```
1
    that's a yes.
               COMMISSION MEMBER BRANDON BIAS: Yes.
2
3
               MS. LINDA LEE: Mr. Davis.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 4
5
    DAVIS: What was the tally? I thought I was --
 6
               MS. LINDA LEE: It was three and three.
7
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: Who didn't --
               MS. LINDA LEE: Without the Chairman, there is
8
    six of you. So the tie -- the vote is three to three.
9
10
    He has to break the tie.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
11
12
    DAVIS:
            I gonna have to kick this one to the County
13
    Commission. I'm gonna vote yes.
14
               MS. LINDA LEE: So we will carry the
15
    recommendation to a -- to deny to the County Commission.
16
              AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you.
17
                                (Applause.)
18
19
              7-F - CASE Z-19026 - LAKELAND 134 LLC PROPERTY
20
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
21
    DAVIS: Okay. Linda, the next one is tabled --
22
               MS. LINDA LEE: Yes, sir.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
23
24
    DAVIS -- or withdrawn?
               MS. LINDA LEE: Yeah. It was withdrawn.
25
26
    sorry.
27
28
               7-G - CASE Z-19027, FOUNTAIN 38 LLC PROPERTY
```

```
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 1
             Next is Z-19027, Fountain 38 LLC Property.
 2
     DAVIS:
 3
               MS. LINDA LEE: You all may remember this
    property from last month. The applicant requested
 4
 5
     re-zoning to RSF-4.
 6
          That application is still active, and it goes to
7
    County Commission for public hearing on June the 18th,
    work session on Tuesday June the 11th.
 8
          The applicants have now requested to re-zone the
 9
10
    property -- the twenty-two-point-nine (22.9) acres from
11
    RSF-1, Single-Family District, to RSF-3, Single-Family
12
     District.
          The purpose is to establish a residential
13
14
     subdivision with approximately fifty-eight (58) lots with
    a minimum lot width of eighty (80) feet instead of one
15
16
    hundred (100) feet, as well as the minimum lot area of
17
     ten thousand (10,000) square feet instead of thirty
     thousand (30,000) square feet.
18
          The subject property is located at 26815 US Highway
19
20
     98 in Planning District 22. The adjoining properties are
21
     residential, commercial, and agricultural.
22
          Baldwin County Master Plan provides a future land
23
    use designation of residential for the subject property.
24
    The property was originally zoned RA, Rural Agricultural
25
    District. The owners request an automatic re-zoning to
    RSF-1 to all for the single-family subdivision containing
26
27
    seven (7) two (2) acre lots. The prospective buyers
28
    would like to develop the land with a residential
```

subdivision.

The applicant is proposing to access the subdivision from Breman Road and possibly State Highway 98. Access to this site would require approval from the Baldwin County Highway Department for Breman Road and ALDOT for the State Highway 98.

Approximately fifty-eight (58) lots with approximately ten (10) trips per day would conceivably affect traffic patterns or congestion.

In the staff report, there were three letters in opposition to this request and one letter stating the use of the adjacent property to the north.

As in the previous application, staff feels that the zoning designation as residential, the transition for large rural and residential parcels to smaller lot sizes should more gradual.

Staff thinks that an RSF-2 would be more inline with what they're asking to re-zone. If you have large parcels -- large RSF-1 parcels, large RA parcels, and you want to increase the density, staff feels that we should start with RSF-2 before we jump to RSF-3 or RSF-4. So staff recommends, in this case, that the Planning Commission recommend denial to the County Commission. I'll answer any questions you may have.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
DAVIS: Any questions for Linda?

(No response.)

