing to do with gravel getting on or off a road or beach or anything else. That can always be sifted. What we were told originally was that the gravel was a much better surface because of the wetlands that

this property is surrounded on both the north and the south.

2.6

And rather than all the water running back down that hill for all you people down to Ponce de Leon, this would retain that water in that upper wetland.

Now, that was a situation originally. What I have heard tonight is the fact that Fish and Wildlife wants a paved road. Well, I think they should make up their mind.

And I would like to know, in a legal form, what the rule and regulation is and where is it printed in the Fish and Wildlife book and what it specifically says about beach mouse and wetland territory.

Also, this is an V-zone, which is a totally flood one hundred percent (100%) zone.

MR. MICHAEL LUDVIGSEN: I think you're going to have to get Bill here, because you're going to find out it's fairly subjective. Bill is the guy, and he comes in and evaluates case by case and makes recommendations.

And that's how it's been for a while. And that's why we asked Bill to come and talk to the -- the association on this.

COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: Well, the thing I know to say is there's miscommunication between two Federal bodies or whatever, governmental bodies.

MR. MICHAEL LUDVIGSEN: Sure. And -- and I understand, Bonnie. And I'm not pointing fingers. It's just where we are and what I -- what I --

```
COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY:
 1
                                                What Sam says.
 2
    this is needs to -- this can go before the County
 3
    Commission, because they've got lawyers up there.
               MR. MICHAEL LUDVIGSEN: Well, sure. And now
 4
 5
    it's on public record. And that's what we're trying to
 6
         That way the County Commission understands what's
7
    going on, too. So I appreciate the opportunity. Thank
 8
    you, Mr. Chairman.
 9
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS:
                                    Thank you, sir.
10
         Doug Baily.
11
               MR. DOUG BAILEY: Yes. Doug Baily with HMR
12
    Engineers representing the -- the owner, who is also
    here. Tim McCrory is here representing the development
13
14
    group.
15
          I -- again, I don't know how the confusion about the
    Fish and Wildlife and the surface of the road came up.
16
17
    But we did get another e-mail, as Vince mentioned,
    earlier this week from Bill Lynn where they were back off
18
19
    of the furlough or the shutdown.
20
         And he said that he heard, and that they definitely
    wanted it to be concrete or asphalt. And so we responded
21
    to Vince Jackson that we were okay with that. That's not
22
23
    a problem to go to the -- to the concrete and asphalt.
24
          It will increase the runoff a little bit, but we
25
    only have a twenty (20) foot road width. And part of the
26
    PRD is also to establish that.
         You know, we've got the wetlands areas, of course,
27
28
    and the wetlands to the south of us, which is part of the
```

```
1
    beach mouse habitat.
 2
          We spent well over a year back and forth in meetings
 3
    between ADEM, our wetlands scientist, which is our
    wetlands scientist out of Pensacola, Craig Martin.
 4
 5
    You've probably met before here, and also talking to ADEM
 6
    about the permitting of all this.
          We tried to minimize and avoid as much as possible.
7
    We limited the square footage of the houses, the
8
9
    footprint of houses shown for each lot, and minimized the
10
    driveway width.
11
         And all the lots are remaining as sand. You can't
12
    have any grass or planting on it, kind of like over at
13
    Keva Dunes and some of the other areas that have --
    worked with the beach mouse habitat before. So that all
14
15
    plays into the PRD as well.
16
          But that being said, I'm here to answer any
17
    questions y'all may have otherwise.
18
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any questions for Doug?
19
                               (No response.)
20
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. We'll --
21
               MR. DOUG BAILEY: Also, I would -- Also, I
22
    would mention -- I apologize. By doing the PRD, you
    know, earlier we saw a couple projects here tonight that
23
    had some questions about the density and the zoning.
24
25
    they didn't have the density as high as what the zoning
26
    would allow. And there was some concern if that was
27
    passed, then something could change.
```

With the PRD here, the zoning that you approve, the

28

lot width that you approve, as y'all know, that's what we have to stick with. So we feel like it gives the County and the -- and the -- and Fish and Wildlife, ADEM, more guarantee of what we're going to do. So that was another reason.

Thank you.

2.6

CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. Thank you.

Mr. McCrory, you got anything you'd like for us to know?

MR. TIM MCCRORY: My name is Tim McCrory. I'm one of the developers and kind of represent the neighbors.

