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WELCOME, INVOCATION, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.

It's 8:30. Welcome to this August 14 th work session of the Baldwin County Commission. We always draw a crowd when we come down here. Gloria knows how to bring a breakfast.

Commissioner Gruber, would you lead in the prayer?
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Okay.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: And if you'd
lead us in the Pledge, Commissioner Dorsey.
(Commissioner Charles "Skip" Gruber led in prayer.)

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Please join me in
the Pledge.
(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

Q-5 - RESOLUTION \#2018-107 - COLLECTION OF DELINQUENT PROPERTY_
TAXES IN BALDWIN COUNTY AS PROVIDED BY ACT NO. 2018-577
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: And first
item, Ms. Wanda, if we'll get your permission, we'll
have -- Revenue Commissioner Faust has an item.
REVENUE COMMISSIONER TEDDY FAUST: Sorry,

Wanda.

Good morning. You have a resolution --
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Good morning.
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packet involving our tax sale. I'm very, very, very proud of this legislation that we got passed in March.

It allows us to go to a tax lien sale as opposed to sale of property for taxes for delinquent property owners.

I wish David Conner was here, because he could vouch for this. We are -- we spend so much money in legal trying to resolve excess fund issues.

And so this -- what this legislation did was get rid of the excess funds. We'll just be selling taxes on the tax lien. And they'll pay interest purely on the amount of tax they owe.

And Kim can probably understand where we are.

This -- this past year, we collected two hundred and seven thousand dollars (\$207,000) in taxes. We collected eight-point-five million dollars (\$8,500,000) in excess funds.

And so that just goes away. It takes a lot of administrative work off our hands. And it's a good thing.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: And y'all
worked really hard on that, you and some others --
REVENUE COMMISSIONER TEDDY FAUST: Shelby
County --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: -- to get that passed.

REVENUE COMMISSIONER TEDDY FAUST: -- Revenue

Commissioner and myself spent a lot of time in Montgomery this past spring.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: And this -The property owners in this county have suffered a long time through --

REVENUE COMMISSIONER TEDDY FAUST: Statewide has suffered a long time.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Especially in Baldwin.

REVENUE COMMISSIONER TEDDY FAUST: I talked to a guy they other day who had been hit by a car in December and couldn't pay his taxes, a nine hundred dollar (\$900) tax bill. His interest is going up five hundred and seventy-five dollars (\$575) a month right now due to the excess.

MS. KIM CREECH: And this will help prevent some of the class action lawsuits that the County's been under due to these excess funds. We've had class action lawsuits where they want, you know, the interest earned off of these funds.

And this -- this is a much better approach. And it make it where the taxpayer, if something happens and it gets in the wrong -- you know, changes hands, they don't know it, and then their taxes get sold, and the interest is so high that it's hard for them to even pay the interest alone.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I think -- I think at those rates, we don't call it interest anymore. I

1
think it's called the vig.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Uh-huh.
(Indicates affirmatively.)
REVENUE COMMISSIONER TEDDY FAUST: It -- it's awful. It's worse than bad.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yeah.
REVENUE COMMISSIONER TEDDY FAUST: I've calculated it. Interest rates are -- on the taxes alone is seven hundred and fifty percent (750\%). You know, it -- it's crazy. I don't know how it ever existed.

But what happened was Shelby County got in a class action. And -- and he and I started looking at the law and everything.

And there was a provision in there on tax lien, but it wasn't well written. So we got it amended. And it passed. We couldn't believe we got it passed. But it's great.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Good work.
REVENUE COMMISSIONER TEDDY FAUST: We appreciate y'all's support on it.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay. That will be on the agenda for Tuesday.

REVENUE COMMISSIONER TEDDY FAUST: Thank you.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.
Thank you.
MR. RON CINK: Consent, Mr. Chairman? Is that
fine?
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Yes, sir.

| 1 | COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yep. Do |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | you think if -- |
| 3 | COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Do you want to |
| 4 | put it on Consent? |
| 5 | REVENUE COMMISSIONER TEDDY FAUST: Yeah, yeah. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Okay. I |
| 7 | was going to say -- |
| 8 | COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: I thought |
| 9 | maybe -- |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: -- if |
| 11 | nothing else -- |
| 12 | COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: -- you'd want |
| 13 | to talk about it. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: If you |
| 15 | want to do a presentation, you know, to explain to the |
| 16 | citizens -- |
| 17 | COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: I mean, it |
| 18 | would really help. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: I mean, |
| 20 | that way -- that way the word would get out a little bit |
| 21 | better, and people would feel -- |
| 22 | REVENUE COMMISSIONER TEDDY FAUST: Yeah. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: -- a |
| 24 | little better about what's -- |
| 25 | COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay. Good. |
| 26 | COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: -- going |
| 27 | on with our taxes. |
| 28 | COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: And it'll get |
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the word out to those who have been coming in here and making all the money off of it.

REVENUE COMMISSIONER TEDDY FAUST: That will be good. Thanks.

## B-1 - COMPETITIVE BID \#WG18-09 - PROVISION OF UNIFORMS FOR THE <br> BALDWIN COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND CORRECTIONS CENTER

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: And next,
Ms. Wanda, Wanda Gautney.

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: Good morning.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Good morning, Wanda.

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: First item I have is

Competitive Bid WG18-09, provisions of uniforms for the Baldwin County Sheriff's Office and Corrections Center.

We received this -- we awarded the bid for the raincoats to Leo's on March the 6th, 2018. He sent us an e-mail on July the 24 th, after he sent us some that did not meet the specifications, stating that he could not supply us with that raincoat.

So we need to rescind that action and go to the next lowest bidder, who is Galls, LLC, for that raincoat in the amount of a hundred and five dollars (\$105).

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Did he just
mis-bid it? Is that what happened?

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: Well, I'm not sure. He

```
submitted a sample, and it matched what we had. But then
when -- last year or the year before last, we got a
letter from that manufacturer stating he was no longer a
supplier, so I'm not real sure what happened with that.
    COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay. Consent's
fine, Mr. Chairman.
    COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent.
```

B-2 - COMPETITIVE BID \#WG18-27 - PROVISION OF INSTANT PRECOOKED
MEALS FOR THE BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.
Next.
MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: Next item is Competitive
Bid WG18-27, provisions of instant precooked meals.
That is to award the bid to Luxfer Magtech, Inc.,
doing business as Heater Meals, as per the attached award
listing.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent?
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Consent is fine.
B-3 - COMPETITIVE BID \#WG18-29 - ANNUAL SCRAP METAL AND WHITE
GOOD RECYCLING SERVICES FOR THE BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION
MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: Next item is Competitive
Bid WG18-29, annual scrap metal and white good recycling
services.
This is to award the bid to the highest responsible
bidder, Smith Scrap Metal, LLC, as per the attached award
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listing for the sale of the County scrap metal and white good recycling and authorize the Chairman to execute the contract.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent.
Okay.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Yes, sir.

B-4 - COMPETITIVE BID \#WG18-35 - RENOVATIONS OF THE RESTROOMS IN THE BALDWIN COUNTY SATELLITE COURTHOUSE LOCATED IN FOLEY, ALABAMA

FOR THE BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION
MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: Next item is Competitive Bid WG18-35, renovations of the bathrooms at the Baldwin County Satellite Courthouse located in Foley.

This is to award the bid to the lowest bidder, Highland Wake Construction, LLC, in the amount of a hundred and ten thousand, six hundred and ninety-seven dollars and twenty-three cents $(\$ 110,697.23)$ with the construction time of a hundred and five (105) calendar days and authorized the Chairman to execute the contract.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent. COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Next.

B-5 - PROJECT NO. BCP 0203718 - INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS ON
COUNTY ROAD 32 AND COUNTY ROAD 55 NORTH FOR THE BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: Next item is Project Number
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BCP 0203718, intersection improvements on County Road 32 and County Road 55 North.

This is award the bid to the lowest bidder, Rob Middleton Construction, LLC, in the bid amount of five hundred twenty-nine thousand, six hundred ninety-seven dollars and sixty-nine cents $(\$ 529,697.69)$ with a construction time of eighty (80) working days and authorize the Chairman to execute the contract.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Next.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: In budget?
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: That's a good question. So
last year, we budgeted about four hundred and fifty thousand $(\$ 450,000)$ for this project. And this -obviously, we got into the design. And, obviously, it's coming in a little higher; hence, the reason I asked for some additional money for the -- the -- this coming budget. So, with that, we're fully funded.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Okay.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent all
right?
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Yes, sir.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Yes, sir.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.

B-6 - QUOTES FOR PROJECT NO. BCP 0206516 - IMPROVEMENTS TO
RETROFIT 16 PEDESTRIAN RAMPS AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA FOR THE BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Next, Wanda.
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Project Number BCP 0206516, improvements to retrofit sixteen (16) pedestrian ramps at various locations in Baldwin County.

This is to award the bid to the lowest bidder, S. C. Stagner Contracting, Inc., in the amount of thirty-one thousand, one hundred and nineteen dollars (\$31,119.00) and authorized the Chairman to execute the Public Works Contract and Certificate of Compliance.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent all
right?
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Uh-huh.
(Indicates affirmatively.)
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent.

B-7 - ADOPTION OF ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (ALDOT)
SUB-RECIPIENT PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES FOR FEDERAL TRANSIT
ADMINISTRATION (FTA) FUNDED PROJECTS
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Next, Wanda.
MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: Next item is adoption of
Alabama Department of Transportation Sub-Recipient
Procurement Procedures for Federal Transit Administration funded projects.

In 2006, we had to adopt this document in order for us to get Federal funds. The Feds came down with a couple little, minor changes in their micro-purchasing threshold. So we had to update this document and resubmit it back to the State. So you'll just have to
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COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent. COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Conner look at the changes?

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: Sir?
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Has Conner looked at the changes?

MR. RON CINK: I didn't send this to him. I didn't --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Because I know we had a couple -- I know he blinked on a couple things last time we did it.

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: No. This just went from three thousand $(\$ 3,000)$ to ten $(\$ 10,000)$ and from a hundred (\$100,000) to two hundred and fifty (\$250,000) for the thresholds, which we're not going to be able to do, because the State law is more stringent than the Feds, so we have to go by the state anyway.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I got you. Okay.
MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: But we have to send this by ALDOT in order for us to get funding for BRATS by adopting this --

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: And the --

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: -- with the changes.
MR. TAYLOR RIDER: And the Eastern Shore MPO.

And the Eastern Shore MPO projects.

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: Right.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent all
right with everybody?
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Yes, sir.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay. Next.

B-8 - REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) FOR A COMPREHENSIVE_
CLASSIFICATION AND COMPENSATION STUDY FOR THE BALDWIN COUNTY

## COMMISSION

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: Next item is request
proposals for comprehensive classification and compensation study for the Baldwin County Commission.

I think the Commission directed staff at the July 24 th Highway budget hearing to prepare this RFP and to solicit it for responses.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay. Next.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: If I may, real --
MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: That's all --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: If I may, real quick, ACCA, I know it's not exactly, but they had an Auburn study that -- at least include them in the conversation, if they -- because they may have a head start on the -- at least they understand what the scales are around the state. So you might could get a better value and shorten the time -- the timetable up.

MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: Okay.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: And I don't know what Alabama may have as well.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: All right.
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COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right. COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent.

## Q-8 - PURCHASE OF ONE (1) NEW VEHICLE FOR THE BALDWIN COUNTY

## ANIMAL SHELTER

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: If I could, I have an item that's under Administration. It's Q-8.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Q-8?

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: If I could go ahead -- if I
can go over it real quickly.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay. Go ahead.

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: The purchase of one (1) new vehicle for the Baldwin County Animal Shelter.

This is to approve the purchase of one (1) new Ford F-150 -- 150. I'm sorry. Got truck on my mind -- Cargo Van off the State of Alabama bid in the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) for the Animal Shelter to be funded from their budget and authorize the sale of the 2007 Ford 150 Cargo Van that they currently have on GovDeals with the monies to be credited to the general fund.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent,

Wanda.

MS. WANDA GAUTNEY: Okay. That's all I have. Thank you.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.
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Thank you, Wanda.
Commissioner Dorsey, if you really want to go off the agenda, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: We can do it at the end. It doesn't matter. Let everybody get out of here.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right. Ron, you can take it.