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM

```
1
    DAVIS: Linda, didn't we see this case last month?
2
              MS. LINDA LEE: Yes, sir.
              PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
3
            How's this request different from that one?
    DAVIS:
4
              MS. LINDA LEE: Well, the last month they were
5
6
    asking for RSF-4, which is seventy-five hundred (7,500)
7
    square foot lots. Now they're asking for RSF-3, which is
8
    ten thousand (10,000) square foot lots.
9
         RSF-1 would allow thirty thousand (30,000) square
    feet. And that's why I said that, you know, I would be
10
11
    more comfortable RSF-2, which is fifteen thousand
12
     (15,000), which is still half the size of RSF-1.
13
         As I stated, the application from last month is
14
    still active. They are going to County Commission with
    that one. But because of time constraints, just in case
15
16
    the County Commission is not willing to approve the
    RSF-4, they wanted to go ahead and submit it for the
17
    RSF-3.
18
19
              ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: They didn't realize
20
    that if the County Commission acts on that RSF-4
    application and denies it, that they will be -- they're
21
22
    prohibited from bringing another application within that
23
    period time.
24
              MS. LINDA LEE: They're -- they're not planning
     to go to the actual County Commission after work session,
25
26
    That -- if they feel like they're not going to get
27
     approved, they plan to withdrew that one and go forward
28
    with this one.
```

```
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
1
2
    DAVIS:
            Any other questions for Linda?
3
                               (No response.)
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
4
5
    DAVIS: Okay. Thank you, Linda.
6
          We'll open the public hearing open at this point.
    Jon -- is it Gifford?
7
8
              MR. JON GIFFORD: Yes, sir.
9
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
10
    DAVIS:
            Okay.
11
              MR. JON GIFFORD: I don't wanna start that yet.
              MS. LINDA LEE: Do you wanna use it?
12
13
              MR. JON GIFFORD: I do, but not yet.
14
              MS. LINDA LEE: Okay.
15
              MR. JON GIFFORD: Yeah. Thank you,
16
    Commissioners, Chairman. So I would -- I would ask
17
    that -- that we maybe allow the -- the opponents to
18
    the -- to this opportunity to speak first and then, you
19
    know, maybe answer any questions.
20
          I know it's late in the night. Y'all still have
21
    another agenda to fulfill, to help expedite that so that
22
    we can then just address those -- those objections.
23
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
24
    DAVIS: Okay. We'll do that.
25
         Who's the representative that's going to present the
26
    case?
27
                      (An audience member indicates.)
28
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
```

1 DAVIS: Okay. Come on up. 2 THE COURT REPORTER: And state your name. PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM 3 DAVIS: State your name, please. 4 5 MR. BRUCE AUSTIN: Bruce Austin. I know we're 6 all tired, so I'll try to be somewhat brief, but this 7 is -- this is an important application to us. And there's -- there's two issues that are -- that 8 9 are meaningful to us. And one of those is the value of 10 the property, and what the adverse conditions of the 11 traffic will do. 12 If you notice right here is the access road to 13 Breman Road. So if you do not have an access road to 98, 14 then these people would have to go from all the way up 15 and over to here and down and back to 98 to come over 16 here. 17 For at least half of the people in that subdivision, 18 that would arbitrarily prejudice people that live on 19 Breman Road for more traffic than is necessary and would 20 push that down. 21 With -- with regard to value, we believe the 22 access -- the -- the exodus of the traffic would diminish the property values. That's just not my opinion. I've 23 24 got a broker's opinion that I got that's independent of that, that I'll be glad to the share with you that 25 suggests that, for this type of request, it would 26 27 diminish the property values of the people that live on 28 Breman Road currently.

1.3

The -- the next part that I'd like to mention is that -- is that being a commercial banker of thirty-eight years and a prior Federal Regulator, I'm kind of driven more to the X's and O's. And, as this gentleman mentioned earlier, there's some specifics with regard to what can be considered and what can't be considered when you're looking at a change for this.

And I know you, ladies and gentlemen, know this better than probably some of us do, but Section 19-6A says that the request be compatible with the existing development patterns.

In your package, I have got a map of this immediate area, it's just a little bit larger than this right here, that shows the immediate area is actually almost ninety-five percent (95%) compatible with the current zoning, RSF-1.

There's also four subdivisions within three miles of this that's an RSF-E, which are estate lots. So nothing about this change would be compatible with the existing zoning.

Section B suggests are there any changes that -that have occurred in this area. There -- there have
been none. And just because somebody can hook up to
public utilities is not in the tenor of change that I
think the code is speaking to.

Item E of that section speaks to the adverse traffic. I think we, as well as your staff, has established that, that traffic is -- would be adversely

impacted, the current residents.