And I'm sorry for the confusion as well. I hoped to be at that meeting. And I got an e-mail that the meeting was yesterday. I did not get notified that the meeting was the week prior.

I would have loved to have been there to help clarify some of this. I was there yesterday, and there was no meeting. So I apologize for that.

Just to kind of give you a little history real quick, we acquired five (5) acre of this land and then partnered to the neighbor to the west and came before the Planning Commission and County Commission to get seventeen (17) lots approved.

On the advice of Bill Lynn, we basically have been doing everything in our power to limit the impact on the property with regard to beach mouse, limiting the size and the footprint of the houses, and trying to make

```
something that's, you know -- what represents what the
Fort Morgan is about, what the surrounding property, you
know, is about, and just build simple, small,
single-family houses, you know, that comply or are the
same nature as what's already in Fort Morgan.

I live and work there. My office is at Keya Dunes.
```

I live and work there. My office is at Keva Dunes. If anybody that'd like to come talk to me at anytime is welcome to come by my office, that has any questions or concerns about what we're doing, if we're approved.

But, you know, I'm sorry for the confusion. It's always been my understanding from Bill Lynn that we were going to have to have a paved or -- paved concrete or asphalt road.

There are never an intention for us to build a gravel road. I never even knew that was under PRD requirements, that that was something we could do. So, you know, I never had any intention of building a gravel road.

All my figures on computing, you know -- putting infrastructure -- We'll have underground utilities. You know, we can tend to do -- you know, I'm going to have, you know, houses that comply, fit like, you know -- have something that looks -- they all blend together.

I want to have, you know, a nice entrance. You know, I just -- I want to do something that's going to make everybody happy that it's there.

