```
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
1
2
    DAVIS:
             All opposed?
 3
                               (No response.)
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 4
5
    DAVIS: Carried unanimously.
 6
7
    7-B - CASE Z-19008, KIRCHHARR, J & S LAND AND TRIPLE K MINING LLC
                                  PROPERTY
8
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
9
10
            Okay. Next case is Z-19008. Do we have a staff
    DAVIS:
11
    report.
12
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman.
    This is the Kirchharr property. The applicant is
13
14
    requesting re-zoning approximately one hundred and
15
    forty-five (145) acres from RA, M-1, which is Light
16
    Industrial, and B-4, which is Major Commercial, to RSF-2
17
    in order to allow for development of a planned
    residential subdivision to be known as Savannah Estates.
18
          Savannah Estates, if approved, would include three
19
20
    hundred and ninety-two (392) lots. And it would be a
21
    planned development.
22
          We have two applications. This is the first
    application. This is the re-zoning. And then we have
23
24
    the planed residential site plan application, which will
25
    be the next agenda item.
26
          We kept the two applications for easy discussions.
27
    We start out with discussing the re-rezoning. And then
28
    once we have completed the public hearing on that, we
```

move to the PRD site plan.

The subject property is located on the north side of County Road 64. It's about four-tenths (.4) of a mile north and six-tenths (.6) of a mile east of the intersection of County Road 64 and County Road 54 in Planning District 15.

This is the preliminary plan. And this shows the current zoning. The bulk of the zoning is M-1, which is the Light Industrial District. You also have B-4, Major Commercial, as I stated before. And then the balance of property is RA, Rural Agricultural.

The property is currently undeveloped, but there is an operating dirt pit on the property. And, interestingly, the M-1 portion of the property was originally zoned RSF-2, which is what is being requested tonight. It was re-zoned to Light Industrial back in 2012.

Here is another preliminary plan of the property. And here's our locator map. And on this map, you can more clearly see the M-1 area and the adjacent zoning designations.

If you'll notice, the property to the north is also zoned RSF-2. And that is the location for The Reserve at Daphne. This — this was re-zoning and planned development that you all considered and recommended approval of last year. And this was a approved by the County Commission.