MR. RON CINK: Yes, sir.

C-1 - FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION - HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) - GRANT APPLICATION FOR A PROPOSED ROUNDABOUT ON COUNTY ROAD 13 AT COUNTY ROAD 44

MR. RON CINK: Next, Mr. Chairman, is Joey Nunnally with Highway.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Good morning.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Good morning, Joey.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Good morning.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: First up I have Item C-1, which is going to be a Federal Highway Administration grant called the Highway Safety Improvement Program.

And we're just asking that the Commission authorize the Highway Department to submit documentation and application for the grant under the Federal Highway Administration Program for a proposed roundabout on County Road 13 and 44.
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We're getting a lot of requests. This is going to
be just to the east of the high school on 44. It would
be a great location for a roundabout.
If we get this grant to do the project, I think it's
well worth it. The second would be to authorize the Chairman to execute any grant-related documents.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Good here.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent, I guess.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: This grant is a 90/10. So it's ninety percent (90\%) Federal and ten percent (10\%) match on us. We've actually asked for design money for this year's upcoming budget to start designing it. If we get the grant, we'll ask for the matching funds in next year's budget.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay. And you're asking for safety funds from ALDOT for the Rigsby and 181; is that right?

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: We're trying to get some -yeah, some MPO money for that one.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay. Widen all the way to 55.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: 54.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: 54. Correct.
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Where the new high school is.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.
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Next.

## C-2 - INTERGOVERNMENTAL SERVICE AGREEMENT BETWEEN BALDWIN COUNTY <br> AND THE CITY OF DAPHNE FOR THE STRIPING OF VARIOUS ROADS INSIDE DAPHNE CITY LIMITS <br> MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Okay. Item C-2 is the

Intergovernmental Service Agreement between Baldwin
County is the City of Daphne for striping various roads inside the city limits of Daphne.

There is a list of seventeen (17) different roads that they have asked us to do.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Can we get prepayment?

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: I think he's serious. I believe he's serious.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: I can ask.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Might get some support, too.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: This one is -- you know, typically when -- we supply the equipment and labor, and they reimburse us for actual cost. They actually have to buy the materials. Yes, sir.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: I mean, isn't this sort of what we're still hung up on, or did you get a check for that other?

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Nope.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Kim, can you update us on
that? I mean, I don't know. Has the invoice been sent?
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invoice for three hundred and eighty-five thousand
dollars (\$385,000) twice. We sent the first notice in -I believe it was in -- it was in May. And then we sent second notice and wrote across there "second notice."

And as of today, I have open invoices of three hundred and eighty-five thousand, nine hundred and twenty dollars and sixty-two cents $(\$ 385,920.62)$, is in our system as being open invoices.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: And, Joey, we have a lot of county roads that really do need striping. I ride a lot of them in this rain we've been having at night. And it's really hazardous out there.

So maybe we can postpone this until we can come up with getting paid for the last deal we entered into. That would suit me. I don't know how the other Commissioners feel about it.

Got any support for delaying, postponing it, wait two weeks?

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: How about -- how about this, Mr. Chairman? Can we put it on Other Staff and see if they've made their payment by the time the Commission meeting rolls around?

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: And then just turn it down if they haven't?

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Does that work?
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Absolutely.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay.
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COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yeah.
Other Staff.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: That's sort of a commitment for a turndown if they don't?

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Yes, sir, it is.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay. Good.

## R-1 - DISCUSSION - CORTE ROAD

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I guess since we're here, since we're in Daphne, you mind if $I$ go off topic, off script with you for a second?

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Oh, okay.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Joey and I have had some discussions on Corte Road. I've discussed with the good Mayor Corte Road.

Their spec's for building that road are part of the reason they're way over budget. If we go to building under our specifications with twenty-two (22) feet wide asphalt and doing just one layer of asphalt for a driving surface -- It is not a County Road 64 yet. It will be. There will be a day when it's gets all tied through, and it will be -- it'll be one of the busier roads.

But until then, there's no reason to build it to that specification. I mean, that's ten (10) or fifteen (15) years in our future. There's a different Commission. There's a different Mayor. There's a different everybody that's going to have to tackle that problem when they decide to have to go twenty-four (24)
feet, but it's not the biggest deal.
If we want a road there, and we've got a budget problem with the City of Daphne as far as getting it done, then preliminary discussions we've had, with the project going on, on 181, we think we'd get a very favorable bid from Ammons and Blackmon, who's already won the 181 contract, to do that in conjunction with the 181 improvements.

And I've got y'all down to a net from -- of course, paying our three eighty-five $(\$ 385,000)$ that we'd really like to have sooner rather than later, from here forward, Daphne would only spend six hundred grand $(\$ 600,000)$ to get that road built.

Now, that doesn't include whatever's been promised to Bellaton. My proposal, if -- And I would take this to the Daphne City Council at large so that we can get a commitment from the entire group, to say we're willing to build the road under our specifications or bid -- bid the road out to be built. Daphne's got to pay the bill. And we will -- and we will deed it. And we will give them the road, as our original agreement was.

Now, the six hundred $(\$ 600,000)$ net, that still includes our two hundred thousand dollar (\$200,000) investment. It includes the investment from DR Horton on their hundred and thirty-five thousand dollar (\$135,000) promise.

But it -- it's a reduction to the City, from here forward, with the numbers they're working on, you know,
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four ( $\$ 400,000$ ) or five hundred thousand $(\$ 500,000)$. And so instead of the good Mayor calling us and asking us for more money toward that project, if we could save the money and do it with good logic and common sense and people working together, then I think we've got a -I think it solves the problem and gets this off everybody's plate and gets the road built that needs to be built.

And that's both phases, from 13 to the tie-in at -at -- at Austin --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Austin, yeah. COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: -- Austin Road.

You still feel good that we're kind of in that wheelhouse, numberwise?

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Yes, I do. Originally, I think Daphne had designed this for a twenty-eight (28) foot roadbed. This is my opinion, again -COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: A runway? MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Yes. COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Yes. MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: With two layers of asphalt. And they had, at our request, agreed to come down to a twenty-four (24) foot roadway.

It's going to be completely fine at a twenty-two (22) foot roadway, with the traffic volumes that we're projecting; okay?

So -- so just like Commissioner Dorsey said, I feel very comfortable doing it a twenty-two (22) foot roadway.

If this was a County project, we were doing this road as a dirt road paving project, we would pave it twenty-two (22) foot wide, one layer of asphalt; not twenty-four (24) to twenty-eight (28) foot wide with two layers of asphalt.

So I think there is some cost savings that can be saved there. I did speak with the Mayor about it. I did speak with the engineer staff over there about it. They were a little reluctant about reducing it down, I think, which brings us back to the table where Commissioner Dorsey's at.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Well, if we build it to our spec's and then Daphne's willing to take it, certainly we can write the check. Y'all are -- Daphne is not violating their specifications, whatever they may be.

We -- we're building it with their money, and then we hand it over to them. And there is a road built for a much better price than the Mayor is having luck with at this time.

Just an idea to get this thing across the finish line. It doesn't exempt me from the fact that $I$ want three hundred and eighty-five thousand (\$385,000).

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Do we need to present that to -- I mean, have you presented -- do you feel like you've presented it already to --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: No, no, no, no. I mean, we're kicking around ideas and talking about it.

You know, I want -- If it's something that we're
willing to do, then I'm willing -- then I'm glad to go up there and say, here's the one solution we're offering. It doesn't come with an option list. This is -- this is what we're offering to do to change our agreement; otherwise, we'll give you the two hundred (\$200,000) and you can get the road built. Good luck.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: You know, if we decide to move forward with these options, obviously, the old agreement that is in place now would have to be done away with, and a new agreement would have to be signed.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: That's right.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I'd certainly be comfortable with presenting that to the City Council for their consideration. Are the Commission -- are we -- are we comfortable with building that road with Daphne reimbursing us --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: I --
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Paying us upfront.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: -- or, you know --
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Well, I -- I couldn't vote for that. I mean, unless -- I mean -- I mean, $I$ just couldn't, unless we have evidence that they can -- they will pay.

How long would it take to build it, Joey?
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Well, if we do the --
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: If we did it
the work and --

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: -- if we did it inhouse
and --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: -- got
everything signed off, it'd take a while just to get the contract approved --

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: It would.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: -- and construction started would be three, four, or five months.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: We're talking about getting a new Intergovernmental Service Agreement with the City of Daphne. And that's probably a month, between the two council meetings and Commissioner meetings and getting it all approved. You've got a month's worth of advertising, probably a month to get them started.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Close to first of the year or after.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: So and then you're -- and then you're probably looking at seventy (70), eighty (80) days to construct.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: All
depends on weather.
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Sir?

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Depending on the weather.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Yeah. That's true.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Commissioner Burt, if you got upfront payment from them, would you be willing to do it, to pay for it ahead of time?

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Oh, certainly. COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: I think if we got a commitment from the -- from the City, not -COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: -- just a commitment from the Mayor, I mean, you know, I think it's -COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Well, even from the City, Commissioner -COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Well -COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: -- Gruber -- I mean, if we got an upfront payment, I am -- I'm absolutely -- Of course, I won't be here when all this is going on. Mr. Ball will, and Mr. Joe will.

MR. JOE DAVIS: Mr. Chairman, if I could comment.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Yes, sir. MR. JOE DAVIS: And there are things that were discussed in an executive session, so certainly I'm not going to comment on those.

But, to my knowledge, I'm the only City Council Member that is aware that this proposal is even potentially on the table. I want to commend the Commission, and particularly Mr. Dorsey, for reaching out and trying to come up with a way to make it happen.

I also would think and would welcome, as one of seven, having a presentation done so the entire council will hear that there is an option and a way for us to get
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this road done in a more timely -- although takes it a while -- but in a manner that would get the -- get the job done at the County's spec's.

And I, personally, will propose that, if we have to do prepayments, certainly get caught up on some existing payments, then I'll make that motion and hope it'll get a second and approval.

But this -- I'm the only one that is aware that the Commission has reached out with a way to get the road done. And I can't speak for the other six, but I think they'd welcome the opportunity to hear what's been said and the possibilities and understand the conditions under which we should function. So, I commend the Commission for that in particular.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: They were aware, though, that Daphne had entered -- that we had entered into an agreement, and the Mayor had signed off on it.

MR. JOE DAVIS: Oh, yes, sir.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Said he'd pay us.

MR. JOE DAVIS: Yes, sir. And in executive session, there was considerable discussion about that.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: When is the election for a new Mayor --

MR. JOE DAVIS: In --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: -- and Council

Members?
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MR. JOE DAVIS: -- 2020, I believe.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Several
years.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Two years from right now.

MR. JOE DAVIS: Yeah, two years from now. But, unfortunately --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: That's beside the point.

MR. JOE DAVIS: I understand. I understand. And communication is going to get much better between the Mayor and the Council, in my opinion. But $I$ would welcome the opportunity for this to be a presentation to the City Council.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Bring things out in the open sure makes it always better, I mean, everything we can.

So we can just -- we'll leave here with y'all going to go ahead with somebody entering into conversation and bring it back for the Commission.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Well, here's what I suggest, if I can, Mr. Chairman, is -- is let's have staff go ahead and draw up a replacement

Intergovernmental Service Agreement; okay? Bring that back for the Commission's approval.

And then once the Commission has looked at it, kind of blessed it, and says, yes, this is what we want to do, then make a presentation --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Certainly. COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: -- to Council and see if it's accessible to them.

MR. JOE DAVIS: That's the basis -COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: We can do that. MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: We'll get started on that. I guess the -- the one clarification I need, are we going to do this as an Intergovernmental Service Agreement for us to contract this out, or are we talking about doing this project inhouse?