It's not going to take away from anybody else that lives next to us. So, you know, that's been what we've

```
1
     striving for.
 2
          It's taken us a long time to get here.
                                                  And I can
 3
    promise you I'm not trying to go down there and just
 4
    build a whole bunch of, you know -- just develop this
 5
    property to its maximum, you know, to the detriment of
 6
    any of the neighbors that are surrounding us.
 7
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right. Thank you,
     sir.
 8
 9
          Any questions for Mr. McCrory?
10
                     (Mr. Greg Strategier indicating.)
11
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: From the Commission up
12
    here.
13
                               (No response.)
14
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okay. Thank you, sir.
15
               MR. TIM MCCRORY: Thank you.
16
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS:
                                   All right. You got
17
    something else you'd like to add?
               MR. GREG STRATEGIER: I like to address him.
18
19
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Well, actually, you can't
    address him, but you can address us. And we can try to
20
21
    get those answered for you.
               MR. GREG STRATEGIER: Greg Strategier, again.
22
    I'm curious if the habitat compliance plan has been
23
24
    completed. I'm curious if the ITP plan has been
25
                 Some more information to know what the plans
    are for the beach mouse, for the area, for the wetlands.
26
27
    It seems to be lacking.
28
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: We'll get staff to answer
```

```
that question.
 2
               MR. GREG STRATEGIER:
 3
               MR. TIM MCCRORY: I'll be happy to --
 4
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: If you could answer it.
               MR. TIM MCCRORY: I believe our plan has been
 5
 6
     submitted. And part of our -- we've got -- we're going
 7
     to have deed restrictions, obviously, to create common
 8
     area.
 9
          Basically why we're here with the PRD is those lots
10
     of record, those ten (10) lots, those adjoining property
11
     owners to the east -- Bill Lynn wanted us to put all the
    property together so we'd have one habitat conservation
12
13
    plan.
14
          So I had to reach out to all the adjoining
    neighbors, agree to this private road -- which is going
15
16
     to be costly, obviously. And we all had the
17
     understanding we were going to pave or concrete from the
18
    beginning input, and underground utilities.
19
          But we submitted a habitat conservation plan, all of
20
         And all that common area that abuts the adjoining
21
     neighbors to the south is going to be protected.
22
         And we've got to make it part of our deed
23
     restriction. And we've got to put to the habitat
24
     conservation plan in our deeds so every owner that buys
25
     it now, buys it fifty (50) years from now is going to
2.6
    have to comply with that deed restriction.
27
          And we're going to have a homeowners association.
28
     And there will be money funding in that homeowners
```

```
1
     association not only to maintain that road moving
 2
     forward, but we're also going to have to monitor the
     beach mouse, you know, make sure that habitat remains
 3
     like it is.
 4
 5
          There's open space and that we're trying to protect
 6
     and create -- and our hope is to go and work with Fish
 7
     and Wildlife to plant some sand fencing in there, plant
 8
     some sea oats in there, you know, just so it hopefully
 9
     kind of builds up and looks a little bit more like the
10
     beach, you know, as we're moving forward.
          And Fish and Wildlife is in agreement. They'll
11
     allow us to go do some of that in that area. But other
12
13
     than that, we can't -- nobody can walk -- nobody is
     supposed to walk on it. Nobody is supposed to disturb it
14
15
     at all.
16
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS:
                                    Thank you, sir.
17
          Staff have anything else to add?
18
                      (An audience member indicating.)
19
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: I think she might want
20
     to --
21
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Let's get this comment,
22
     and then we'll close the public hearing.
23
               AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Vince, can you pull up the
     calendar?
24
25
               THE COURT REPORTER: Are you signed up to
26
     speak?
27
               AN AUDIENCE MEMBER:
                                    What?
28
               THE COURT REPORTER: Have you signed up to
```

```
1
    speak?
              AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.
2
              THE COURT REPORTER: What's your name?
3
              MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER: Jamie Strategier.
4
           My name is Jamie Strategier. And I live adjacent
5
    Hello.
    to Ponce de Leon and Pontoon, on this property.
6
              CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: I'm having trouble hearing
7
8
    you.
               MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER: I'm sorry. I understand
9
    that half of this area has already been re-zoned for
1.0
    RS-4. Now there is a new area that's being added as
11
12
    RS-1; correct?
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: Yes.
13
               MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER: And it's supposed to be
14
     thirty thousand (30,000) square foot minimum lots; right?
15
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Vince, you want to answer
16
1.7
     that?
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: The RSF-1 section -- and
18
     that's the -- that's the part without all the lines --
19
     that's where ten (10) lots of record are already located.
20
          As I stated earlier in my comments, they will lose
21
     depth because of the deed-restricted common area. But
22
     one of the reasons with a -- with a PRD, you can have
23
     smaller lot sizes than you would normally have under the
24
25
     zoning designations.
          That's one of the things that the PRD site plan
26
     allows you to do. It just doesn't allow you to increase
27
     density. So your -- your lot sizes can be smaller. You
28
```

```
1
     just can't put more than what would normally be allowed.
          Like I said, these are lots of record. They're
2
 3
     already there. They're just becoming smaller because of