Is it a logical expansion of the current area? And it's not. Everything around this is a RSF-1, RSF-E, or agricultural. And, as you know, agricultural can automatically be turned into a RSF-1, upon request, if I'm reading that section correctly. Excuse me.

Finally, in Section H of that, it says, given the development trends in the area. The trends in the area are just opposite of what's being requested.

As I stated in the last case, there have been ten (10) lots sold on Breman Road alone in the last year.

Every one of those lots are two (2) acres or greater.

And I've got something that I'll share with you there that lists each one of those lots, who bought it, and how big it is. So the current trend that's happening on Breman Road are big lots.

And, to be honest with you, that's why my wife and I moved from Orange Beach after being down there twenty years. The density down there outgrew the current infrastructure. You couldn't even go to the grocery store except for maybe two or three nights a week, because people piled in, and -- and the traffic was so bad. We said the heck with it. We sold our house and moved.

If you change this, then nobody's protected from buying and trying to move out and get away from density.

Again, as a regulator, as a banker, I go back to codes, I go back to information and looking at what must be the

```
1
     burden of proof on the applicant.
 2
          They can't stand up to what's being asked for.
 3
     I request that you deny this application. And I'll share
     this information with you for the record.
 4
 5
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 6
     DAVIS:
             Thank you.
 7
          Any questions for Mr. Austin?
 8
                               (No response.)
 9
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
10
     DAVIS:
            Thank you, sir.
11
          Did he properly represent? Is there anyone that's
12
     got anything any different to add.
13
                (An audience member approached the podium.)
14
               THE COURT REPORTER: State your name.
               MR. TOM DANIEL: My name is Captain Tom Daniel,
15
16
     US Navy, retired, thirty-one (31) years of active duty,
     second generation. And my late dad was US Navy, World
17
18
     War II veteran.
19
          I'm here representing the interest on behalf of
20
     another property owner, Mr. Edgar Lumbard, and I can show
     you this -- his property. Mr. Lumbard is the handicapped
21
     Federal retiree, who is relocating from Lillian, Alabama,
22
23
     where he was in a congested residential area, to -- to
24
     this, where he's going to build a handicap accessible
     home.
25
26
          And I just want to reaffirm what Bruce just
27
     indicated, that -- that Mr. Lumbard does agree
28
     completely, one hundred percent (100%) with the arguments
```

```
1
     in opposition that Mr. Bruce just stated.
          That's all. Thank you.
 2
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 3
 4
            Thank you. All right, Mr. Gifford.
 5
               AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Oh, I've got something.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 6
 7
     DAVIS:
            Is it significantly different than what we've
8
    already heard?
               AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes, sir. It is.
 9
               THE COURT REPORTER: State your name.
10
11
               MR. DAVID BROWN: Zoning Commissioners, my name
12
     is David Brown. Thank you for letting me speak.
13
          I don't live right there on Breman Road, but I'm a
14
    neighbor on Frank Road, which I'll point that out. Well,
15
     I can't. It goes -- it's north, but running parallel to
16
     Schoen Road.
          Let's -- let's look at the traffic situation here.
17
18
    And I'll talk loud because I don't have my mic. Breman
19
    Road right here, that's not a controlled intersection.
20
    You come down here to 95, it is controlled with traffic
21
     light. Come back down here to Highway 87, it's
22
    controlled with traffic light.
          My wife Nancy and I, we walk Frank Road, which is --
23
24
     that's not a lot right up there, but Frank Road is just
25
    above those.
26
          As the gentleman pointed out, in this neighborhood,
27
     these are large-lot residential homes. We -- we don't
28
    have the population density.
```

```
1
          I think we're getting nickelled and dimed on this.
 2
     I mean, we keep going back to the well to get -- it's all