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I think what we figured out is with the incentive that Ammons and Blackmon has in conjunction with 181, it's actually going to be cheaper to have them do it.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: We're going -- we're going to get some really good prices. I -- I feel that. I actually spoke with Chad Ammons yesterday just to kind of feel him out a little bit about how he felt about it. And -- and he's right there on top of us.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: And it would be properly bid, but they just have such a competitive advantage with 181 there and mobilization costs and --

MR. JOE DAVIS: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: -- staff and all
that, it'd be really easy. So I --
MR. JOE DAVIS: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: -- would expect
them to be --
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MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: And, you know, there is a dirt pit, which the Corte dirt pit is just right there on -- just south of County Road 13. So the dirt hauling should go very quickly.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Just throw it over the fence.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Pretty much. Pretty much.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Good track hoe operator could sling it.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay.
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: So it's advantageous for us to -- to let this one to contractors.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I would agree.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: And ALDOT's
all -- I mean, the interchange that would be there, it's
all approved in ALDOT's plans; right?
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Yes, sir, it is.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay. That's
a plan. We just -- anything you want on the agenda, Commissioner Elliott? You -- you plan to have -- I don't think you'll have it drawn up by --

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Well, if we've got it by Tuesday, we'll look at it; if not, that's fine, too. Have to get with Conner and see.

I think it would be a replacement Intergovernmental Service Agreement that would supercede the -- you know, we'd have to get with Commissioner Dorsey to make sure we've got the -- the particulars correct, but replace
basically what we had before --
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: -- obviously still
with the right-of-way reimbursement and whatever prepayment or payment assurances, you know, that the Commission is comfortable with.

I'll let you talk to Commissioner Burt about that. If he's comfortable, I think the rest of us will probably be comfortable with it.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Okay.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: And if you've got it by Tuesday, we can look at it; if not, then we can kick it and --

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Just do it at the next work session?

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: -- just do it at the next work session.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: All right. I'll work on it and see if $I$ can't get it done and then --

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Let's make sure that we --

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: -- if I need to come to the -- to the City Council meeting, I'd be happy to do that.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: The Commission --

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: We need to also make sure that we include in that contract the

1
formal language about the right-of-way. Because --
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Oh, yeah.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: -- if we drop that, then, I'm sorry, but it's --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Huh-uh.
(Indicates negatively.)
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: No.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: They're not getting an autograph until -- I mean, I'm not onboard with us signing until we get -- we're getting our three eighty-five ( $\$ 385,000$ ) sooner than later --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: That's right.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: -- or else they're going to get to know Mr. Conner in a different way.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Well, I just -- you know, I want to make sure that we don't drop that part of the contract out. So make sure that it's --

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: No way.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.
And it'll more than likely be -- Next we'll come back in another work session before we do this.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: More than likely, I would think so. There is a lot of things that's got to be done. We've got to be make sure that they're good with giving us a set of plans that's already been paid for.

You know, they -- Daphne's got to -- I'm sure that's not going to be an issue, but there's a lot of things like that we've got to work out.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: And their
lawyers and our lawyers, and I don't see Tuesday happening. Okay. Next.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Thank you, Joey. COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Thank you, Commissioner Dorsey.

## C-3 - INTERGOVERNMENTAL SERVICE AGREEMENT BETWEEN BALDWIN COUNTY <br> AND THE CITY OF FOLEY FOR THE PAVING OF A PORTION OF JAMES ROAD INSIDE FOLEY CITY LIMITS

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Item $\mathrm{C}-3$ is going to the
Intergovernmental Service Agreement between Baldwin
County and the City of Foley for the paving of a portion of James Road inside the Foley city limits.

If y'all remember, this is one of our dirt road paving projects we had this year. We paved from Brinks Willis up to County Road 12 South.

This little portion here was inside the city limits. We went ahead and paved it. It wasn't but three (300) or four hundred (400) foot.

Foley knew that it was inside the city limits. They actually set aside some money to reimburse us for that, since it was inside the city limits.

So this is basically the Intergovernmental Service Agreement making it right for them to cut us a check for that paving that we did inside their city limits.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Consent is fine.

I do have a question, though, if I can, Mr. Chairman.

Have they talked to you at all about striping for South Hickory?

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay.
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Yes. They actually did a resolution agreeing for us to do that. We got that yesterday or two days ago, I guess it was. So we'll be bringing that to the next work session --

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay.
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: -- to get that approved, too.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Got it.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: And if it's just a reimbursement for a regular striping agreement, just send it on through next week, if y'all are ready. I mean, there's nothing to talk about.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I'm fine with that, I mean, if the Chairman is.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: I'm good with that. We can -- we're good with that. And it's the standard --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Yeah.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: -- we -- we -- they reimburse us for equipment and labor. They buy the materials. We've done it for them before. We do the City of Bay Minette. It's the same thing.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: They've got good credit.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: They do. They pay their
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bills.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: You say you
are going to move ahead with the striping agreement?
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: If that's okay with the Commission. We can put it on as an addendum.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Well, I can ride roads a lot, and $I$ can bring you a list of the -that -- And y'all have taught me how to grade them at night. So it ain't no problem telling you what all we've got to do. We've only got one truck.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: That's true. We've got a lot of striping. We keep the striping truck busy.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: But, anyway. Go ahead. It's on the agenda. I wouldn't put it on Consent, please.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Addendum if it's ready.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Next.

C-4 - USE OF GULF OF MEXICO ENERGY SECURITY ACT (GOMESA) FUNDS -

PAVEMENT OF DIRT ROADS

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Item C-4 is going to be the use of the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act, commonly known as the GOMESA funds, for the pavement of dirt roads.

So me and my staff have gotten together and put together a list of these roads that we want to use these GOMESA funds for. Last year got a allotment of
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two-point-four million dollars (\$2,400,000). The money is sitting in the bank.

We've got roads all over the county that are actually ready to go with right-of-way. I guess there's probably like -- I think there's only like three roads on this list that you're looking at where we have to have additional right-of-way that needs to be acquired. We can be working on these other roads while we're acquiring right-of-way on those roads.

This is -- this gets us approval to use these funds on these dirt roads from the Commission's standpoint. And the timing of this would be for us to be able to take advantage of dry months that usually typically occur in October and November.

So we could make good use of our time, if the Commission chooses to let us go ahead and use these funds on those roads.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Excellent idea. I guess we'd have to do a budget amendment or something?

MR. RON CINK: I actually want to include it in the budget resolution as well, that and RESTORE, just to bring that up.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Perfect.
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Whittling away at these dirt roads. I love it. Awesome.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Next?

BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION WORK SESSION 08/14/2018
1

C-5 - TOWN OF ELBERTA - COUNTY ROAD 83 PAVING PROJECT
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Item $\mathrm{C}-5$ this is going to
be -- I think it was going to be -- it was on the agenda for the Town of Elberta's Mayor to be here to talk the County Road 83 paving. But he showed up at the budget hearing yesterday, and I don't see him in the room today, so --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I think we were pretty clear yesterday.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Okay. We're all good with
that?
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yeah.
That's -- we'll just -- That's pulled.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Want to pull that one. Okay.

## C-6 - ENCROACHMENT ON COUNTY ROAD 3 RIGHT-OF-WAY

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Item $C-6$ is encroachment on County Road 3 right-of-way. So I brought to this you basically as a discussion topic.

Mr. Craig Williams wants to renovate the building on County Road 3, which is encroaching about fourteen (14) foot inside the right-of-way.

This is the parcel here. The building that he's wanting to renovate is actually here. It's kind of hard to see. You can see where he encroaches a little here. You can go to the next slide.

This is an actual survey, a little closer up. You
can see the building is about thirteen-point-nine
(13.9) feet inside the right-of-way on County Road 3. On County Road 3, you've got an eighty (80) foot right-of-way.

So he's inside the City of Fairhope ETJ's building jurisdiction when he approached the City of Fairhope about renovating this building.

They kicked it back to us and said, look, you're on the right-of-way. You're going to have to get permission from the County in order to do these renovations, which started a whole conversation with my staff internally about can we -- we obviously can't give him approval without the Commission's approval to do anything here.

There's a couple of options we can -- we go here. We can actually, I guess, give him a variance to do it, or we can actually take a little section out there and vacate a portion of the right-of-way, or we could deny it.

This building does have a historical significance. When we were doing our research, we found this out. I reached out to Ms. Felisha to find out exactly what the historical significance was on this so we could make a decision.

Felisha I'll let you carry on from here, let you talk to them about what it is.

MS. FELISHA ANDERSON: The building was formally the Kaepernick Post Office. Kaepernick is a predecessor of today's Barnwell. It was in operation
from 1895 to 1902. And then it became a Post Office from 1903 to '42, of course, under Barnwell.

It has not been placed on the National Registry at this point in time. But after the renovation, it does meet the requirement to be placed on the -- on the National Registry of Historic Places.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Is that his goal?
MS. FELISHA ANDERSON: Yes. That's my
understanding.
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: That's my understanding, too.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: In the past, a variance has been the easiest, I mean, the exception to that, grant a variance from the regulations. I believe we've done that with some, I know, in Magnolia Springs and some other places, which that's up to the Commission, whichever.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: A variance and a license agreement perhaps? Is that what you say?

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Yes.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Yeah. I'd prefer that over vacation.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Okay. From an engineering standpoint, the traffic volume, the speeds that we see on County Road 3, this is not an issue. I mean, it's like fifteen (15) foot off the edge of the pavement, so it shouldn't be a problem.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Felisha, do
you want to make a presentation about this, and y'all do that jointly? I mean, it's -- it's a good thing, I think, that we can bring back. I don't know if you've got a historical marker that's going to be there or what -- what the plan --

MS. FELISHA ANDERSON: At this time, it's not on the list of historic markers through the Historic Development Commission, but $I$ think it should be. And I'll talk to Claudia about that. There is some work, repairs to be done to the structure.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: So you want to just put it on the Consent agenda?

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: I think so, yeah.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Very good. R-2 - LETTER OF COMMITMENT AND MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT FOR FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA) HAZARD MITIGATION ASSISTANCE GRANT FOR GENERATOR

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Next. I know you've got some addendum.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: One, one addendum. The FEMA Hazard Mitigation Assistance Grant, we had a meeting at the EMA office a few months ago. Everybody around the County and a lot of the municipalities submitted projects. The committee voted on the projects. BRATS actually got a generator --

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Yeah.
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: -- approved from the
internal committee. And this will be a letter -- What we -- In order for this to be meet the grant obligations, there's a letter of commitment that needs to be signed by the Commission.

So basically we're just asking for the Commission to authorize the Chairman to sign the letter of commitment to authorize sixteen thousand, three hundred and twenty-eight dollars $(\$ 16,328)$ as a local non-Federal match to the FEMA Hazard Mitigation Assistance Grant Number DR4349AL.

Taylor, you've already got this money budgeted; is that correct?

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Yes, in the '18 budget.
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: It's budgeted in Year 18. So the money is there. We just need the Chairman to sign the letter.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: So this is the match portion of it?

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Yes.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: What's the total cost?

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Total cost --
MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Sixty-five, three ten
(\$65, 310) .
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Commissioners?
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Sir?
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent would
be good. All right.
MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: All right. That's all I've got.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Next. Very good, Joey. Thank you. You do good work.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Thank you, sir.

D-1 - BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION BCBS ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.
MR. RON CINK: Mr. Chairman, Ms. Creech.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Yes, sir.
MS. KIM CREECH: Commissioners, I had two agendas, actually from me and Andrea. You know, last work session we -- we talked about the -- the employee write-off.

And the first item I have, these are amounts that would be owed from BlueCross/BlueShield. It's five thousand, three hundred and twenty dollars $(\$ 5,320)$.

You'll see in your attachment is a list of notes that was -- Andrea had received back from BlueCross/BlueShield. These are items that if an employee might have changed from family coverage to single coverage, or they might have even cancelled their insurance and the insurance was not notified on the employee, deductions were changed, but the insurance
company was either not notified timely.
But you'll -- you'll see through, I mean, some of the notes, these are notes from BlueCross explaining, you know, when they received the notification. And it might have been two months after we had stopped the deduction on the employee.

So I don't know if you have any questions on that, how Andrea may be able to help answer those questions. But she was able to obtain the information on these balances that we had sitting out there.

And we didn't have these on the employee's $A R$, because this was something we had a separate account line item for reconciling the BlueCross/BlueShield invoice.

So these were balances that, you know, when we -- we would have to table it across, waiting for credits to come back. And these were credits that were left out there. You know, I think it goes -- there's an explanation, and not all of them are all the same information.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Commissioners, Consent?

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yep. COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.