     deed-restricted common area. And that's one of the
 4
5
     reasons why they requested the PRD for this property.
 6
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Does that answer your
7
     question?
8
               MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER:
                                      I guess so. None of the
     lots are thirty thousand (30,000). None of them are
9
10
    half. None of them are fifteen thousand (15,000).
11
         There's one that's thirteen thousand (13,000).
    There is a few there are ten thousand (10,000). But
12
    that's one-third of what the RSF-1 is, minimum
13
14
    requirement of thirty thousand (30,000) square feet.
15
          So I was wondering how did that happen. But I
    understand now with the PRD you can kind of do anything
16
17
    with the lot size as long as the density with the homes
18
     is still within what's required.
19
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Bonnie, did you have a
20
    question?
21
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: Maybe an
    explanation. Ten (10) of the seventeen (17) --
22
23
               MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER:
                                      Right.
24
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: -- lots --
25
               MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER: Uh-huh.
                                               (Indicates
26
    affirmatively.)
27
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: -- they were
28
    probated a long time ago, 1946, '47, if I'm correct,
```

```
And they can't change, period, unless they come
1
2
    under this.
3
          It's the seventeen (17) lots that were changed as
    far as the zoning was concerned originally.
4
              MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER: Uh-huh. (Indicates
5
6
    affirmatively.)
7
              COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: And that went
    back and it was approved by this Commission back in June
8
    of 2017. And at that time, Fort Morgan Planning and
Q,
10
    Zoning Advisory Committee didn't exist. We weren't put
11
    into power or anything until September or October of --
    of 2017.
12
13
         But the PRD, itself, it doesn't really do any damage
    to the area or the character of the area, because these
14
15
    are all single-family homes. The smallest lot is about
    eight thousand (8,000) square feet; the largest lot
16
17
    thirteen thousand (13,000) square feet.
18
              MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER: Only one. Only one.
19
              COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: Yeah.
20
    those are good-sized lots, even in between that. I doubt
21
    seriously that the lot you're living on is any more than
    that.
22
         And all of the homes that are on south side of this
23
24
    project that face the gulf, those homes are not really
25
    going to be affected by this for the road or anything
26
    else.
27
          In fact, they may raise the price of the value of
28
    the homes. Because when you're talking about a home
```

```
that's twenty-two (2,200), twenty-three hundred
 1
 2
     (2,300) square feet up to twenty-six hundred
     (2,600) square feet, those are nice homes.
 3
          None of them are going to look the same.
 4
 5
     it's my understanding that the owners are selling -- are
 6
     going to sell the property to the homeowner.
7
    homeowner gets to choose what that house is going to look
     like.
8
 9
         And that's way down the road, when it comes through
10
     the Planning Department. But I don't believe any of this
11
     is going to upset or lower the character of Fort Morgan
    or the residences around it.
12
13
          That's the reason a bunch of us got together and
14
    called different people, to literally find out what they
15
    were going to put on it.
16
          This doesn't really have anything to do with what
17
     they're going to put on it. This is simply a re-zoning.
18
              CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any other questions for
19
    this lady?
20
              MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER: I do have one more
21
    comment. All of the houses or the lots that are not
22
    built on yet along Ponce de Leon that buffer this area,
    there are approximately thirteen (13) or fourteen (14)
23
     lots there. And this area is now going to house
24
25
    twenty-seven (27), which is almost double.
         And I -- I -- I don't understand. I -- I -- now I'm
26
27
    understanding it's a subdivision. It's PRD. That's how
28
    they can get away -- that's how this happens?
```

```
1
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: Vince, put up
 2
     the other slide, the long road.
                                      There you go.
 3
               MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER: Right.
                                              So basically two
 4
     lots per lot going down, two lots; correct?
 5
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: Well --
 6
               MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER: It's not consistent with
 7
    the surrounding --
 8
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: Well, let -- let me -- let
 9
    me be clear about the property that's zoned RSF-4. And
10
    we'll put the -- the existing lots of record aside.
11
          Under the RSF -- the property that's zoned RSF-4
1.2
    already, if it was subdivided conventionally, could have
13
    up to thirty-seven (37) lots. They're proposing
    seventeen (17) lots on the RSF-4 section.
14
15
         And if this PRD site plan is approved, once they
16
    begin construction, they are locked in on seventeen (17)
17
    lots.
            They can't go back and do thirty-seven (37).
18
    changes would have to go back through the PRD process,
19
    which is a recommendation from the Planning Commission
20
    and a final vote before the County Commission.
          So, in my opinion, seventeen (17) is better than
21
22
    thirty-seven (37).
23
               MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER:
                                      Thank you.
24
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Any other questions?
25
               MS. JAMIE STRATEGIER:
                                      Thank you.
26
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS:
                                    Thank you.
27
         We'll close the public hearing at this point.
28
    Vince, do you have anything else you'd like to say to us?
```