     about property; the more lots, the more money. I mean,
 3
     that's obvious here.
 4
 5
          But if you look at the controlled intersections
 6
     here, anyone going north or even going -- going back east
 7
     toward Summerdale, any of that area, they're going to
 8
     come down Breman to Frank, which is not desired.
 9
          It's four -- it's forty (40) mile-an-hour speed
     limit, but we've walked it. There's tons of litter.
10
     have all kind of cut-through traffic now from anyone east
11
12
     of 98 trying to work their way back up to
13
     Summerdale/Robertsdale, that area. They come up and down
     95.
14
15
          Have a lot of high speed traffic there. All of
16
     these people coming out not requiring access on 98.
17
     True, a lot of them will come here, but then a lot of
     them are going to go north and then back down Frank Road
18
19
     and cause us a lot of unnecessary traffic, litter, and
20
     just -- it's just not compatible with all these lots
21
    here.
22
         Thank you.
23
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
24
     DAVIS: Thank you, sir.
25
         All right, Mr. Gifford, your turn.
26
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Yes, sir. You'll have to
27
    give me one moment. I organized it on a quick PowerPoint
28
    to help expedite. Do you have the PowerPoint?
```

```
So, again, thank y'all for your time this evening.
 1
 2
     We wanted to address some of the concerns and issues.
     And -- and I think last time there was maybe some
 3
     confusion with what we were working to get accomplished.
 4
 5
          And so what we're -- we're looking at is a
 6
     challenge. The challenges that we see is there's great
 7
     growth going on in -- in Baldwin County. And that's
 8
     causing a lot of opportunities for people to come in and
 9
    build very nice homes. And there's some very beautiful
10
    neighborhoods that are going in.
11
          We saw one with a hundred and seventy-four (174)
12
    acres earlier today that's gonna have three hundred and
13
    sixty-seven (367) locations, and it's gonna have two
14
    entry points into that location in Daphne, Alabama.
15
          And if you look at Daphne, you see that over the
16
    past five years, they've built over nine hundred and nine
17
     (909) locations in their city.
18
          If you look at Fairhope, wow. They opened fifteen
    hundred and sixty-nine (1,569) new doors within their
19
20
    community.
21
          But if you look at Elberta, over that same five-year
22
    period, they had zero. And this comes from the -- the US
23
    Department of Housing. And this was posted on the Gulf
    Shores website. They have literally zero builds over
2.4
25
    that same five-year period of time. And so Elberta is
26
    missing out on a lot of the growth.
27
         We also have a challenge with -- with workforce
28
    housing and -- and housing that -- that can be accessed
```

```
1
     by those workforce that needs to support the Foley and
 2
     the -- and the Gulf Shores and the areas that are growing
 3
     with Owa. And, you know, somebody has to get out there
 4
     and do the work.
 5
          And -- and so we're looking for, you know,
     middle-class-income homes to be able to establish that.
 6
 7
     And -- and as your own manual talks about, historically,
     growth has been centered on many towns and is moved into
 8
 9
     rural areas surrounding those towns as demand for
10
     development has grown.
11
          Annexation by Cities and Towns included an increase
     in the level of available services. Unfortunately,
12
13
     Elberta has not seen the same growth patterns as their
14
     surround peers over the past five years.
15
          Workforce, you know, Elberta is -- and their
16
     demographics are perfectly aligned, you know, for this
17
     solution. Through responsible re-zoning, workforce
18
     housing growth could be expanded within Elberta's
19
     agricultural community while meeting the demand for
20
    middle-income workforce housing.
21
          In support of the rapid growth of -- occurring
     across Baldwin County, if you look at the demographics,
22
23
     the median income is -- is fifty-four thousand dollars
2.4
     ($54,000) per year. They need affordable housing in
25
     that -- in that community, and -- and they need some
26
     growth for that community. If you look --
27
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
28
            Mr. Gifford --
```