Here is the aerial of the subject property. And you

32

```
1
    can see at the northeast portion, the location of the
    dirt pit, pictures showing the subject property as well
2
 3
    adjoining properties.
          We did recommend approval on this. And, like I
 4
5
     said, right now, we're going to confine our comments to
     the re-zoning. We understand that there are a number of
 6
     concerns about this proposal.
7
8
          There has been significant population growth in this
            There are a number of similar developments that
9
10
     are planned; The Reserve, for instance, which was
     recently approved, which actually included a larger area
11
     and would include more lots. It included over six
12
    hundred (600) lots.
13
          So this one at three hundred and ninety-two (392) is
14
15
     similar to the development trends that we're seeing.
    And, as I stated, it has an adjacent RSF-2 zoning, which
16
17
     is one of our standards.
          For the most part, this would be down zoning,
18
    because you would be removing the M-1 and the B-4, and
19
20
     you would actually be putting the M-1 back to its
21
     original zoning designation, which was RSF-2.
2.2
          Again, on the re-zoning, staff recommends approval.
     We understand that there are significant concerns about
23
     these two requests. And so those are my comments for
24
25
     right now.
                 But I will be happy to answer any questions.
     And I will probably have additional comments once we have
26
27
     completed the public hearing.
28
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
```

```
1
    DAVIS:
             Okay.
                    Any questions for Vince at this point?
2
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY:
                                                Yeah.
3
    main concern with me on this was the tract of property
4
    Frank Lundy talked about.
5
              MR. VINCE JACKSON: Right.
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: And the fact
6
7
    that future development or whatever would have some
8
    conflict with County Road 54.
              MR. VINCE JACKSON: We made the -- the
9
10
    applicant's engineer aware of that comment. So it would
11
    it probably be best to let them address how they intend
12
    respond.
13
          That particular comment is actually more relevant to
14
    the planned development application. And it becomes even
    more relevant, if the re-zoning the PRD are approved,
15
16
    once they get to the subdivision phase and actual
17
    construction. That's where it really becomes an issue.
18
    But once the -- the engineer comes up to speak, they
19
    should be able to address this question.
20
              COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: Okay.
21
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
22
    DAVIS:
            Any other questions for Vince at this point?
              ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: Vince, do they have
23
2.4
    access to two public roads or just the one?
25
              MR. VINCE JACKSON: They have access to County
26
    Road 54 and County Road 64.
27
              ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: One of the things that
28
    we've talked about briefly about phasing plans, because
```

```
of the requirements for multiple access based on the
 1
 2
     number of lots, is to really talk about how the phases --
 3
     when those entrances would be built.
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: Mm-hm.
 4
               ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: I know this is not the
 5
 6
     time, but --
 7
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: Right.
               ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: -- it's something we
 8
 9
     need to consider as part of whatever master plan they are
10
     going to submit to us at some point.
11
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: Yes, sir.
12
               COMMISSION MEMBER DEWANE HAYES: Hey, Vince, is
13
     there -- I know that we've re-zoned a lot of that -- the
     roads down 54 on the north side. Anything in the near
14
15
     future about connecting that to 90?
16
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: That's a Highway Department
17
     question. I mean, we -- that's something that Planning
     and Zoning, honestly, is -- is not really involved in.
18
19
    So I can't speak for the Highway Department, for whether
20
     there have been any discussions or not.
21
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
22
     DAVIS: Any other questions for Vince before we open the
23
    public hearing?
2.4
                               (No response.)
25
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
2.6
    DAVIS: Thank you, Vince.
27
         We'll open the public hearing at this point. We've
    got Joe Rector behalf of the developer.
```

1 MR. JOE RECTOR: Good afternoon. My name is Joe Rector with Mullins, LLC, and I am representing the 2 3 applicant, Kevin Kirchharr, on this. And I can -- I'd be happy to answer any questions. 4 5 I'd almost like to defer a little bit until -- until the 6 latter part of this and -- and hopefully be able to 7 address some of the concerns that may come up from any of 8 the citizens that comes up on this. 9 But just to kind of echo real quickly what Vince said, the main thing that -- the way we're approaching 10 this is this was previously, portions of it anyway, 11 12 RSF -- RSF-2. And that's, you know -- we're feel like 13 that's a down-zoning from what we are now back to that. 14 And so that's one of the things we ask that's kept in 15 mind as well. But I'll -- I'll be glad to come back afterwards. 16 17 PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM 18 DAVIS: Hold -- hold on just a second. Let me ask a question before you go. I know there's concern on the 19 traffic -- future traffic patterns. You wanna go ahead 20 21 and address that? 2.2 MR. JOE RECTOR: Okay. So as a part of the -two parts to that. As one in the next item, we have a 23 24 master plan that we have -- we have submitted to show 25 what a potential -- what our plan is for phasing and that when the first -- the first phase is what you see that is 26 27 on this map now currently showing as B-4 with blue square 28 around that Phase 1, and then Phase 2 is the one that is

adjacent to County Road 64 would be Phase 2.

So initially the connection point that we would be making is out to County Road 54 at -- at the, uh -- approximately where that B-4 currently is located.

We have hired a traffic consultant that is working with the County and is looking at all the long-range plans on this and with all the future development going on around there.

And it is all information that we would use during the actual design phase of this, that would, you know, determine whether or not for sure that it would be, you know — what the turn lane situation would be, anything with any realignments in trying to line up with adjacent roadways, and things of that nature at this point.

But this -- at this point, we have a traffic study that's -- that's available that shows what the traffic counts of this will be and everything over a period of time as the build-out takes place.