Consent.
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COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Go ahead.
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And these are administrative errors. And I've explained some of the employees was refunded on the last check, but insurance wasn't canalled timely. You'll see that on -- on -- on several of them.

I don't know if you have any questions on these explanations. And this is just a really good sample of the AR balances that $I$ brought $y^{\prime}$ all last time to write off, you know, all funds.

But this fund ends the Wilderness. I'm trying to close this fund out by the end of the year, since we have sold that business, that $I$ need to roll this over into general fund.

And there is -- there is one that's in that confidential information that $I$ will move -- roll that one into general fund, waiting on a workers' comp settlement.

This employee $I$ think is going to -- or this employee is going to get a settlement. And we will deduct the amounts she owes from that settlement.

I don't know if you're wanting more information on this. But this is a good sample size to show you this is what we're looking at, the other batch that I brought y'all the last work session. It's very time consuming.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: It is, but I appreciate you doing it.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: It's going back through them. It's taxpayer dollars. We're going to make sure that we know exactly what happened and why.

These, in my opinion, are very small. I wouldn't even -- you call them errors. I won't even call them errors, necessarily. They -- they are oversights when we are moving big pieces around on the table.

So we -- we are -- we are doing away with sixty-some-odd employees. We are trying to cancel insurances or change insurances, finish up payroll, et cetera.

You know, we're thirty dollars (\$30) here, forty-four dollars (\$44) here. I -- I understand. It's just when it's presented in a lump sum, it's incumbent upon us to make sure that we're managing the system well enough to understand exactly why these accumulated. And I -- I've got a good understanding of that now.

MS. KIM CREECH: Now that you understand that, how much more time do you want us to spend on the other funds? I mean, am I able to bring those back to y'all? Because I -- I can promise you I have spend forty (40) hours, plus, just on three or four employees.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Let's be done with it.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Be done. Make
your adjustment --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: -- and move on.

MS. KIM CREECH: I appreciate that. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Thank you.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Please.

MS. KIM CREECH: Thank you.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent?

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Do you want this on Consent?

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Yes. And, hey, one more thing, guys. I run my own payroll. I do it personally. I sign every check. I make mistakes, too. It happens.

And, again, they're not just mistakes. They're I hated I didn't get that in on time, or how did that happen or was that deducted and not taken out. It happens. It's not a big deal.

MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: We have really been striving to not have them. And the last couple years, we've been really good. We just -- we may have a handful, but we're able to catch them before they get too far.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Well, I think part of the exercise is understanding how did that happen.

MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: How it happened.

MS. KIM CREECH: I mean, me and Andrea have conversations about, you know, when we do find one, that
we're figuring out, okay, what happened? And what procedures can we put in place to prevent this? And that -- that's what we're doing moving forward.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Very good.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay. Thank you.

## E-1 - MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE TO LIQUID FUEL, BIO CHAR AND WOOD

## PRODUCTS

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Terri.
MS. TERRI GRAHAM: Yes, sir. Good morning. The first item I have, Item E-1, is actually Mr. Pat James is here to do a presentation to the Commission.

This is about a potential project for municipal solid waste to a liquid fuel wood product, bio char. So I'm going to let him do his, and I've got two more after that.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.
MR. PAT JAMES: Good morning.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Good morning.
MR. PAT JAMES: It's good to be back in front of Baldwin County, guys, a lot of old friends here. I know all the Commissioners from years back. Terri, thanks for letting me come.

All right, guys. As most of the Commission knows, I've been in and around garbage for thirty (30) years. And there is nothing that's never controversial about solid waste, ever, except this particular project.
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And it's the first time in my career being involved in waste that I've stumbled upon an actual user-friendly project that's not controversial, et cetera, et cetera.

There's a company in Greece that's called Soukos Robotics that patented and designed a machine that converts raw municipal solid waste to pellets.

There's two operating plants in the world. One is in Greece. One is in Lithuania. In 2019, first quarter, the first plant will be built in the United States in Augusta, Georgia at the Richmond County facility.

Very simply put, the company that is developing these projects in North America is company out of Atlanta, Georgia called Allied Energy.

They've developed about five and a half billion dollars' (\$5,500,000,000) worth of projects, renewable energy products, since 2000 .

They retained me to represent them to cover four states; Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and Florida. So I'll start with that.

And the reason I obviously came to Baldwin County, very simple, before $I$ get into the detail: A, I live in Magnolia Springs, so I'm two miles from the Magnolia Landfill; and, B, demographically -- although populationwise, the volume in Baldwin County is effectively fifty percent (50\%) of Richmond County, Richmond County is very similar in the sense that they have one landfill, and all the volume goes to that landfill for that county, which is why this site was
picked for the first plant.
So let's kind of run through this. Okay. I'm representing Allied Energy Services. They are the developer and operator for the technology in North America. Soukos is the Greek corporation that holds the patent that has developed the two plants. And they're bringing this technology to the U.S.

We're effectively a competitor to -- This technology will effectively become a competitor to your traditional landfill operations in the sense of bearing.

AMEC Foster Wheeler is the consulting engineers that have done all the certifications. They're a ninety thousand $(90,000)$ man firm. They're global.

Okay. In simple terms, raw garbage, i.e. MSW, goes through this Aeolus System, and it comes out as pellets. These pellets have an average BTU of about twelve thousand $(12,000)$, and they produce three products.

One, they can be used as a raw pellet as an alternative coal to burn in a coal-burning power plant. Second, they can be put through the Knosses System, which can produce a medium-density fiber board -- Which I'll show you photographs where they're actually building furniture out of that. And, last, you can add the Archimedes Platform and make diesel.

So you have in Baldwin County, my understanding, Magnolia Landfill, about that same equivalent volume. Next slide. I mention this. These are the raw pellets.

And there is their heating value of twelve thousand,
two hundred and ninety-six $(12,696)$. Coal, depending on the grade, ranges from eight $(8,000)$ to fifteen thousand (15,000); okay.

Now, we talk about using it as an alternative fuel for coal. Typical a coal-burning plant needs about two $(2,000,000)$ to three million $(3,000,000)$ tons a year to run. A hundred and seventy-five thousand (175,000) tons of material coming into one of these plants located on your landfill only produces a hundred five thousand (105,000) tons of pellets.

So as a supplement to the energy demand for a coal-burning plant, we're only making up a small percentage. So if we had twenty plants, for example, operating in the Southeast, we're only producing two million $(2,000,000)$ tons. It's not a lot in the scope, a small piece. Next slide, please.

Okay. I'm not even going to try to address any of the technical side of this, but it's very simple. Raw MSW goes up. It's going through a drying separation screen, then it goes into a manual, and it's pelletized and homogenized.

It's twenty tons per hour is the need. And that's where we need a guarantee of a hundred and seventy-five thousand $(175,000)$ tons to operate. Next.

This is the -- Fast forward. Fast forward. Fast forward. Fast forward. Fast forward. Okay. That's a picture of a manual operation in Greece. That's after it's gone through the separation. Okay. Keep going.

There is a better picture $I$ want to get to. Whoa. Stop.
All right. This is a -- these next two pictures this is the plant that has been built for Augusta. The contract with Allied and Augusta is a twenty-year lease, which I'll -- I'll -- I'll pass this around. It's very simple, economically.

Augusta has no financial commitment to the project, number one. They lease the land, a ten-acre site, for the construction of the plant.

The raw MSW comes into the County-owned facility, and they charge a tipping fee of approximately -probably the equivalent of what Magnolia is getting. I don't know what the rates are today.

The volume is diverted into the plant. And the County, effectively, derives the revenue without the operational cost of operating the landfill.

So when we're talking simple economics, if the County, for example, is getting twenty-five dollars (\$25) a ton to receive the fee or the garbage, and then they have to bury it, and then they have to, you know, et cetera, et cetera, leche collection, whatever it is, they've probably got a twenty dollar (\$20) a ton operating cost. So they've got five dollar (\$5) a ton cash flow.

In this scenario, in Baldwin County, you got twenty-five dollars (\$25) coming in. And now you don't have to spend the twenty dollars (\$20) to operate. So the net effect from a cash flow perspective is it goes
through the roof for the county.
But there is a catchall. The catchall is we will take the economic risk to build this plant, which is about a thirty million dollar (\$30,000,000) investment on your landfill.

Our underwriting is not based on whether the technology works. Our underwriting is based on whether Baldwin County can warrant and represent for the next twenty years you're going to have a hundred and seventy-five thousand $(175,000)$ tons of raw MSW.

Everybody on this County Commission -- And I understand Alabama law and how it works; i.e., host governmental approval. And when we looked, demographically, at why $I$ think Baldwin County is a fit is we know -- we all believe Baldwin County is growing exponentially in population growth.

And we also, based on my twenty years of knowing Baldwin County, thirty years, I think it's highly unlikely that a competing landfill is ever going to be built in this county, understanding the airspace that's left and the location of that landfill.

So this is the plant that's going to Augusta. It's been built. It's been tested. AMEC Foster did all of the certifications. Flip on the next slide, please. My bad. Go back. Flip on it.

And Richmond County was willing to make that representation or warrant, because they have four hundred thousand $(400,000)$ tons a year. Baldwin County is not
quite there. It's somewhere between a hundred and fifty (150,000) and two hundred thousand (200,000).

But we have to, for our finance, have that warrant representation of that volume. We're within ten percent (10\%). We're close. Close enough to do a deal.

Timing. We want to put four plants in the Southeast in 2019. Currently, the deal with Augusta is done. It will be completed first quarter of 2019.

We've got a project in Chattanooga that $I$ think is a go. We've have a project in Baton Rouge that $I$ think is a go. I've got a project over in -- east of Tallahassee -- east of Tallahassee that $I$ think is a go.

So it's -- it's not -- in the sense of a timing, it's not something that drags on for two years. We need to site four to six plants in 2019. In order to do so, it's simple.

We enter into a twenty-year lease agreement with the County. The County has no financial commitment. They derive the revenue. We pay for the lease of the land.

We have the capital expenditure to build the plant. Our revenue is derived from the sale of our product, whether it's the pellets or the conversion to the diesel or the high-density -- medium-density fiber board.

Next. There's no chemicals used from a catalytic conversion. I don't want to go down that road, because that's the engineering side. But it's a low-temperature process. And, again, I said it earlier, the two existing plants in Europe have been in operation more than five
years.
This is the additional arm, built passed the initial three modules that produce the medium-density fiber board. In Europe, they're making floor tiles, pallets, furniture, et cetera, out of that.

Here's an example. These are all floor tiles made from garbage in Greece. Kind of -- kind of neat, actually. Same thing here. Next. All of this furniture is made from garbage. Pretty cool, you know. Next one.

Okay. Kind of in summary, County-owned garbage facility, diesel production. This is an alternative in Augusta, the Augusta project, we will probably take it to diesel fuel, because the transportation to the power plant is a long way. So that's an additional cap text on that conversion. Next.

Okay. What is -- It's pretty straightforward. From a County-owned landfill perspective or a privately-owned landfill, it saves airspace and increases profitability. And the County doesn't have -- or the City or County or whatever doesn't have the financial exposure.

It's a job creator. You know, full-blown, you could see an additional hundred jobs, depending on the level of which we took the plant.

Environmental services, sustainability, education, economic and financial, obviously, this is about as green as it gets.

You know, you see these waste companies saying they're green companies. Well, they're really not.

They're burying garbage, which is what I've been doing my whole career.

So this is kind of -- I -- I kind of view this position right now of where we were in the early '90s, in '93 -- Commissioner Burt remembers that -- where we were -- you know, we were going through a transformation from the County-owned rural facility, the hole in the ground, to a regional facility.

Today, here we are 2000 -- What year is it -- 2018, and the industry is making a transformation as well. And the technology has gone so far that it's, quite frankly, amazing.

This technology will never replace the need for Subtitle D Landfill. I mean, this plant can only process one hundred and seventy-five thousand $(175,000)$ tons, which is not a lot of volume.

So, strategically, we're trying to pick locations in the Southeast that we know, long-term, will maintain their volume position, that have growth from a population base, and it makes sense from the County's financial perspective.

So we're excited about it. My goal today was just to present it conceptually, to tell you, hey, this is what we're doing. And if the County is interested in pursuing it, then we're ready to roll up our sleeves and try to strike a deal.