DAVIS:

```
MR. JON GIFFORD:
 1
                                 Yes.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 2
 3
     DAVIS:
            -- let me interrupt you. You're just basically
 4
     giving us things that we already know.
 5
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Okay. I'll -- I'll move
 6
     faster.
 7
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 8
     DAVIS:
            So do you have any response --
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Yes, sir.
 9
10
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
11
     DAVIS: -- to specific questions?
12
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Well, so we are looking to
13
     build quality homes. And we do have a commitment from
     our -- our builder --
14
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
15
16
     DAVIS: That you're looking for work -- you're look to
17
    build workforce homes? Is that what I heard?
18
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Well, they're -- they're
19
     gonna be two hundred and fifty ($250,000) to three
20
     hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars ($325,000).
21
     you know, but if you look around the neighborhoods and --
22
     and these are affordable, but they're gonna be nice
23
    homes. They're gonna be --
24
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
            What's the dollar amount of the homes that's
25
     DAVIS:
26
     adjacent to this property?
27
               MR. JON GIFFORD: You know, I -- I'm not sure.
28
     I know that the -- the one gentlemen has purchased his
```

```
two (2) -- two and a half (2.5) acre lot, two (2) acre
1
2
    lot for thirty-eight thousand dollars ($38,000).
          Our builder is buying our lots at thirty-four
 3
 4
    thousand dollars ($34,000). The reason that that lot --
5
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
    DAVIS: Am I correct in that you sold the lots that are
6
7
    now -- have homes on them adjacent to this property?
8
               MR. JON GIFFORD: No, sir. No, sir. We are --
9
    we're here to buy this property. We saw it as an
10
    opportunity. And this property was advertised --
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
11
            Oh, you haven't bought it yet?
12
13
               MR. JON GIFFORD: We have it under contract.
14
    We have it under contract based on the re-zoning. And we
    can only do that -- execute on that contract if we can
15
16
    get RSF-3 or greater, because the -- the cost of building
17
    roads, put in infrastructure, putting in the sewage, the
18
    drainage, you know, all of the -- the electrical, the
19
    lighting, the street lighting, the cost of that is too
20
    great to be able to accomplish it on this parcel and
21
    get -- and -- and get a build done.
22
          The reason that those two (2) acre lots could be
23
    a -- lotted up that way was because Breman Road was
2.4
    existing. It was already there, and so you could easily
25
    lot that up.
26
          You can't go into twenty-two (22) acres,
27
    twenty-three (23) acres and put roads in. And our
28
    analysis shows and -- and our builder --
```

