PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM 1 2 DAVIS: It carries unanimously to recommend approval. 3 MR. VINCE JACKSON: Thank you. 4 8-B - CASE Z-19016, FOUNTAIN 38 LLC PROPERTY 5 6 PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM 7 DAVIS: Okay. The next case is Z-19016. Can we have a 8 staff report? 9 MS. LINDA LEE: Good evening, Commissioners. 10 The subject property, which consists of approximately twenty-two-point-eight (22.8) acres, is currently zored 11 RSF-1, Single-Family District. 12 1.3 The destination of RSF-4, Single-Family District, has been requested for purpose of establishing a 14 15 residential subdivision with a density of 16 three-point-five (3.5) lots per acre and a total of 17 seventy-eight (78) lots. 18 The subject property is located on the north side of 19 U.S. Highway 98, west of Breman Road in Planning District 20 On your map -- on your screen is the locator mar 21 showing the subject property and the surrounding adjacent 22 zoning of the property surrounding the property. This is an area locator map that's showing a multi 23 view of the area. And as you can see, the majority of 24 this property is Rural Agricultural. And there is some 25 Residential Single-Family 1, but there is also some 26 Commercial zoning. And to the east of County Road 95, 27 you see some RSF-4. 28

This is the site map of the subject property. And this is the map of the proposed layout. It's a preliminary map. It's not the final plat. This is what they're proposing to do.

1.7

And as you can see on here, the majority of the lots are approximately seventy-eight (7,800), seventy-nine hundred (7,900) square feet. These are pictures of the subject property and adjacent properties.

The Baldwin County Master Plan provides a future land use destination of Residential for subject property. The subject property was originally RA, Rural Agricultural District.

The owners requested an automatic re-zoning to RSF-1 to allow for the single-family subdivision containing seven (7) two (2) acres lots. The perspective buyers would like to develop the land with a residential subdivision.

The applicant is proposing to access the subdivision from Breman Road. Access to this site would require approval from the Baldwin County Highway Department.

Seventy-eight (78) lots with approximately ten (10) trips per day would conceivably affect traffic patterns or congestion. Per the Subdivision Manager, the proposed number of lots would require a traffic study. The traffic study will address any needed improvements to Breman or the intersection at 98.

You have received two letters in opposition in you: staff report and one letter tonight that doesn't really

```
say if he's for or against it, he's just explaining wha:
1
2
    the property was previously used for.
3
          Staff feels that although the requested zoning
    designation is residential, the transition from large
 4
    rural and residential parcels to the smaller lots sizes
5
6
    should be more gradual; thereof, staff is recommending
7
    denial of the zoning request. And I will answer any
8
    questions you may have for me.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
9
10
    DAVIS:
            Any question the for Linda on this?
11
                               (No response.)
12
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
1.3
    DAVIS: The subdivision that's already there plus the lot
14
    that's being asked for now, was that the same owner?
15
               MS. LINDA LEE: You're asking me if it's the
16
    same owner that subdivided it into those seven (7) lcts
17
    into one (1) is the same as now?
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAF
18
19
    DAVIS: Yes.
               MS. LINDA LEE: I'm not certain. Ms. Franz can
20
    answer that question for you.
21
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
22
23
    DAVIS:
             Any other questions for Linda?
24
                               (No response.)
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
25
    DAVIS: Okay. Thank you, Linda.
26
27
               MS. LINDA LEE: You're welcome.
28
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
```

```
1
     DAVIS:
             Okay.
                    Ms. Franz, are you representing the owner?
 2
               MS. LYDIA FRANZ:
                                Yes, I am.
 3
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 4
     DAVIS:
             Come up to the mic.
 5
                  (Ms. Lydia Franz approached the podium.)
 6
               MS. LYDIA FRANZ:
                                Can you hear me?
 7
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 8
     DAVIS:
             Yes.
 9
               MS. LYDIA FRANZ: All right. My name is Lydia
10
             I represent the owner and the potential purchaser
     of the property.
                       It is the same owner that subdivided
11
     the seven (7) lots at this time, although it is under
12
13
     contract to a -- a new purchaser.
          I'd like to note there was a sixty (60) foot access
14
15
     kept out on the seven (7) lots that front Breman Roac for
     the purpose of access points and future subdivision of
16
17
     the main parcel that's just under twenty-three (23)
18
     acres, twenty-two-point-eight-five (22.85).
          And, whereas, a gradual growth in density would be
19
20
     ideal, availability of property, environmental issues,
     road frontage, and development costs don't always make
21
22
     that possible.
23
          All that will require a traffic study.
     already gotten a quote for that and a full scope of work.
24
25
     ALDOT actually requires a traffic -- a full traffic study
26
     for any subdivisions that are going to have more than
27
     fifty (50) lots and are considered to generate more one
     hundred (100) trips at peek hours.
28
```