Augusta -- you know, all of that information's public. I have given Terri the lease agreement that
demonstrates the economic terms of the agreement. Augusta is excited. It'll be the first one in the country.

And from their vantage point, when I talk about the volume representation, they're only effectively warranting fifty (50\%) -- they're only guaranteeing fifty percent (50\%) of their intake.

For Baldwin County, that's a little bit different in the sense that we'd have to have a one hundred percent (100\%) to close our finance.

Our finance is -- And $I$ will repeat this. Our finance and not based on the technology and the risk therein. Our finance is -- We're past that. Our finance is based on a guaranteed hundred and seventy-five thousand (175,000) tons of raw MSW coming across those scales three hundred and sixty-five (365) -- two hundred and sixty-five (265) days a year in this county, period.

If we have that guarantee from Baldwin County, this plant can go here. It will increase your profitability of your landfill. It will decrease your operating costs. It will exponentially preserve your airspace. And we need a minimum twenty-year commitment.

Can $I$ answer any questions?

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Tim, the only thing, you know, with the commitment, twenty years, I don't know how -- if we can -- by law, if we can do a twenty-year. It's just, you know -- I don't -- I'm not positive on that, you know. you know, with the -- with the -- I don't know. I think that's something we got to get with our attorneys and stuff to look at.

MR. PAT JAMES: I think it --
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: The technology -- just like you said, the technology is there now. It wasn't several years ago.

MR. PAT JAMES: Right.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: But it's getting more and more. And, you know, and the pellets, you know, you know, they burn very, very hot.

MR. PAT JAMES: Right.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: And, you know, they're -- they're not going to ever completely replace coal, but, you know --

MR. PAT JAMES: No.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: -- they're a substitute. And, you know, they're a whole lot cheaper than coal.

MR. PAT JAMES: Right.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yeah, SO --

MR. PAT JAMES: So we're excited. And thank you very much for letting me present it.

Yes, sir.

MR. JOE DAVIS: Quick question. As you do multiple sites, do you have more than one team to build a
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site? In other words, if you're the fourth one in the country, are you waiting on other three to be finished, or how does that work?

MR. PAT JAMES: No. 2019, our goal was four to six plants. Our finance is based on that. I mean, we're really down to the hand selection now. We're down to picking these spots.

We know Chattanooga is a go. I believe Baton Rouge is a go, Nashville possibly. But, no. Soukos is going to -- the -- the next four plants will be built in the U.S.

This prototype or this particular plant was built in Greece, but manufacturing for these 2019 units will be built here in the U.S., and then we'll go from there.

MR. JOE DAVIS: I guess my question's centered on if we were the fourth that was able to work out, would we have to wait to start ours until the other three were finished?

MR. PAT JAMES: No, sir.
MR. JOE DAVIS: Okay.
MR. PAT JAMES: It -- it -- the timing is going to be based on the permitting, the ADEM permit
modification. Georgia, they issued the permit for
Augusta. We just completed that in the -- in the end of July. I think Georgia is effectively setting the model and -- for Alabama ADEM or Mississippi and Florida, et cetera.

MR. JOE DAVIS: Okay.
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MR. PAT JAMES: Thank you for your time. COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Thanks,

Mr. James.

## E-2 - BALDWIN COUNTY SOLID WASTE UNCOLLECTIBLE RESIDENTIAL

 ACCOUNTSCOMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: You got it, Terri.

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: Okay. Next item I have is going to be the -- the write-offs for August in the amount of --

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: That's good.

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: -- one thousand, seventy-five dollars and sixty cents (\$1,075.60).

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent.

R-3 - DISCOUNTED CUBIC YARDAGE RATE FOR ROLL-OFF CUSTOMERS

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Yes, ma'am.

Next.

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: All right. The next item I wanted to discuss is what we were kind of talking about in budget yesterday that Commissioner Dorsey didn't want to be a part of, and that was the --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I get to be excused. Y'all have fun.

Susan, put me out.
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MS. TERRI GRAHAM: And basically, as part of the budget process for this year, it was my recommendation that we just maybe -- We have the ten customers that has chosen to go with the discounted cubic -- cubic yardage rate. And those are all roll-off customers.

And we're offering them two dollars and seventy-five cents (\$2.75) a yard. And my recommendation is to do away with that yardage rate. Our gate rate for yardage to go down, disaster event, whatever, the rate is four dollars and fifty cents (\$4.50) a yard.

Our permit is by the ton. We have to weigh everything anyway. The economy is not bad. These guys are not hurting for the business. I think we need to treat everybody equally.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: You mentioned there were only ten or so that --

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: There's only ten. Well, they have to be roll-off only. So if it's a dump truck, they don't qualify.

So just looking at over the last few years, obviously, it's going to increase their payments to us from two seventy-five (\$2.75) to four fifty (\$4.50), but it would be cheaper to them, four fifty (\$4.50) versus eighteen dollars (\$18) a ton. It's cheaper if they pay by the ton.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: You know, and I think this got started, what, was it in 2010 -MR. ED FOX: '11.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: -- or '11 or something like that. You know, there was a -- we seen a really big drop-off in the roll-offs coming into the landfill. So they decided, well, let's -- you know, let's give them a price break.

Well, you know, everybody else now that's -- is paying it, but, you know, they're still getting the -the cream of the crop, so -- basically.

And I think, you know, we just need to make everybody equal. I mean, not only that, you know, if -if -- if we have stuff, you know, with FEMA or stuff, you know, they're going to require us to convert it to tonnage anyway.

MS. KIM CREECH: I -- Well, I think just in the past FEMA ones that we've dealt with, Ivan, Katrina, and Dennis, it was based on that cubic yard rate. And we had the published cubic yard rate.

FEMA does prefer the scales. And I don't know if it's -- I think the problem is you've got so many trucks. When you have a --

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yeah. MS. KIM CREECH: -- thirty million dollar
$(\$ 30,000,000)$ cleanup, $I$ mean, it's just not --
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Oh, yeah.
MS. KIM CREECH: -- feasible to have everybody
going through the scales. Because you're trying to get them in.

And that -- that would be my concern, is to make sure we had the published rate if we have -- when we have the next disaster, or how fast can you get a bunch of scales here?

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: The published rate is four dollars and fifty cents (\$4.50) a yard.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yeah, yeah.

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: That is what is in our CrowderGulf contract. That is what we, ourselves, Baldwin County Solid Waste trucks would have to pay coming in.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Our trucks are paying that right now.

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: Right. I think, for me, the issue comes in that we have offered this two dollar and seventy-five cent (\$2.75) rate to these ten customers.

I think everybody needs to be on the -- on the same -- These -- these haulers are going to pass it on to their customers. They're going to pass that cost on. But -- but we are not -- we're letting them have a cheaper rate than we've giving to everybody else.

MS. KIM CREECH: And -- and that could be a question of FEMA, is that if we have a disaster, and that rate was published, it could be that FEMA would only come in and reimburse based on the lower rate. Because that
would be considered reasonable.
MS. TERRI GRAHAM: And that -- that would be -that's probably my biggest concern.

MS. KIM CREECH: Uh-huh. (Indicates
affirmatively.)
MS. TERRI GRAHAM: So far we've been -- when we do the cleanup work, it's been us inhouse. I don't think CrowderGulf has done anything big for us in a while.

So we did go across the scales. We weighed it. Eighteen dollars (\$18) a ton, that's our established gate rate.

That was just kind of what $I$ wanted to do this year, is go back to what our gate rates were.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I'm okay with that.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Commissioners?
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: I think, you know, I'm for -- you know, I'm for, you know, bringing it up to everybody paying the same.

I mean, you know, we -- we -- we allowed them to have that during -- during the hard times, you know. And, you know, the hard times are not here anymore. They're -- they're making -- they're making good money now.

MR. RON CINK: When do you want to bring this?
MS. TERRI GRAHAM: I'll just do a policy change for that, so I'll just update that policy and then bring it.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: You have to advertise that?

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: I can do it then, or I could do it now.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Beg your
pardon? And you have to advertise that change?
MS. TERRI GRAHAM: No, sir.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.
MS. TERRI GRAHAM: Whenever we do -- So, last time we did a rate thing, we updated it with the budget in October. I gave the customers three-months' notice. And we didn't actually change anything until January 1st. That's what we did when we went up at the transfer station. So I -- I wouldn't want you guys to just do it and not -- not tell anybody.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yeah.
MS. TERRI GRAHAM: I -- I want to -- I want to give them notice.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: We can do the same courtesy, you know, give them three months, you know, to get all our stuff, you know.

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: Sure.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: At last. Because there's some existing contracts that they've got with, I mean --

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: Oh, yeah. So I can either -- I can bring it now, or we can do it when you approve the budget.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Y'all stop me if I'm wrong, but I'm trying to think. I mean, there -there may be some companies out there with existing contracts that go from fairly far into the future, you know three months, six months, et cetera. Are you --

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: That's more with like your front-load volumes.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay.
MS. TERRI GRAHAM: So the MSW parts --
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I mean, all my -my -- my cans were per-load hauls. I mean, that wasn't -- and it has set prices, but usually not going beyond a six-month period or something.

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: I'm not sure about if like they had a -- like if a company had a -- Adams Homes or DR Horton, you know, I -- I don't really know about that. I can -- I can find that out and make sure that we're not --

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: If you've got ten, can you check with them and see? I mean, I'm -- I'm fine with the change. I just don't want to yank the rug out from underneath --

MR. ED FOX: Most of those, they have standard contracts.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Yep.
MR. ED FOX: There's verbiage in there that allows pricing change on disposal so that they can go back --
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COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Sure.

MR. ED FOX: -- to the customer and say -- they
would probably be able to work that out. But they do need a -- a window to get all that correct. But we will check with them.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Especially since there's just ten. Let's go ahead and check with them and see.

MR. RON CINK: Sooner rather than later --
MS. TERRI GRAHAM: I think so.
MR. RON CINK: -- on that.

MS. TERRI GRAHAM: We'll go ahead and -- I'll go ahead and bring the -- I'll go ahead and get the item turned in for next Tuesday.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay.
MS. TERRI GRAHAM: And then I'll put it
effective January 1. I mean, that's more than reasonable. I wouldn't want to change around Christmas, either.

MR. JOE DAVIS: Makes sense.
MS. TERRI GRAHAM: And that was it.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.
MS. TERRI GRAHAM: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Thank you.

## F-1 - ROAD NAME CHANGE PETITION - RUSS ROAD TO LALA ROAD

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Good
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morning.
MR. MIKE HOWELL: Good morning. How are y'all
doing?
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Good.

MR. MIKE HOWELL: What I've got, I received a request for road name change petition. Property owners on this road has requested to rename Russ Road to Lala Road. We've got the fifty dollar (\$50) fee that's required and all signatures required.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Very good. That's the way we want them.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: From Lala to Russ [sic].

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Pardon?
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent.

F-2 - ROAD NAME MODIFICATION - COY JOHNSON ROAD TO COY JOHNSON

ROAD S

MR. MIKE HOWELL: Next one is a 911 Addressing road name modification. This is in the Whitehouse Fork area. There is a spur that turns off Coy Johnson Road. And 911 Addressing is requesting it to be renamed Coy Johnson Road South.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.
Consent?
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Good here.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Where is Commissioner Dorsey at?
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COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.

MR. RON CINK: Mr. Chairman, we need to get
Commissioner Dorsey back in here.

MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: I told him. I told him he can come back.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Beg your pardon?

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: There he is.
(Commissioner Tucker Dorsey rejoined the Work session.)

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: You just missed renaming a road to Lala.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Consent.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Yeah. I think Mike came up with that one, personally, just to see if he could get it through.

MR. MIKE HOWELL: I just take what they bring me.

## G-1 - CASE NO. Z-18033 - 1ST AMERICAN INVESTMENTS LLC PROPERTY

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.
Good morning.
MS. CELENA BOYKIN: Good morning. This is a request to re-zone some property just on the south side of US Highway 98, on the west side of County Road 97. It's located in Planning District 22.

The property actually consists of sixteen (16)
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acres, but they're only requesting the front eight (8) acres to be re-zoned to B-4, Major Commercial.

The reason for the $B-4$ is they would like to do a sales office with a showcase area that sells prefab sheds and barns.