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM 1 2 DAVIS: So, are you the developer? 3 MR. JON GIFFORD: We are the developer of the 4 We push the dirt. And then -- and then in comes our -- our builder, who is the nation's number one 5 builder, DR Horton. 6 7 And they've already committed and given us a letter of interest or intent to come in and execute this in over 8 9 a twelve (12) to twenty (24) month period, take down this 10 property and -- and make a very nice neighborhood out of it. 11 12 And -- and so that -- that's really what we're 13 looking at, is putting in nice homes. And when you look 14 at the -- the current zoning comparatives, you know, we 15 talked about estate properties and -- and that there's 16 estate properties on Sandy Creek. 17 And I'll give you some information on Sandy Creek on a future slide, which is one-point-five (1.5) miles from 18 the town center. And we're one-point-eight (1.8) miles 19 20 from the town center of Elberta. 21 And it actually got annexed in by Elberta, so I 22 imagine that, at some point, they may look to want to even annex this in. 23 24 So the maintenance in the long-term may end up being 25 Elberta. I -- I don't know how all that would fall. 26 haven't talked to the City as to what they would wanna do 27 from that standpoint.

But if you look at -- at the map, so Sandy Creek --

28

```
1
     and I'll talk about it in a moment -- but it's a failed
     estate build. In 2007, they came in and put in an estate
 2
 3
     plan. And they've now come back to -- to re-zone it with
 4
     smaller lot sizes.
 5
          And their Phase 2 is going to have much smaller lot
     sizes, because the demand for those estate properties is
 6
 7
     not there. And you look the graph that shows zero over
 8
     the -- over the five-year period where fifteen hundred
 9
     (1,500), sixteen hundred (1,600) homes were built in
     another community, it's just, you know, it's just not
10
     reasonable to think that -- that -- that, um --
11
12
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
     DAVIS: Address the traffic issues for us. Let's get --
13
14
     kind of get to the --
15
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Yes, sir.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
16
17
     DAVIS: -- meat of the issues.
18
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Yes, sir. So but I'll also
19
     point out that there is an RSF-4 that was approved in
     2007, I believe, this acreage. Unfortunately, it's not
20
     for sale, but it's not been developed.
21
22
          There's another RSF-4 here; still, again, not
23
     developed. It -- it was approved, and it's even further
24
     from the town center.
25
          And typically when you talk about traffic, the
26
     closer to the town center is where you want your greater
27
    density in order to reduce that amount of traffic and
28
    congestion as opposed to further out.
```

```
1
          So there's already been approvals for RSF-4s further
     out from town center than we are now. In fact, those are
 2
 3
     four miles out from the town center.
          And so continuing this one's Sandy Creek,
 4
     established in 2007, two (2) plus acre estate lots.
 5
 6
     fifteen (15) lots sold over ten (10) years; fifteen (15)
 7
     lots, guys.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 8
 9
     DAVIS: Sorry, I'm gonna have --
10
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Okay.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
11
12
     DAVIS: -- I don't wanna keep bringing you back to --
13
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Okay.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
14
15
     DAVIS: -- this particular --
16
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Okay.
17
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
18
     DAVIS: -- subdivision --
19
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Okay.
20
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
21
     DAVIS: It hasn't got anything to do with Sandy Creek.
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Okay. Well, but it's very
22
23
    similar, because when they talk about the estate
24
    properties or what the demand is, well, this -- this --
25
    Sandy Creek shows that there's not a demand for the
    estate properties. And they had to come back and do --
26
27
    So --
28
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
```

```
1
     DAVIS:
            I'm gonna have to give you --
 2
               MR. JON GIFFORD: -- from the -- yes, sir.
                PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 3
 4
             I'm gonna give you one minute --
 5
               MR. JON GIFFORD: From the --
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 6
 7
     DAVIS: -- to wrap this up.
               MR. JON GIFFORD: -- from -- yes, sir. Okay.
 8
     So from -- from the traffic, on the last meeting, there
 9
     was some confusion as to whether or not we would put --
10
11
     planning to put a -- a road out on the 98.
12
     absolutely planning to do that.
13
          We've talked to traffic engineers as well. We've
     explained -- in fact, Ms. Linda had the -- the same
14
15
     statistic, about ten trips a day per home household.
     of those, only one during peak periods.
16
17
          So you got your morning peak period, your evening
    peak period, and there's only one trip typically that
18
    households produce during a peak period. So, you're only
19
     looking at fifty-eight (58) trips during peak periods and
20
     a total of five hundred and eight (580) trips the entire
21
22
     day. And they will have both Breman Road and US 98.
         And because this has over fifty (50) lots within it,
23
    it will require a traffic study. We've already spoken to
24
    our traffic engineering group and already have proposals
25
26
    and quotes to get that done.
27
         The minimum lot size we're talking about is ten
    thousand (10,000) square feet, but our typical size that
28
```

```
1
    we have and that we've -- we've put in our land use is
2
    eighty-by-one-hundred-and-forty (80X140), which is eleven