Because that's one thing with the development of this size. We're not talking about that there's gonna be three hundred and ninety-two (392) homes out there at the end of this year, next year, or the next five or six years.

I mean, this is a long-planned -- uh, we've been working on this particular site for a couple years to get to this point. And many people may be aware here that would notice a couple months ago, we originally submitted, and then we got some staff comments and -- and

```
1
     things that we wanted to go back and address and to
 2
     consider as well.
          So it's been a long process getting to this point.
 3
     And we see this as being something that we'll continue to
 4
     work on and perfect as it gets farther and farther down
 5
     the line here.
 6
 7
          Because, like Vince mentioned, this is, you know --
 8
     we're trying to get the re-zoning first and then next get
 9
     the PRD and then enter into the actual design of the
10
     particular phases and when those triggers on different
11
     traffic parts will be.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
12
13
     DAVIS: Any more questions for Joe before we go to the
14
     opposition?
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: Where exactly
15
16
     is the excavation pit that they talk about?
17
               MR. JOE RECTOR: So there -- there are -- there
18
     were two active pits on these parcels.
                                             The one is the --
19
     the northern two. These two tracts, they are a closed
20
     pit that is now being through -- going through the
21
     process of being reclaimed. This pit is about to close
22
     and will also be going through the State reclamation
23
    process as well to do a shutdown on it.
24
               COMMISSION MEMBER BONNIE LOWRY: Thank you.
25
               ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: When you -- when you
26
     say State reclamation process, are you just talking about
27
    stabilization of the slopes?
28
               MR. JOE RECTOR: Yeah.
                                       They have a -- they
```

```
1
     have a process they go through where they submit their
 2
    plans for the close-out and stabilization.
 3
     everything that has to be sent to ADEM.
 4
               ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: Okay.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 5
 6
     DAVIS: Any other questions?
 7
                               (No response.)
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 8
 9
     DAVIS: Thank you, sir.
10
          Louisa Toler is signed up in opposition. Would you
     like to come to the mic? Is Louisa here.
11
12
                 (Ms. Louisa Toler approached the podium.)
13
               Ms. LOUISA TOLER: It's really interesting that
14
     there were no photographs shown of the actual dirt pits.
     They look like the Grand Canyon.
15
16
          Before you vote on this, you really know -- you
17
    really need to go look at the site. Have you ever done
     that before? If you haven't looked at this site, this is
18
19
     one you really need to look at.
20
          As far as the zoning, the B-4 area is directly
21
    across the road from my property. I realize that on B-4,
22
    you can have almost anything. So it might be better if
23
     it were residential.
24
          The adjoining property owner to the south, who is
25
    here -- I'm not that one -- is concerned about the runoff
26
    from this property. They're already experiencing
27
    flooding.
28
          On the B-4 site, there used to be a pond.
                                                      It's
```

```
1
     still there. The natural springs -- we have lots of
 2
     ponds in Belforest that are created by natural springs.
 3
     The owner, after it was re-zoned B-4, decided to cover it
 4
     up.
 5
          Well, you can't really ever get away -- do away with
 6
     a natural spring pond. It's still there. So somebody
 7
     will be effected by it sooner or later.
 8
          We have concerns about the impending traffic influx.
 9
     We have concerns about the drainage. We're just
10
     concerned because we don't -- we just don't feel the need
11
     for four hundred (400) more houses here anytime soon.
12
     Thank you.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
13
     DAVIS: Hang on. Let me ask if there's any questions for
14
1.5
     you before you leave the mic.
16
          Any questions for Ms. Toler?
17
                               (No response.)
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
18
19
     DAVIS: Thank you, ma'am.
20
          Carol McKnight is the only other person signed up to
21
     speak against. Are you here?
22
                 (Ms. Carol McKnight approached the podium.)
               Ms. CAROL MCKNIGHT: Good evening. Ms. Toler
23
24
     is my sister, So I'm part of the packaged deal. But we
25
     both have concerns and have had for a long time for all
26
     development in Belforest.
27
          The traffic situation is just horrendous.
                                                      There --
28
     it's very, very difficult for people to access 64
```

```
1
    morning, noon, and night because of all of the traffic.
 2
          When you put more people coming onto 64 from 54,
 3
     it's only going to get worse. It's only going to get
    worse with the school, which is supposed to open fall of
 4
 5
     2002, whatever it is -- 2020.
 6
          These are concerns. We have concerns about the
7