And I think that's actually a benefit, because it will have full engineering and professional analysis of the access points, where anything under fifty (50) lcts would not require that.

So to have more uniform and professional growth, I don't know that having more lots is necessarily negative.

It could be a positive.

The purpose of this is to develop stick-built, workforce affordable housing, which is definitely a reed in the area. And other than the traffic concerns, we certainly meet all of the requirements.

There is no special privilege granted that haver't been grated to other property owners in the area. There has been a change in conditions since the original subdivision of the property, because now public sewer is available the north side of the road.

And so when public sewer is available, you can co smaller lots, because you don't have to have septic tanks. It also make it more cost effective and better for overall public health and safety.

Other than that, I can't think of anything else to add at the moment.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM

DAVIS: Do you understand that the property owners -- do
you understand their concerns?

MS. LYDIA FRANZ: I do.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM

28 DAVIS: And how do you think this development will affect

their property values? 1. 2 MS. LYDIA FRANZ: I don't think it'll have any 3 affect on their property values, first off because no 4 appraiser would ever use a subdivision property lot cr home as a comp for a custom-built home on two (2) four 5 6 (4) acre lots. So there would not be impact. 7 If we were to, say, do thirty (30) mobile homes on the property, as it's zoned to do now, I think that would 8 have much more of a negative impact. 9 10 But short of getting RS-4 -- RSF-4 zoning and being RSF-1, due to lack of road frontage, the shape of the 11 property, the cost to develop, that would be the only 12 1.3 other way to develop it, really. PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM 14 15 Any other questions for Ms. Franz. 16 COMMISSION MEMBER KEVIN MURPHY: It looks like 17 it's proposed to have an entrance off 98 and Breman Road. 18 MS. LYDIA FRANZ: Currently we were looking at 19 doing it off of Breman Road. However, ALDOT will, you 20 know, require the traffic study. And if it's more 21 beneficial to have two entrances, we can certainly qc 22 that route. 23 That will be subject to subdivision approval, 24 traffic impact study requirements and recommendations, 25 and coming back through for final site plan approval. PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM 26 27 DAVIS: Any other questions for Ms. Franz?

(No response.)

28

```
1
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
2
    DAVIS:
             Okay.
                    There's two other people signed up in
3
    support, Jennifer Achee and then a signature I can't
 4
    read. Would either of you two like to address the
    Commission?
5
6
               MR. JON GIFFORD:
                                I'm John Gifford.
                                                    I'm -- I m
7
    the one you probably can't read the signature of. I
8
    apologize for that.
9
               THE COURT REPORTER: Can you spell it for me,
10
    please?
11
              MR. JON GIFFORD: Yes. J-O-N, Gifford,
    G-I-F-F-O-R-D. And we're -- we're the property -- we're
12
13
    have the property under contract now and are working to
1.4
    get this -- work toward the development for this area.
15
          Originally, we come in here looking to do an RV park
16
    and actually filed for that. And as we started working
17
    with some of our contractors, they looked at it and
    talked to some of the folks we work with like Adams Fomes
18
    and DR Horton, who have expressed interest in this area.
19
20
         We do see a need in the market due to, you know,
    the -- the average income in the area. Not everybody can
21
    afford to have a two (2), three (3), four (4) acre estate
22
    and build a home on it.
23
          There are a lot of people that need to -- that are
24
    service people in the area that are severing the Owa and
25
    other developments that are going on that need afforcable
26
    housing.
27
```