On the zoning map, this is adjacent to some B-4 zoning. There is also some other commercial zonings in the area on the corner of 97 and 98.

Originally, the applicant came to the Planning Commission's June meeting. And the Planning Commission recommended denial. There was some miscommunication between the owner and his engineer, exactly what they wanted to do. There was some citizens that had some concerns.

So they withdrew the application, resubmitted a whole new application, came back at the July meeting. Planning Commission recommended approval at the July. They brought back a site plan. They also had some renderings of what they proposed and --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Is that the guy that was the RV thing?

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: No.
Huh-uh. (Indicates negatively.) No.
MS. CELENA BOYKIN: Here's some pictures of the adjacent property and subject property. And this is some of his renderings of what he plans to do on the property.

And he also owns two similar businesses in Florida. These are the pictures of those. But the Planning

Commission did recommend approval at the July meeting. And staff also recommended approval.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Commissioners?
All right. It'll be on the agenda.
MS. CELENA BOYKIN: Thank you.
MR. RON CINK: Public hearing.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Public
hearing.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.

G-2 - PROPOSED ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT TO THE LOCAL PROVISIONS FOR
PLANNING DISTRICT 24 (ONO ISLAND) PERTAINING TO FOOD TRUCKS
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.
Next. Mr. Vince, good morning.
MR. VINCE JACKSON: Good morning. I have two
brief items. One is on your agenda, and one is an addenda.

The first is a request to begin advertising a proposed amendment to the text of the Zoning Ordinance. We received a request from the Ono Island Property Owners Association to amend the local provisions for Planning District 24 to address food trucks.

We actually allow food trucks through zoning. There is a commercial zoning designation, but there is no commercial zoning down on Ono Island.

What they're wanting to do is have some community
and social events where food trucks would be present. And they wanted to make sure everything was legal.
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So we put a draft together, sent it to them.
They're happy with what we proposed. And if the permission is granted, we will put that on the agenda for the September Planning Commission meeting and then bring it back to you-all for a public hearing in October.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Okay.
MR. VINCE JACKSON: This will be a Consent
item.

## R-4 - MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS (MS4) STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN SIGNATORY PAGE

MR. VINCE JACKSON: The additional item I have has to do with our MS4 Stormwater Management Plan. We're in the process of doing some revisions. And part of the requirements of the plan is a signatory page signed by the Commission Chairman.

We need to have this back to ADEM by September the 1st. And so this additional item will be just to authorize the Chairman to sign the signatory page.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.
MR. VINCE JACKSON: That's all we have.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent.
That's it?
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Not too bad.
MR. VINCE JACKSON: Thank you.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Thank you,
Vince.
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H-1 - REQUEST FROM HISTORIC BLAKELEY STATE PARK - STATEWIDE HIGH
SCHOOL CROSS COUNTRY RUNNING MEET ON SEPTEMBER 29, 2018

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Good morning, Commissioners.
Our first item is $H-1$. And it's a request from Blakeley.
Mr. Bunn sent a letter to Ron Cink requesting service for this event by one of our high schools. So he's asked for our support. And this will be six and a half hours at your standard fifty-five dollar (\$55) per hour rate. So it's up for your consideration.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Consent's fine.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Next.
MR. TAYLOR RIDER: And we'll get all the
details from them through our Scheduling Department and move forward with that, if it's approved next week.

H-2 - 2018-2019 AGREEMENT FOR TRANSPORTATION SERVICES BETWEEN
BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION AND ALTAPOINTE HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC.
MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Okay. Item H-2 is agreement
for service between AltaPointe. This is their contract for $F Y$ 19, presently. So it's for the new amount, five thousand, eight eighty-three, fifty (\$5,883.50) per month for the four routes. So this is their standard contract agreement for the year.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: What is the cost to provide those four routes?

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: We -- we always -- As -- as
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you saw yesterday with ARC, we try to do it as a cost recovery. We always look at the cost. And we do not have drivers on this route, so it's just the buses and the gas. So we do not have the cost of the employee on this. They have --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: That's not my question. My question is what is the cost to perform these routes?

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: It's roughly the same. It's just a break-even. We go back and look at the hours associated and the mile, and that's how we calculate that.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: A break-even without the employee?

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Yes.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: How much would that be -- how much would that be with the employee?

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Well, it would go up considerably, because you're having to pay the rate plus benefits for that person, and the number of hours they're on the road. So it changes considerably.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Well, we figured out yesterday that you're a nine hundred and ninety-one thousand $(\$ 991,000)$ draw on the budget. This would be a good way to recover it, wouldn't it, to make sure that we're getting paid for the cost of delivering the service?

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Say that one more time, now.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Why would we not
include the cost of the labor so that we were covering the cost of our service to provide the service in our contract with --

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: The reason that AltaPointe preferred their own drivers is due to the mental condition these folks. They're trained. They work with them all day as well.

The drivers go get the individuals. They bring them to the facilities. And they work with them all day. So their request was for their people to work with them by picking them and up and taking them to the facility.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I got you. Okay.

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Any other questions about
AltaPointe?
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent all
right?
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yep.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.

H-3 - 2018-2019 AGREEMENT FOR TRANSPORTATION SERVICES BETWEEN BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION AND BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION COUNCIL_ ON AGING

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Okay. Your next one, H --H-3 is the transportation for Council on Aging. So you see the monthly amount there for this year is five thousand, ninety-five, fifty (\$5,095.50).

Basically not sure what's going on at Vaughn yet.
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Talked with Kelly, and she said, for the time being, just put all of it back in the contract.

Then we have Bay Minette, Daphne, Ellisville, and Summerdale that we provide routes for the elderly to go get their meals. And some of those routes we actually deliver homebound meals as well.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay. Consent on that?

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent. COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.

H-4 - 2018-2019 AGREEMENT FOR TRANSPORTATION SERVICES BETWEEN BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION AND COASTAL ALABAMA COMMUNITY COLLEGE

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Item $\mathrm{H}-4$ is a transportation agreement that we do for Coastal Alabama Community College. This is their monthly amount. It's one thousand, two twenty-five $(\$ 1,225)$ per month.

And if their college -- they have a college ID, Coastal Alabama Community College, they can ride any of our existing routes, whether they're connected to Fairhope, Gulf Shores, Bay Minette. So we also work with GED students to make sure they get to their GED classes as well.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Do you have a guess what that ridership is?

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: I could look it up for you.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Please.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent all
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COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: How do we come
up -- how do we come up with that number?
MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Well, that's just money routes that we're already running. So we basically just calculate that based on what little time it takes us maybe to do -- do -- It's not -- it's not huge numbers that ride.

But all we have to do is just pull into that location five or ten minutes. So it's -- it's not a lot of cost associated with doing that for the students. It's kind of like just gravy for our existing routes to help supplement them.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Got it.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.

## H-5 - 2018-2019 CONTRACT FOR COURIER SERVICES BETWEEN BALDWIN

COUNTY COMMISSION AND COASTAL ALABAMA COMMUNITY COLLEGE

MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Okay. Item H -- Excuse me. Item H-5 is also Coastal Alabama Community College. We do courier service for them. That helps the Fund 103 a little bit. It's one thousand, one hundred eighty-seven dollars (\$1,187) a month by us providing courier services to their three campuses along with our existing courier service.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent all right?
(No response.)
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| 1 | COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: I guess so. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. TAYLOR RIDER: Thank you. Appreciate it. |
| 3 | COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Thanks. |
| 4 |  |
| 5 | N-1 - MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE BALDWIN COUNTY |
| 6 | COMMISSION AND THE BALDWIN COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION CONCERNING |
| 7 | THE ALLOCATION OF THE EDUCATION TRUST FUND MONIES |
| 8 | COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Next, |
| 9 | Mr. Cink. |
| 10 | MR. RON CINK: Mr. Ballard. |
| 11 | MR. RON BALLARD: Good morning, Commissioners. |
| 12 | I've got three items. The first is renewal of the |
| 13 | Memorandum of Agreement between the Board of Education |
| 14 | and the Baldwin County Detention Treatment Center, which |
| 15 | would be us. It provides the educational service for |
| 16 | Juvenile Detention. And we just -- it's a renewal of |
| 17 | that. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Good here. |
| 20 | COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right. |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | N-2 - MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE BALDWIN COUNTY |
| 23 | COMMISSION AND THE BALDWIN COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION FOR THE |
| 24 | PROVISION OF FOOD SERVICES AT THE BALDWIN COUNTY JUVENILE |
| 25 | DETENTION CENTER |
| 26 | MR. RON BALLARD: Our second item is between |
| 27 | the Commission and the Baldwin County Board of Education, |
| 28 | the school, provision of meals during the year, not -- |
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not on weekends.

N-3 - MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN BALDWIN COUNTY

COMMISSION AND THE BALDWIN COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE_- FOOD

SERVICES AT THE BALDWIN COUNTY REGIONAL JUVENILE DETENTION CENTER

MR. RON BALLARD: That would be the third item, which would be between us and the Sheriff's Department to provide summer meals and weekend meals.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I'm Consent on both of those, Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Good.
MR. RON BALLARD: And the prices remain the same. It's not going up.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Consent and Consent.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent and Consent.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Is that it?
MR. RON BALLARD: Yes, sir. That's it.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Thank you, sir.

MR. RON BALLARD: Thank you.

P-1 - BALDWIN COUNTY TRAP-NEUTER-RETURN (TNR) PROGRAM

MR. RON CINK: Next item, Mr. Chairman, is regarding the Trap-Neuter-Return Program. We have a signed agreement with Eastern Shore Pet Hospital.

And we've also -- with your permission, I would like
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to add Lillian Veterinarian Hospital to this agreement as well -- I mean, to this agenda item as well.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Consent. COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay. Consent all right?

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Uh-huh. (Indicates affirmatively.) Consent.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.

## Q-1 - UPDATE ON LIVE OAK LANDING OPERATIONS

MR. RON CINK: Next, Mr. Chairman, is Q-1, which is Mr. Michael Dorie with an update on the Live Oak Landing operations.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Good morning, Michael.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Good morning, everyone. Before I address the operations side, I want to introduce Crystal Hinote who has recently joined our team as park manager at Live Oak Landing.

So I wanted her to come sit in here and see all the magic that happens in this room. So you may be seeing -you may be seeing her --

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I'm sorry. You got me there, made me laugh.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: So you may be seeing more and more of her as we continue forward. So I wanted to introduce her.

I was back here in April requesting -- addressing
some issues with our original contract with
length-of-stay provisions throughout the winter months. And I'm here today to ask to modify that arrangement throughout the year.

We're requesting an amendment to remove all of the length-of-stay restrictions. Right now we find it very prohibitive to filling the RV park.

We've gone through the summer. We had very low site numbers due to these restrictions. We have a huge call volume of people wanting to come in, set up their campers, and stay for multiple weeks.

Many of them are local residents that are looking to come fishing on the weekends. And because of our very prohibitive length-of-stay restrictions, we have to turn them away.

And with seventeen (17\%) or fourteen percent (14\%) capacity, which was June and July this summer, it's very hurtful for us and for the County financially to be turning away these potential customers, where we can't offer them the services that they're asking for.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: How many slots do we offer for the winter?

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: We went to fifty percent (50\%), fourteen, (14), fourteen (14) of the twenty-eight (28) sites.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I mean, I want you to be successful. I want people to use it. But I don't anybody living there.
do we. We have Exhibit $C$ as part of our contract, which is very specific rules and regulations for extended stay customers. We're very happy to revisit that and make sure that is a broad policy across the board.

We partner with the Commission to manage the park. We're asking that you allow us to manage the park and make sure that we are living to up to the expectation of no one living there.

But we do have a lot of locals that want to keep their camper there for multiple weeks. They're not living there. They have a full-time residence.

They want to use Live Oak Landing, access to the river, and all the recreational opportunities. They're willing and able to do it, and yet we have to tell them no.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Well, I feel like you've got a clear understanding of what it is we're trying to do and, you know, the -- the image and the feeling we're trying to get up there.

I'm happy to allow the flexibility to make that happen, just as long as we've got the flexibility to say, hey, this ain't working.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Sure. And -- and we're very happy to deal with it openly, our plans and your expectations. And we're happy to live up to that to make sure the park has the look and the feel the Commission wants. And we feel like with a little more flexibility
to do so, we can accomplish that and yet be financially successful as well.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Well, I think that's one of the things with -- a lot of RV parks that's around, they start out, you know, you cannot do it. Then they extend it out there and they extend it. Well, now they got -- and they end up being almost permanent.