    drainage issue as well as the traffic issue, the whole
8
    congestion and the lack of access, the whole
     infrastructure of the neighborhood that has just really
9
10
    gone down. And we're just really concerned about the
11
    future of it. Thank you.
12
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
13
    DAVIS: Thank you.
14
          Joe, do you have anything you'd like to add? Call
15
    on the engineer at this point.
16
               MR. PAUL BRONOLD: I signed up for this -- to
17
    speak on this. Paul Bronold.
18
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
19
    DAVIS: What -- what's your name?
              MR. PAUL BRONOLD: Paul Bronold. One of those
20
21
    reds sheets I signed.
22
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
    DAVIS: The case we're discussing now -- you're in the
23
    next file.
24
25
              MR. PAUL BRONOLD: No, sir. I'm supposed to be
26
    in this one.
27
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
28
    DAVIS:
            Okay.
```

```
MR. VINCE JACKSON: Remember, there are two
 1
 2
     separate applications. So if --
 3
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
     DAVIS: Okay.
 4
 5
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: You know, there's the --
 6
     the re-zoning and there's the PRD.
 7
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
     DAVIS:
             Okay. You signed up for the actual PRD file.
 8
 9
     And you wanna say something on this one?
10
               MR. PAUL BRONOLD: I would just like to
     continue to comment, if I could.
11
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
12
13
     DAVIS:
            Okay. Come on up.
14
                  (Mr. Paul Bronold approached the podium.)
15
               MR. PAUL BRONOLD: Thank you. My name is Paul
16
              The reason we're over here, moved to Daphne
17
     about six months ago, bought a home in The Reserves
18
     there. And since then, we got a new school coming
19
     right -- excuse me -- right on the corner of 64 and 54.
20
     I don't know, I guess y'all are aware of the huge new
21
     school that's being built there.
22
          Again, that's part of the traffic problem. Because
23
     you've got a stop sign from 54 coming into 64 that is
24
     where the school's gonna be. We have two lanes. Both 54
25
     and 64 is two lanes.
26
          The traffic going out 64 through Loxley is
27
     tremendous. And it's just bumper to bumper in the
28
    evenings and in the morning. They put up some, I assume,
```

```
counters right in front of where the school's gonna be
 1
 2
     sometime back, maybe three weeks ago, something. But
 3
     we've not heard anything about that.
 4
          So I -- I think most of our concerns are traffic,
 5
     irrigation, or runoff drainage. It is a clay pit.
    mean, they've been running trucks in and out of there
 6
 7
     ever since I've been in The Reserve, which has been since
8
     last July. And -- and that has been a constant, going
9
     one way or the other with the -- coming in with the dirt.
10
          That's the only entrance is right there, as far as I
11
    know. Just like with The Reserve, we have one entrance.
12
    And they want to build all the way up and then behind
13
    this subdivision, you know, what they're putting in
    there.
14
15
          Our -- our concern -- I talked to everyone and --
16
    and the people in The Reserve. Our concern is the
    traffic, but also how our property values are going up.
17
    Because people are coming in, but they going to town
18
    homes in here.
19
20
         We don't know whether they're going to rent them,
21
    sell them. We don't know if they're gonna be
22
    individually owned. We don't know the -- the lot sizes.
    We found that out. That's like a half acre lot, but we
23
    don't know what size homes they can build. So we're
24
25
    concerned about our property values.
26
         And, again, everyone else had stated before me made
27
    a very good case, so I don't want to repeat any of that.
28
    I'm just trying to add a little extra information.
```

```
think we're doing it on the zoning part.
 1
 2
          And, again, if we know what they're going to build,
 3
     and they say they're coming in building three hundred and
     fifty-thousand dollar ($350,000) homes, I don't think
 4
     anybody'd have a problem with it, if we can work out
 5
     traffic. That will still be a problem.
 6
 7
          But if they going to have rental homes and town
 8
     homes, people can rent them, they're going to own them,
     we don't know. So without that information, I mean, it
 9
10
     could be the greatest thing since sliced bread for them
     to come in there, if we know property values going to go
11
12
     up and we going to have sufficient traffic control where
13
     we've got a four-lane going through or something like
14
     that.
15
          But right now with the traffic, I don't see how they
16
     can do it, putting in another four hundred (400) homes.
17
    And you said over a number of years. Well, you know, I
18
     don't know how many they going to build in the first year
19
     or -- or two years.
20
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
21
     DAVIS:
            Thank you, sir.
22
               MR. PAUL BRONOLD: Thank you.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
23
24
     DAVIS:
            Sir, would you state your name one more time for
25
     the record.
26
               MR. PAUL BRONOLD: Yes. Paul Bronold.
27
    B-R-O-N-O-L-D.
```