And -- and we'd like to able to address that for

28

```
them and bring, you know, very good, quality homes.
 1
 2
    These are, you know, on smaller lots, more affordable,
 3
    you know, for those folks.
 4
          But these are going to be high-quality products that
    we put on the street and -- and make available to those
 5
    folks that need good housing to live in. And -- and
 6
 7
    that's, you know, kind of where we're at and where we're
    doing with this. And we appreciate your working with us
 8
9
    on that.
10
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
11
    DAVIS: Any questions for this gentleman?
12
                               (No response.)
13
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
14
    DAVIS: Okay.
                    Thank you, sir.
15
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Yes, sir.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
16
            Jennifer Achee, are you here?
17
    DAVIS:
18
               MS. JENNIFER ACHEE:
19
                (Ms. Jennifer Achee approached the podium )
20
               MS. JENNIFER ACHEE: I was just going to make a
21
    couple of clarifications. I'm actually a managing member
22
    of the Fountain 38, LLC.
          And one of the oppositions was saying that after
23
    closing, I had misled them on the -- the twenty-two (22)
24
    acres, which he -- he had gotten kind of cloudy on when
25
    I -- after closing -- A boat dealership had asked to --
26
    to purchase that property, the twenty-two (22).
27
28
          And so after closing, I talked to Mr. Stachowski
```

```
1
     about that.
                  And he was completely opposed to it.
 2
     that time, I said, fine. We won't sell it to the boat
 3
     dealership. And that's what he's referring as me not
     being upfront with who wanted to buy the property.
 4
 5
          The -- the new people that have it under contract is
 6
     a completely different situation than Mr. Stachowski is
 7
    referring to. So that was it.
 8
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 9
    DAVIS: All right.
                         Thank you.
10
          Any questions for Ms. Achee? Before you leave.
11
                              (No response.)
12
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
    DAVIS: Okay.
13
                    Thank you.
14
          There's been many letters of opposition. You've all
15
    read those. There is only one person signed up to sread
16
    against this.
17
          Bruce Austin, are you here?
18
                       (Mr: Bruce Austin indicating.)
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
19
    DAVIS: Would you like to come up to the podium?
20
21
                (Mr. Bruce Austin approached the podium.)
22
               MR. BRUCE AUSTIN: Thank you very much.
    you have my letter, and you've read it, so I won't go
23
24
    over that.
          But I would like to address three quick points in
25
    the rebuttal of the applicant's response. The applican:
26
27
    states that the change is consistent with the current
28
    development patterns in the county. So existing
```

1 development patterns as identified in 19 --2 PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM DAVIS: We're having a little trouble hearing you. If 3 you'd maybe speak a little more directly into the mic and 4 5 maybe a little louder. MR. BRUCE AUSTIN: Thank you, б The existing development patterns, as identified in 19.6(a), is zoning 7 criteria of nearby properties, not the county as a whole. 8 9 I'd like to submit the following map, if I will, of 10 the general area of the property. If you'll note within 11 a three (3) mile radius, there are only four (4) 12 subdivisions with an RSF-1 designation, and four (4) subdivisions with an RSF-E, Estate, designations. 13 The current patterns suggest lots in excess of 14 15 thirty thousand (30,000), including those built on Ryra: 16 zoning designation. 17 The second point I'd like to make is that the applicant indicates that larger lots are not cost 18 effective to meet current demands and uses household 19 income as the metric for that. 20 21 The metric is more relevant in the industry as the 22 results of the metric, how many lots sell over a 23 particular period of time. In the past nine (9) months, ten (10) lots have sold on Breman Road alone. 24 are in excess or equal to two (2) to three (3) acres, 25 26 This absorption rate alone suggests that the demand is high for those size lots. 27

What's overlooked is the only metric that the

28

applicant uses is -- is household income. The problem with this metric is that assumes that only people within the county are buying these lots.