    hundred and two hundred (1,102) -- eleven thousand, two
3
    hundred (11,200) square feet.
4
5
          And -- and so as you look at the -- the staff
6
    findings, and I'm not gonna read all these for you, but,
7
    you know, all of them -- In fact, we've meet with Vince,
    and we've met with Ms. Linda. And Ms. Linda --
8
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
9
            I'm fixin' to call time on you.
10
    DAVIS:
              MR. JON GIFFORD: Yes, sir. Ms. Linda
11
12
    expressed to us that the only reason is because she wants
13
    to see a more gradual growth. Well, there's such a
14
    gradual growth in Elberta, it's been -- it's been zero.
15
          There is a change. The sewer and water obviously is
16
    change on the north end. So the larger lots are no
17
    longer required, because you don't need septic, you don't
    have to have wells. We're gonna provide that as a public
18
19
    utility.
20
          There's also a new high school in Elberta. There's
21
    gonna be people and families --
22
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
23
    DAVIS: Okay. We're calling time on you. Thank you.
24
              MR. JON GIFFORD: Okay. So --
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
25
26
    DAVIS:
            Thank you.
27
              MR. JON GIFFORD: But -- but can I just
28
    close --
```

```
1
                  (Audience members speaking inaudibly.)
 2
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
           Sir --
 3
     DAVIS:
 4
               MR. JON GIFFORD: My last close. Baldwin
 5
     County --
 6
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 7
     DAVIS: Audience --
8
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Baldwin County --
 9
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
10
    DAVIS:
            Excuse me, hold on a second. We don't need to
11
    hear anything from the floor to the speaker. Everything
    needs to come from that podium to us and us back to them.
12
13
    So please refrain from talking.
14
               MR. JON GIFFORD: So -- so based on Baldwin
15
    County's Master Plan, Baldwin County has always protected
16
    private property rights and uses, provided those uses are
    not detrimental to the surrounding community --
17
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
18
19
            Sir, your time's up. Your time's up.
20
               MR. JON GIFFORD: I'm just basically asking
21
    that you help us.
22
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
    DAVIS: Commission, you got any questions? You got --
23
24
    we'll -- we'll see if we've got any questions for you.
25
               COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: I just want to
26
    make sure I understand your position.
27
               MR. JON GIFFORD:
                                 Yes, sir.
28
               COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: As I understand
```

```
1
     it, you're saying you perceive the need for more
 2
     affordable housing in the area, that there's a workforce
 3
     needed, there is no -- there's an insufficient workforce,
 4
     because they can't afford the housing.
 5
          And you find that you're unable to do this because
     of the zoning, so you are seeking to zone so that you
 6
 7
     could build enough housing so that the price is low
     enough so that the workforce is attracted to this
 8
 9
     particular area. And the barrier is the zoning.
10
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Yes. And the cost of -- of
11
     infrastructure for those larger lots.
12
               COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: And that's --
13
     that's --
14
               MR. JON GIFFORD: Yes, sir.
15
               COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: Okay, thank
16
     you.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
17
18
     DAVIS: Any other questions for Mr. Gifford?
19
                               (No response.)
20
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
             Okay. Thank you, sir. Oh, one other person
21
     DAVIS:
22
     signed up in -- in support.
23
          Lydia Franz, I think you're the realtor?
24
               MS. LYDIA FRANZ: Yes. I'm gonna keep this
     super short since we're all tired. I just wanna point
25
26
    out that as much as I respect and understand the staff's
27
    opinion for a gradual shift, that's not always possible
28
    due to the availability of property to purchase.
                                                        You
```

```
1
    know, not everything is actually for sale.
2
          We have to look at several factors in development:
 3
    road frontage; the availability of public utilities,
 4
    which was a major factor that changed recently on this
    property. That does allow for the creation of smaller
5
 6
    lots.
7
         The RSF-1, thirty thousand (30,000) minimum lot size
8
    is -- is very doable with no public utilities. But when
9
    you do have public utilities, just like in the Town of
10
    Elberta's R-1 zoning, it allows for the smaller lot
11
    sizes.
          If you'll look at R -- R -- R-1 on the Town of
12
13
    Elberta's zoning requirements, it's actually minimum --
    I'm sorry. Tired. Been up since four -- minimum lot
14
15
    size of forty thousand (40,000) square feet with a well
16
    and a septic tank. With a septic tank and public water,
17
    it's fifteen thousand square feet (15,000). With both
18
    public water and sewer, it's nine thousand (9,000) square
19
    foot minimum lot size.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
20
2.1
    DAVIS: Let me -- let me interrupt you. We know all
22
    that.
23
               MS. LYDIA FRANZ: Okay. Well good.
                                                    So,
24
    anyway, and their R-1 zoning, you don't -- you wouldn't
25
    actually need any change at all if it was annexed into
26
    the City of Elberta, because it's only four (4) miles --
27
    point-four (.4) miles to the closest city annex property.
28
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
```

```
1
            Thank you.
     DAVIS:
 2
               MS. LYDIA FRANZ:
                                 That's all I've got to say.
 3
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
     DAVIS:
             All right. We're gonna close the public hearing
 4
 5
     at this point.
 6
          Linda, do you have anything else you'd like to add?
 7
               MS. LINDA LEE: Well, first of all, RSF-1 at
     thirty thousand (30,000) square feet and RSF-2 at fifteen
 8
 9
     thousand (15,000) square feet is not an estate-sized lot.
10
          I'm not sure where the information the applicant
     presented saying that there has been zero builds in
11
12
               But in the Planning District 22, County-zoned
13
     portions, in the last month, we've approved ten (10)
14
              In the City of Elberta, in the last year or two,
     houses.
     the Building Department says they've done -- I think it's
15
16
     forty-five (45). So there are housing being built in the
     area of Elberta.
17
          As I -- I'm not sure where we are on the screen.
18
19
     I told the applicant -- And I do understand you.
20
     property may not be for sale. I understand that.
     one mile down the road, there is property that is
21
22
     currently zoned RSF-4 -- I'm sorry, 3 -- I'm sorry, 4, I
23
     was correct -- at County Road 95. That property was
24
     re-zoned in 2006, and it has not been developed to date.
          There is property zoned RTF-4 to the south a little
25
26
    bit more east that has not been developed. And it was
27
    re-zoned in 2006. So staff stands by my recommendation
28
    that I don't feel that RSF-3 is appropriate at this time
```

```
1
     in this area.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 2
 3
     DAVIS: Got any questions for Linda?
 4
                               (No response.)
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 5
 6
     DAVIS:
               Okay. Thank you.
 7
               COMMISSION MEMBER KEVIN MURPHY: Is there a
     possibility that if the entrance was only on 98 and not
 8
 9
     on Breman Road, it may be a little bit more palatable for
10
     the neighbors?
               MS. LINDA LEE: You would have to ask the
11
12
     neighbors that question.
13
               COMMISSION MEMBER KEVIN MURPHY: Could we --
14
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
15
     DAVIS: Public hearing's closed, sir.
               COMMISSION MEMBER KEVIN MURPHY: -- approve it
16
17
     with conditional -- with conditions of only a Highway
     98 entrance? Is that a possibility?
18
               MS. LINDA LEE: Ask your attorney. And please
19
20
     remember, this board is making a recommendation. County
21
     Commission makes the final decision.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
22
23
     DAVIS:
            David, that was a question for you. Kevin asked
     the question, if -- if we limit this -- if we approve it
24
25
     subject to a limitation of an entrance only onto
    Highway 98 as opposed to the Breman Road.
2.6
27
               ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: Remember the re-zoning
28
    request is just to determine whether or not -- the
```

```
re-zone request determines whether or not the property --
 1
     this property is appropriate for that zoning
 2
 3
     classification based on what's around it.
          When it comes down to subdivision plat approval or
 4
     submittal of subdivision plat, that is when those issues
 5
 6
     would be addressed.
 7
          So the question at the end of the day is whether or
     not this property is appropriate for the zoning
 8
 9
     classification that's being requested. And then at a
10
     subsequent time, they'll submit the application for
     subdivision to you all. And that'd be part of staff
11
12
     review process.
13
               COMMISSION MEMBER KEVIN MURPHY: That answers
     it.
14
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
15
     DAVIS: That answer your question? Any other questions
16
17
     for Linda?
18
                               (No response.)
19
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
20
            Okay. Staff has recommended denial. This would
21
    be a recommendation from this body to the County
     Commission. Is there a motion to recommend denial?
22
23
               COMMISSION MEMBER BRANDON BIAS: So moved,
    Mr. Chairman.
24
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
25
2.6
    DAVIS: Okay. By Brandon, there was a motion to
27
    recommend denial to County Commission.
                                             Is there a
28
    second?
```

```
1
                COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY:
                                                 Second.
 2
                PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 3
     DAVIS:
            Second by Bonnie. All in favor, say aye.
                  (Commission Members say "aye" in unison.)
 4
 5
                PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 6
     DAVIS:
             All opposed.
 7
                                (No response.)
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 8
 9
     DAVIS: Unanimously approved.
10
     8 - CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS AND REQUESTS: SUBDIVISION CASES
11
12
      8-A - CASE HS-19001, BOWMAN HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION SETBACK APPEAL
13
14
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
     DAVIS: Okay. Next case is HS-19001, Bowman highway
15
16
     construction setback appeal.
17
               MS. MARY BOOTH: Good evening. This is for
     HS-19001, for the Bowman highway construction setback
18
19
     appeal.
20
          The applicant is requesting an appeal from the
     Highway Construction Setback Legislation to allow
21
    construction of a covered patio adjacent to the existing
22
23
     building -- excuse me -- that's a restaurant.
          This -- this is located in District 12. It is zoned
24
    B-2. It is on the northwest corner of the intersection
25
26
    of State Highway 59 and County Road 54.
27
         This is his proposed plan. It's gonna be
    twenty-seven-by-twenty-seven (27X27). It will be located
28
```