MR. VINCE JACKSON: I just wanted to -- you know, we advertise these -- these cases. There are advertisements that are run in papers. There are advertisements that are sent to the adjacent property owners.

2.0

. 22

And at no point -- and I've got a copy of the ad right here. There is nothing in there that would give you the indication that this is a done deal.

This is a request for PRD site plan approval. Yes, based on the information that we had originally, we thought that Fish and Wildlife was okay with gravel roads.

And we knew that that was one reason that we're requesting the PRD, but that was not the only reason. And it's stated in the staff report some of the other reasons.

One thing I would point out is Fish and Wildlife was closed during -- because of the government shutdown. So we were not able to get comments from them right away. When we did get the comment about the gravel road, we sent it to you-all as quickly as we could.

This is a two-step process. Actually, this is going to end up being a three-step process. What we have before us tonight is the recommendation. You-all will be voting on a recommendation to the County Commission.

So sometime in March, there will be a public hearing before the County Commission. So everyone who has concerns will have an opportunity at that time to -- to

```
1
    go to the County Commission meeting in Bay Minette and
 2
     voice those concerns to the Commissioners.
          Then if the PRD site plan is approved, there will
 3
 4
    be -- they will need to submit for subdivision approval.
     So that will be a public hearing before this body, where
 5
 6
     you-all are the final authority.
7
          So there -- there are going to be a number of times
8
    where people will have an opportunity to comment on this.
9
    And, obviously, if -- if the PRD site plan is approved by
10
     the County Commission, then when they have the
11
     subdivision request for the Planning Commission, that's
12
    something where the Fort Morgan Zoning Committee will,
13
    again, have an opportunity to provide comments.
14
          So there's going to be a lot of opportunity to --
15
     for people to provide input on this.
                                           I would
16
    encourage -- I'm -- I'm sorry that there was
17
    apparently confusion.
18
          But I would encourage anyone from the area that --
19
    that has concerns, that have questions, to call us in the
20
    Planning and Zoning Department, come meet with us.
21
    pull out the files. We'll go through every little detail
22
    and answer every little question.
23
         And I -- I would really encourage everyone to do
24
    that. I have an office in Bay Minette. I have an office
25
    in Robertsdale. We don't have an office in Fort Morgan,
26
    but I can go to Foley. We have an office down there.
    So, you know, I can go where I need to be. But I can
27
```

gladly talk with all of you that have concerns.

28

2.2

The PRD process is intended to address situations where there are environmental concerns. And in this instance, we have concerns over the beach mouse. So that is the reason why they are proposing the common areas that they are, why they're proposing the lots to be configured as they are, and why they're proposing the deed-restricted common area.

We have received a copy of proposed restrictive covenants that apply to this. Those are in the file. And certainly anyone can take a look at that.

But, you know, from the point where -- where they -- before re-zoning of the -- the portion that is currently zoned RSF-4 -- and that's the -- that's the portion that was re-zoned, the portion in orange that was re-zoned in 2000 -- I mean, 2017, they were already working with Fish and Wildlife.

They have been working with Fish and Wildlife through this whole process of trying to come up with -- with -- with a solution to a difficult situation.

The ninety-five (95) lots of record, we -- we know that it's unlikely that those would ever be built on. I mean, they're -- you know, the -- the required setbacks are more than -- than the width of the lot.

But what -- what could happen, these -- There's a process through -- through the County where existing adjacent lots of record can be combined. And they're combined by recording deeds. They don't have to come through the subdivision process.

```
So some of those smaller lots, theoretically, could
1
    be combined into lots that could be built on.
2
 3
    it's likely to happen, I don't know. I mean, it could.
 4
         And if somebody -- somebody combined some of these
5
    small lots into one and they came to us with a land use
 6
    certificate showing that they met all of the area and
7
    dimensional requirements, we would have to approve it.
         With the PRD site plan, once this is approved, once
8
9
    they commence construction, this is what they have to
10
    build. So it gives you more an assurance about what will
11
    happen on this property.
12
         Like I said, on the RSF-4 portion, with that
13
    acreage, they could -- they could potentially development
14
    up to thirty-seven (37) lots. Now, they may not get
    thirty-seven (37) lots if they went through the
15
    conventional process, but that's what -- that's the
16
17
    density that they would be allowed.
18
         Again, I think this is a better. This is a
19
    better -- this is a better scenario than thirty-seven
20
     (37) lots on that acreage.
21
         But, again, for all of you who have concerns, please
    call me. Call anybody in the Planning Department. We
22
23
    will be glad to sit down and talk with you. We will go
24
    through the file. We will answer your questions.
25
         And that's all I have for right now.
26
              CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Thank you, Vince.
27
         All right. Any more questions for Vince?
28
                               (No response.)
```

```
CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Staff has recommended a
1
    recommendation of approval to the County Commission.
2
 3
    there a motion to do so?
               COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: Motion,
 4
 5
    Mr. Chairman, to recommend approval with the exception of
 6
    the gravel road, approve the PRD, but not the gravel
7
    road.
8
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: I'm sorry. I couldn't
9
    understand that.
10
               COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: I'm -- I'm
11
    doing as you asked, approving -- I'm -- I'm moving to
1.2
    recommend approval to the County Commission of the PRD
13
    excepting with specificity the gravel road.
14
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okay. All right. Y'all
    heard the motion. Is there a second?
15
16
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: Second.
17
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Okay. There is a second.
18
    All in favor, say aye.
19
                 (Commission Members say "aye" in unison.)
20
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All opposed?
21
                               (No response.)
22
              CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: Passes unanimously.
23
              MR. VINCE JACKSON: Once again, this is a
24
    recommendation to the County Commission. We will know in
25
    a few days when the County Commission hearing will be
26
    held. And, again, please call me, come see me. I'll
27
    happy to answer any of your questions.
28
               CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: All right.
                                                Thank you,
```