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM

28

```
1
     DAVIS: Okay. Thank you. We'll close the public hearing
 2
     at this point.
 3
               MR. MIKE HAYES: Excuse me. I meant -- I might
 4
     have meant that wrong, but I meant to sign something to
 5
     speak, maybe, on one of the red sheets.
 6
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 7
             It's probably on the next case. Have you got
     DAVIS:
 8
     anything to add that hasn't already been said?
 9
               MR. MIKE HAYES: Maybe.
10
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
11
     DAVIS:
            All right. Try to -- try to make it brief,
    please.
12
13
               COMMISSION MEMBER MICHAEL KAISER: Okay.
14
    right.
15
               THE COURT REPORTER: What is your name?
               MR. MIKE HAYES: Well, I have the same concerns
16
    as they have --
17
               THE COURT REPORTER: What is your name, please?
18
    What is your name, please?
19
20
               MR. MIKE HAYES: I'm sorry. Mike Hayes.
    three -- these three parcels right here and been in my
21
    family since the 1800's. And -- and he talked about the,
22
23
    I mean, I just spent over two hundred and fifty-thousand
    dollars ($250,000) getting off of the road to get away
24
25
    from congestion. I really didn't know -- wanna have a
26
    subdivision right behind me.
27
         This I don't have an issue with. How are they going
28
    to put houses, unless they put them in a pit? Because
```

```
it's a fifty (50) foot hole. I don't know.
 1
 2
    millions of loads of dirt that would have to fill that
 3
     up.
 4
          But -- and -- and then there's the water issue, like
 5
     they talking about, since they filled this pond -- which
 6
     took some water. When this floods -- Now, if y'all
 7
     remember the hundred (100) year flood -- all this water
 8
     flows and cuts right -- right down through here to try to
     get to Fish River.
 9
10
          And -- and when that backs up, it comes right --
11
    right through here through my gate and -- and flows to
    Fish River. There'd have to be some kind of way to
12
    get -- there's -- there's a lot of water that comes off
13
14
    of this hill.
15
          But this, the re-zoning, nah, I don't have an issue
16
    with. All I have an issue with is right here we've been
    having recreational dove shoots all my life every year.
17
18
    You put houses right here, that's going to change my
    whole way of life. I mean, I just -- this end don't
19
20
    affect me, this don't affect me. This right here is what
21
    I'm concerned about other than that.
22
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
    DAVIS: All right. Thank you.
23
24
          Joe, got anything to address on these issues?
25
              MR. JOE RECTOR: Yes. I just I'm not sure was
26
    he saying he was for the re-zoning? Did you say you were
27
    for the re-zoning or --
28
              MR. MIKE HAYES: I'm not -- the re-zoning I --
```

```
I don't have an issue with.
 1
 2
               MR. JOE RECTOR: Okay. I was just trying --
 3
               MR. MIKE HAYES: It's what's going to be put
 4
     there.
 5
               MR. JOE RECTOR:
                               Yes, sir. I understand.
 6
               MR. MIKE HAYES:
                               The development right behind
 7
     me.
 8
               MR. JOE RECTOR:
                                The couple of issues that --
 9
     that got mentioned several times typically are addressed
10
     at a later date in the actual design phase, stuff with
     the stormwater, things of that nature.
11
12
          I mean, we're not gonna be able to get a plan
13
     approved through the County that doesn't meet all of the
     stormwater requirements. All the water that everybody
14
15
     was talking about will have to be to addressed, treated