We've got several of them around the county that people have come in there, and they're permanent now. And, you know, and you can't -- we can't do nothing about it, but we get complaints from the thing.

Well, they're not supposed to be in there and -this long, you know, and it's just -- it -- it's -- it makes -- it makes it a mess. And, I mean, if we start, you know, doing a lot of it, you know -- I don't want to extend anybody that can be there for a real long time. I'm just, you know -- you know, it doesn't need to happen, you know, for long periods of time.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Yeah. And -- and I'm happy to revisit that right now. The restrictions are summertime, twenty-one days. That's three weeks. And that -- that's very, very limited.

We've got families -- A huge -- To date, we've been open ten months. A huge percentage of all our current customers are North Baldwin residents, just north of the Atmore area and Northwest Florida. We have huge numbers from those areas.

And they tend to be retirees, husband-and-wife
teams. They want to come in. They want to set their camper up for four, six, eight weeks.

They don't want to have to -- they want to be able to visit the thing every weekend throughout -- fishing on the river. And we tell them they can only stay for three weeks.

That's just too much work. They don't want to set up and tear the thing down just to have to leave and come back. And so we can't even let them stay for -- for the month.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: What's the marketing program? Are we in Good Sam and all that stuff? Because I would think that we would be doing -- I would think that occupancy would be higher than that.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: We are working on that.
And one of the things we thought -- that we're running into is -- is cash flow issues for marketing and advertising because of some of these restrictions.

We -- we ran into that problem in the winter. We had people that wanted to stay long. We couldn't accept them. We had to turn away their money.

We had a good spring with a lot of recreational campers, which we were very excited about. We were hoping to carry that into the summer.

Due to some of the lack of amenities -- We don't have a pool. We don't have a splash pad. Some of the families are kind of shying away from Live Oak for the
summer because of the lack of those.

And the people that did want to stay, they wanted to set up Memorial Day, keep their camper up through Labor Day, come use it on the weekends. We had to turn those customers away.

MR. JOE DAVIS: What's the average amount of customers you turned away?

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Crystal answers the phone more than $I$ go, but we get a lot of calls --

MS. CRYSTAL HINOTE: Yeah. We --

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: -- with people saying --
MS. CRYSTAL HINOTE: -- get --

MR. JOE DAVIS: Would the park have been full?

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: That hard to say. It's hard to say. But we've been turning people away. We're telling them no.

They say, we want to stay for a month. We say, we can't offer that. We can only stay three weeks. Then you have to leave and then come back. And they say, well, let me shop around. And they hang up the phone, and we don't hear back from them.

So whether they would have one hundred percent (100\%) booked with us, or whether they would have shopped around for other campgrounds -- It's hard to say if we would have full, but I guarantee you we would have been --

MS. CRYSTAL HINOTE: We would have been seventy-five percent (75\%) full. better than fourteen percent (14\%), which is what -- what our -- what we had for July.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I'm with you. No Pintos on blocks.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: And, again, we're happy to revisit the rules and regulations to make sure that we are -- the look and feel of what the Commission wants is what we're delivering.

And we feel like we've gone through that. And if you revisit the contract, it's a pretty -- pretty strict of what we do and don't allow on the campsites.

And we're very happy to enforce those rules and, you know kick out anyone that's living there or is attempting to live there or is setting up for kind of a long stay.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: On the longer term, what's your rental rate going to be?

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Well, that was the next item on here. Right now in our current contract, it prohibits us from offering a monthly rate.

The terminology is we can offer a daily and a weekly rate, which is also somewhat prohibitive. Because then you extrapolate that, then you take a weekly rate and multiply it by four, it still puts us way higher than competitors in the area.

We're looking at asking to eliminate or allow us the implementation of a monthly rate, which we would set beginning at four hundred dollars (\$400) per month. That
would be our proposed start.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: And how many months are you looking at allowing them to stay?

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: That's hard to -- to say.
You get some customers that -- do you want to kick them out? If they pay on time, and they have a nice rig, they're --

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: No, no. But that's what -- how many are you -- what are you looking at that -- What -- Do you want -- How long do you want them to stay there, you know, I mean, allow them to stay there through? Three months? Four months? Five months? Six months? Eight months? Twelve months? Fourteen months? Twenty-four months? I mean, you know, you got to -- you've got to have a cutoff point somewhere.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Sure.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: You know, I mean, you -- you -- somebody -- you get somebody in there that says, well, I'm going to pay it monthly. Well, if he's a good payer, are you going to let him stay there twelve months? A year? I mean, two years?

I mean, that's the -- that's thing that gets into it. And once you get that started, then you end up with nothing but -- it becomes their residence. You know, and -- and -- and we didn't build that thing for -for -- for it to be a residential area.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: I understand. what I mean? You've got to have somewhere -- You've got to have a -- you have to have a -- a -- a ceiling, you know, where, you know, you can -- you can spend two months here, three months.

But, you know, but, you know, it's like I said, without a cap, it can go for eternity, you know, as long -- if they're a good payer, yeah, you're going to take their money.

MS. KIM CREECH: You know, in Gulf State Park, before we opened this, I had to -- I had to -- was put on that project. And we went and talked to Gulf State Park.

And I know -- and I believe it was like from

November through March, that was the -- those months they would allow them to stay on one spot.

And it was catering to those snowbirds, trying -where they didn't have to move or switch or, you know, go one day and come back.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yeah.

MS. KIM CREECH: You know, that was -- Now, I don't -- I couldn't remember what they did in the summer months, but that was the way that Gulf State Park was able to use November through March, got, you know -right there. They were leaving at the spring break time, coming in --

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Uh-huh. (Indicates affirmatively.)

MS. KIM CREECH: -- and that helped fill the
state park's issue of the winter months.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Joe Wilkins has
got --
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Yes, sir.
MR. JOE WILKINS: Yes, can I --
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Absolutely.
Yes, sir. Thank you for coming.
MR. JOE WILKINS: I've RV'd all across the United States. Did RV'ing all my life. Just sold it.

The problem -- and I understand it from two dimensions. One, it makes money to bring the long-term people in. But from the citizen's standpoint, first, if they're able -- And I'll take the Corps of Engineers' thoughts.

If you are able to get there first, you've got a good site, you have got a vacation home on the river for the entire summer for a pittance, period.

And if you're retired and you have a nice rig, you come in, and you can spend all -- from, what, four months, five months at -- at the price with a beautiful setting and everything else.

And like at Gulf State Park, for the locals who want to run up on the weekend, try it sometime. You can't get in. I mean, it's already taken.

And I think it comes down to -- And Commissioner Gruber's absolutely correct. KOA's and all of these across the United States, I know many people -- This is why the expensive RV parks come about. They don't want
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to stay in them, because they have become permanent residences for the tremendous lag in housing markets.

And that's what's happened to many of the RV parks in this county are the same way. And that's why you see the rates down on the Gulf; ninety-five (\$95), a hundred (\$100), a hundred and ten dollars (\$110). Same thing in Florida.

And what you're doing -- What's your rate per night? MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Thirty-five dollars (\$35). MR. JOE WILKINS: You've got a huge rig. Thirty-five dollars (\$35), you're getting water, electricity. And it's just the best hotel down on the beach with the lowest price. You're getting a huge deal.

Now, I understand if you want to fill the park, you can do that. But I -- I go back to what Commissioner Dorsey said, part of this is advertising, too.

A lot of those people that if you would advertise, you know, the river, the location, how easy it is to get to the big sites, I -- I would try that before you try the other.

But, again, I'm a private citizen in this account. I'm not for the guys on the Expressway. This is my personal opinion. And, by the way, that's a personal opinion by default. We sold all three of the RVs. So just a little word.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: We'd be happy to put a cap on the -- the three months, six months to prevent that living there. But we feel like three weeks in the summertime is -- is too restrictive.

So in an effort to try and find that middle ground, we're happy to open that up. It's just very painful to us and to the County, financially. We want to see the County make a lot of money on this deal. And right now, we're just --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I want it full. I want people to use it. I mean, if it takes the three months to get it -- to get some momentum going, to get you some marketing money. But once it -- once -- once the -- the long-term folks are keeping the short-term folks out, that's got to change.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: And there's opportunities to book -- we talked for the winter to allocate $X$-number of sites for long-term and -- and make sure that we are keeping --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I understand it's got to be fluid. I'm -- I'm glad for you to get up to -get up to mass and a better vacancy rate.

But I want -- but it -- when you get that -- when you start to get the momentum for the -- for the shorter term, you're going to have to cut that out, to me, because it's got to be -- you want to allow people to be able to use it.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: And we're happy to do that.

Our concern --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Get up to speed and get going and get some momentum going over there,
because it don't really seem to -- It's nice.
Everything's maintained well. But we've not got much momentum going up there yet.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: We agree. And -- and feel
like some of these -- by turning customers away, it limits us to achieve our long-term plan, which was to build lots of great recreational amenities there with the disk course and walking trails and things like that. And we find ourselves restricted with cash flow, because we're turning customers away. We were looking at those revenues to help support that growth.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Fourteen spots for the three months of summer?

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Could we just revise it to three months at any point, year round? I mean, because we already talked about doing that --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I understand.
MR. MICHAEL DORIE: -- for the winter, rather than saying during these months --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Got you.
MR. MICHAEL DORIE: -- just say it's a maximum of a ninety-day stay at any point.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: As long as we have a ceiling in them on how many months you can stay there. You know, that's -- like I say, if you don't, I mean, like I say, you get somebody to stay there a year, they'll -- they'll park it. I mean, they don't care.

I mean, it's a park -- it's a place for them to park
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it, and it's a place for them to go on the weekends cheap. You know, and I just don't want somebody to become -- it to become a permanent residence for them.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Understood.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: And --
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: And, you
know, if you do it, you know, three months out of the year or four months the year, you know, you know, that's a different story.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: And, for y'all, if you start seeing occupancy rates going up and staying pegged and you start turning -- you're starting to turn away folks that are wanting -- I mean, we want the public to use it.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: I understand.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: We don't want somebody coming up there and homesteading, even if it is just for three months. But if we've got folks that we're turning away because we don't have availability because somebody has come out there and sat for the whole summer, then we need to revisit that.

I mean, the goal is to get the public in there to use it, to see the park, and enjoy it. And then it's an RV. It moves. Go somewhere else. But at the same time, I want to see you be successful.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Yes, sir.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: If we tweak to it this way in order to get it up and going and running and
fund it and then dial it back, that's fine.
I won't be here to dial it back. Y'all will get to dial it back.

COMMISSIONER-ELECT JEB BALL: We have the flexibility to dial it back.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: You do.
MR. MICHAEL DORIE: And we're happy to come and give periodic updates where we are and what our numbers are and find that sweet spot where everyone's happy and make Live Oak the regional destination we want it to be.

MR. JOE DAVIS: Question, if I could. You have a daily rate. What's the shortest period people typically utilize that daily rate, two or three days?

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: We get a lot the interstate traffic, folks just passing through and want to stay for a night. Being right off Interstate 65, we get those late afternoon phone calls. Hey, Googled you guys and found you. And we want to come in and stay for the night and pack up in the morning and continue on.

Most of our campers, you know, are people who are looking to camp two to three nights. Weekends, obviously, are busier, Friday. Check in is on Friday. Check out is on Sundays and Mondays. That's pretty typical.

So are we good to go ninety days and authorize the removal -- There is a line in there that says no monthly rates allowed. Are we able to strike that and allow monthly rates for those three months?

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yep, you
know, as long -- as long as we've got -- as long as we have a ceiling, you know.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: What did you say you were thinking the rate was?

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Four hundred (\$400) per month.

MS. CRYSTAL HINOTE: It's still higher than the ones around us.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: When you say
"around us," what are you talking about?
MS. CRYSTAL HINOTE: Hubbards Landing and --
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Okay. I'm okay with being higher than them.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Yeah. It's --

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: We're comparable to

Blakeley State Park.
MS. CRYSTAL HINOTE: Yeah. I think theirs is three (\$300).