1.

As indicated from the ten (10) lots that sold, nore than four (4) of those people are outside the county. 30 that metric would seem to be invalid at that point.

The other point that I'd like to make is that the applicant suggests that my home is over built given the average income and demand. Well, we've already addressed the demand issue with the absorption rate.

So what I'd like to say is what should be considered is the value of the house, and the value of the houses on similar properties, not just those that have sold as use ap recommendation.

And what use ap does is tells the appraiser what he can and cannot use in his appraisals. And while he can — I disagree with the applicant. He can use a rouse in this proposed subdivision as long as it is within the jurisdiction of that lot that is sold, within a one (1) to two (2) mile radius, and also that it is comparable.

And if it is not comparable, he has the opportunity to make adjustments to make it comparable. Therefore, he can use these houses in his appraisal evaluation.

The last point I'd like to make is that I've got another map that I'd like to share with you of six (6) houses within this three (3) mile radius of houses that are on estate lots that are very comparable to my house and actually comparable in style and exceed the square

```
footage of my house.
 1
2
          So I think you will see from the imperial data tha:
 3
    I've provided, there is a need and a definitive need, a
    definitive demand for houses of lot sizes even greater
 4
 5
    than the minimum square foot of thirty thousand (30,000)
 6
    designated by that.
 7
         The applicant has not establish a definitive need
 8
    for shrinking the lot sizes. Thank you very much.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
9
10
    DAVIS: Okay. Hang on just a second.
11
         Any questions for Mr. Austin?
12
                               (No response.)
13
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
14
    DAVIS: Okay. Thank you, sir.
15
         All right. Staff have anything to add?
16
               MS. LINDA LEE: No, sir.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
17
    DAVIS: All right. We'll close the public hearing --
18
19
    Well, let me ask, are there any questions for staff?
20
                               (No response.)
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
21
22
    DAVIS:
            Okay. Thank you. We'll close the public hearing
23
    at this point. Staff has recommended denial.
                                                    Is there a
    motion to -- This will be a recommendation to County
24
    Commission. Is there a motion to that effect?
25
               COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: So moved,
26
    Mr. Chairman.
27
28
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
```

```
Okay.
                    There is a motion to recommend denial to
 1
 2
     the County Commission. Is there a second?
 3
               COMMISSION MEMBER BRANDON BIAS: Second.
 4
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 5
    DAVIS: There is a second. All in favor, say aye.
 6
                 (Commission Members say "aye" in unison.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 7
 8
    DAVIS:
             All opposed?
 9
                               (No response.)
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
10
             The motion is unanimous to recommend denial.
11
    DAVIS:
12
13
                  8-C - CASE Z-19017, CHILDRESS PROPERTY
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
14
15
             Okay.
                    The next case is Z-19017, Childress
16
    property. Can we have a staff report?
               MS. CELENA BOYKIN: This is request to re-zona
1.7
     five-point-four (5.4) -- five-point-four (5.4) acres from
18
19
    Rural Agricultural to B-4, Major Commercial.
20
          The applicant is asking for B-4 to allow boat and RV
     storage on the subject property. The property is located
21
    on the west side of Highway 59, just south of
22
     Childress -- Childress Drive in Planning District 12.
23
24
          As you can see from the zoning map, the subject
     property, there is an adjacent B-4 to the south. A lot
25
    of this area is zoned Commercial. There are a few
26
27
     parcels that are zoned Industrial.
28
          To the north and west of the subject property, that
```