     onsite. We'll have large stormwater conveyance and ponds
16
17
     and everything of that nature.
          Again, the pit, just to clarify, too, is actually a
18
19
     sand pit, sand mining operation, not a clay mining
20
     operation. So we know a lot about the soils in this area
21
     and what, you know -- how those will be affected as the
     development moves forward, because of the fact that we do
22
23
     have the knowledge of the mining operation that's been
24
     going on there for a number of years.
25
          So and as mentioned before, we'll be working with
26
     staff, we'll get -- with the district to go forward to
27
     address any of the concerns with the turn lanes that may
28
    or may not be required at different times based on just
```

```
how big the different cases are and what those triggers
 2
    are shown to be by those traffic studies.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 3
    DAVIS:
 4
             Thank you very much.
5
          We will close the public hearing at this point.
    Staff -- Vince, you got anything else to add?
 6
7
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: I really don't have
    anything else on the re-zoning. I will have some
8
9
    additional comments as we move to the PRD site plan.
10
               ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: Vince, I do have some
11
    questions about the filling in of the -- the landfills.
12
    Should we take that up PRD discussion as opposed to here,
    or is now the time to ask that?
13
14
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: I would take it up in the
15
    PRD discussion. I mean, I -- I think, honestly, it's
16
    really relevant more to the subdivision construction
17
           But we can take it up during the PRD.
18
               ATTORNEY DAVID CONNER: Okay.
                                             Thank you.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
19
20
    DAVIS: Any other questions for Vince?
21
                               (No response.)
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
22
23
    DAVIS: Okay. This is the recommendation on the
    re-zoning County Commission. Staff has recommended
24
25
    approval. Is there a motion to do so?
26
               COMMISSION MEMBER ARTHUR OKEN: So moved,
    Mr. Chairman.
27
28
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
```

```
1
     DAVIS:
            Okay. Motion by Art. Is there a second?
 2
               COMMISSION MEMBER KEVIN MURPHY: I'll second.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 3
     DAVIS: Second by Kevin. Kevin, did you make the second?
 4
 5
               COMMISSION MEMBER KEVIN MURPHY: Yes.
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
 6
     DAVIS: Okay. We got a motion and a second. All in
 7
     favor, say aye.
 8
 9
                 (Commission Members say "aye" in unison.)
10
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
11
    DAVIS: All opposed?
12
                               (No response.)
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
13
14
    DAVIS: Carried unanimously.
1.5
16
                7-C - CASE Z-19011, SAVANNAH ESTATES, PRD
               PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CHAIRMAN SAM
17
            The next one is Z-19011, which is the PRD for the
18
19
    case we just heard. Staff report.
20
               MR. VINCE JACKSON: Yes. And I wanted to make
    one correction. We have on here one hundred and
21
22
    forty-five (145) acres, and that's what it -- they added
23
    a little additional acreage to it.
24
         Originally, this was supposed to come to y'all in
25
            The actual acreage, if you look at your staff
26
    report, is one-hundred-forty-eight-point-five-five
27
     (148.55). But, it doesn't -- it doesn't really change
28
    anything as far as the number of lots. It was the same
```