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Three fifty (\$350) or three seventy-five (\$375) a certain time of year.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay. I'm good with four hundred (\$400) then.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: That will be on Consent?

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: I had one other, just an update on when I talked to you last, we talked about the potential of a bathhouse, a conversation about bathhouses

1
for Live Oak Landing.
And then also there was a conversation about a potential walking bridge to connect the nature trails. I'm not sure what anyone within the County has done on either of those. I just wanted -- wanted an update.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: We're going to keep that crossing a little on the primitive side for a while.

MR. RON CINK: The bathrooms, I'm waiting back on Jason. We did talk about them. And he is willing to bore them and -- and connect them to his existing lines.

And he and I talked about a design to bring back to the Commission. And the summer got away from us. So that's just where we're at. I did reach out to him this morning.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Any other questions for Live Oak?

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: The -- we lost -I don't know where we lost our girl on the bike trails out there.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Okay.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I know the folks at the bike shop in Fairhope -- What is it? I don't know what it is, that triathlon place right there. They would be interested in probably having a conversation. They're somebody to have conversation with.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Okay.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: And I don't know
if that's something you're kind of interested in helping kind of facilitate that.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: We'd be very happy to do that, have a conversation.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Because there are not -- there are not enough of those facilities in our region. And certainly Orange Beach is nice, but there is -- there is a mountain bike contingency that's drawn to places within three hours of riding here, that we probably end up diversifying it and probably not take a lot of effort.

I don't remember, however, with the construction of those trails, can we use any machinery on CIAP property to develop those trails? Does anybody remember?

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: I think it was Forever Wild, wasn't it, when we was having the trouble with it?

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Yeah. I think it was Forever Wild.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Uh-huh. (Indicates affirmatively.) Yeah. It wasn't, you know, the CIAP. It was the Forever Wild.

MR. RON CINK: CIAP, once you accepted the grant, they didn't -- they didn't terribly restrict you on recreational actives. So they were open to construction, whatever the need required.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Forever Wild should be pretty -- I think we should be able push that a
little better. I think if you got like a brush cutter, or if you -- I mean, somebody's got to walk it and set it up.

And you've just got to make a single track with the brush cutter and make the path through there. I mean, you -- I mean, shoot, I bet Ron and I could do it with a case of beer for nothing, a long weekend.

MR. RON CINK: I'd like the bush cutting.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Yeah. I mean, just go through there and make a single track. And then some of the bike folks could come in there and build features and the berms and --

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: I will reach out --
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: -- stuff like that. I'd be pretty quick and easy.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: -- to the South Alabama
Mountain Bike Association, which was the group that originally started that conversation a couple years ago.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Yeah.
MR. MICHAEL DORIE: If you'd be happy to share that contact with Fairhope.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Yeah, absolutely.
MR. MICHAEL DORIE: I can reach out to them. I can kind of see where we're at with the parties and see if we can't --

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Joey, do you remember who -- I don't remember who she got hung up with. It's probably Patty Powell and State Lands on
that.

MR. RON CINK: I think so.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I don't remember who -- She was -- I mean, they were all about it. And then it just went into DC\&R hell.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: I will do my best.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Yeah. I think it's -- I think it's an inexpensive way. We can get a -we can run a brush cutter, once they'll -- if they -- if they'll stake it out for us, we brush cut that thing.

It would seriously not take a day, probably. You get one of those grinder wheels on it. Because you're not taking down any trees of any size. You're just running -- running a path. It could be a few miles long and just increase your market.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: One more demographic to bring in the park. That's what we're all about.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Yep.
MR. MICHAEL DORIE: I appreciate your time.
MR. RON CINK: Commissioners -- Michael, if I can get with you and get those changes on that contract and get them reviewed by Conner probably not this coming up meeting, but the following meeting.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Okay.

MR. RON CINK: If that's okay.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Do -- do we need to officially wait for that to be signed and approved before we can make any type of --
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MR. RON CINK: I guess.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: The sooner the better.

MR. RON CINK: Okay.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: So I'm happy to do that.

MR. RON CINK: If you'll just send me a line
item of the contract changes you want. And I'll have
Legal look at it and make sure we're --
MR. MICHAEL DORIE: I'll send those to you this afternoon.

MR. RON CINK: Thank you, sir.
MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Thank y'all.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Anything else you see you need up there?

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: I think those are the biggest things. We've got some other ideas I'd be happy to put on paper and maybe come back in the very near future with some proposals.

But the bathhouse is the biggest thing, and some flexibility with the campground.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Got you. How is the store doing? I apologize. I haven't been up there in a while.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: The store is linked with the campers.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Okay.
MR. MICHAEL DORIE: When we do well with the campers, the store does well.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Got it.

MR. MICHAEL DORIE: So we just --
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Well, it's not an
outlet mall.
MR. MICHAEL DORIE: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Got it.
MR. MICHAEL DORIE: We -- we're happy to pursue
all those different avenues.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Okay.
MR. MICHAEL DORIE: And thank you for your
time.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Thank you.

Q-2 - PENSACOLA AND PERDIDO BAYS ESTUARY PROGRAM INTERLOCAL
AGREEMENT AND APPOINTMENT OF A COMMISSIONER TO THE PENSACOLA AND
PERDIDO BAYS ESTUARY PROGRAM (PPBEP) POLICY BOARD
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Next, Ron.
MR. RON CINK: Next item is Item Q-2, which is
Pensacola/Perdido Bay's estuary program; in our local agreement, an appointment of a Commissioner to the Pensacola and Perdido Bay's Estuary Program and Policy Board.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: As long as we're appointing Skip, I'm good.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Is that it?
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yeah,
I'll -- well, I'll --
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Congratulations.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: I'll take
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it.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Skip, if you want to appoint me, I'll take the appointment.

MR. RON CINK: Last man standing.

Q-3 - STAN MAHONEY JUNIOR ANGLERS YOUTH FISHING TOURNAMENT SPONSORSHIP

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.
Next.

MR. RON CINK: Next item is a request that came in regarding Stan Mahoney Junior Anglers Youth Fishing Tournament sponsorship.

This is request from Mr. Bret Gar on behalf of Stan Mahoney Junior Fishing Tournament for the Commission to sponsor in the amount of a thousand dollars (\$1,000).

Commissioner Gruber, this came to you.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yeah. And
what -- what they did, you know, Rivera's been doing this. And I think they wanted to reach out to somebody else to, you know, to be a sponsor for them. Yeah.

And it's a -- it's a pretty good event. I mean, they bring in a lot of kids, you know, that go out fishing. And then it's just a good fish tournament for the kids.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I mean --
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: And Stan was, you know, one of the leaders of the Wolf Bay Watershed Watch. And they're the ones that got that
waters, you know, certified and everything. And, you know, I think that's why it's kind of been named after him, because he was such an advocate on doing stuff like that.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Well, I'm going to stay consistent. I'm a no. I don't think that's the duty of government. But y'all can three-to-one me all you want to, and I won't be mad about it.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: No. I think it's the same conversation we had yesterday in budget about, you know --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: I feel kind of the same way --

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Okay.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: -- you do.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Well, that's fine.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: That opens the door to so many requests.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: One yes, and you're going to have thirty requests next work session.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: I agree.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: And it's not what government does.

MR. RON CINK: Next item, Mr. Chairman, is Resolution --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Just pull that one rather than put it on --
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Q-4 - RESOLUTION \#2018-102 - APPROPRIATION FROM THE COMMISSION_
DISCRETIONARY FUND - MOBILE BAY AREA VETERANS DAY COMMISSION,_ INC.

MR. RON CINK: Next item is 2018-102, which is an appropriation if the County Commission's discretionary fund regarding the Mobile Bay Area Veterans Day Commission.

And that's the Veterans Day events. You did support it last year. This year they have asked for -- I want to say two thousand $(\$ 2,000)$ or three thousand dollars (\$3,000) more.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: More?
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: They're asking for a five thousand dollar (\$5,000) appropriation.

MR. RON CINK: They're asking for five (\$5, 000).

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Okay.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Is anybody participating. You and I go.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I go.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Do we have -- I
mean, we've -- I've asked them to ask the Baldwin County marching bands and the ROTC folks to go, but I don't know if they ever participate.

MR. RON CINK: I have not heard back on -- I have asked that question.
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COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: I mean, I'm
supportive of -- Obviously, I think the parade is a great
thing. I'd just like to see more participation from the
Baldwin County School System. It's a good event. But
I'll make some calls.
MR. RON CINK: You guys good with it then?
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Uh-huh.
(Indicates affirmatively.)
MR. RON CINK: Okay.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Yeah.
MR. RON CINK: All right. We've already
covered $\mathrm{Q}-5$.

Q-6 - SPACE ALLOCATION IN COUNTY FACILITIES - ALLOCATION OF SPACE AT THE BALDWIN COUNTY EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER IN ROBERTSDALE_

ALABAMA - RESOLUTION \#2018-098
MR. RON CINK: $Q-6$ has been pulled at the
request of the Sheriff and will not be coming back, is my understanding.

Q-7 - SPACE ALLOCATION IN COUNTY FACILITIES - ALLOCATION OF SPACE
AT THE BALDWIN COUNTY FAIRHOPE SATELLITE COURTHOUSE AND
COURTHOUSE CAMPUS IN FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA - RESOLUTION \#2018-114
MR. RON CINK: Q-7 is space allocation, Baldwin
County Fairhope Satellite Courthouse regarding some
renovations upstairs. Here is the cost estimate prepared by Junius with Building Maintenance.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Thank you.
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COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: That's some
high-tech Junius work right there.
MR. RON CINK: Yeah.
MR. JOE DAVIS: Thank you.
MR. RON CINK: And this is -- this is to renovate the -- basically construct an office upstairs.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Mr. Chairman, I'll go ahead and speak on it, if I can.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Sir?
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I'll go ahead and speak on it, if $I$ can.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: If you'd like to.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: This is the square corner upstairs just right off the elevator there for the unfinished space upstairs. It's essentially building two walls and putting some drywall in and laying tile ceiling for additional Legislative space.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: The biggest question $I$ have is are they going to have time to do this with all of the stuff that they've got going on? I mean, it --

MR. RON CINK: It will -- it will be a stretch.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: It's taken seven months to get a bathroom renovated in Foley.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: It sure has.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: And it
still ain't finished.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Aren't we bidding
it out for a hundred and ten thousand dollars (\$110,000)? COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: No. The
first one -- No. We did the first one inhouse, and
letting the contractor come in and do this piece, do this
piece. But it's been seven months for a bathroom.
I mean, and they don't -- they haven't had time to do nothing. It's kind of piecemealing it. And, you know, I'm sorry. That's -- that's -- that's not -unacceptable, you know. It's, you know -- you know, I just, you know -- you know, but they --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Well, if --
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: --
don't -- I don't know if they've got time for somebody to sit down and do this kind of work, you know.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: If the -- if the Legislative Deligation would -- And they have ample funds. I would think if they wanted to get somebody to do it, I'd consider that, but --

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: I just
don't know --
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: -- they've
got --
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: -- how
much time --
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: -- one
office --
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: I don't
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know.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: They've got
offices not used.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I think that will
be used by the new House Member, Commissioner Burt.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Sir?
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: I think that's going to be used by the new House Member.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Oh, okay. So
if they want two offices, I think they can afford this kind of money to put it up. Really, they do.

Have a look at their budget, Kim. They have a good bit of money, don't they, discretionary that they use for lots of things.

MS. KIM CREECH: Yeah, they get an -- they get an allotment every year.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Well, it's not
just an allotment. It's something they --
MS. KIM CREECH: They get money off sales --
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Yeah.

That's --

MS. KIM CREECH: -- tax. They get money --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: -- what I'm saying.

MS. KIM CREECH: -- they get sales tax money.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: And -- and --
be lease tax money.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: But it -- I --

I think they could afford it.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: You know,

I want to check with Junius to see if he's got, you know -- how much time it would take for him to do this, you know.

I don't mind -- I don't mind -- I don't mind doing it, but $I$ don't want this thing to drag out, you know, because I'm telling you there's just nothing that's more disgusting than seeing something drag out like that, and don't care.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Are you motivated to see it drag out? Would you see --

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: No. I would see it move fairly quickly. There's nothing --

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: But I'm saying -- but, I mean, you know -- you know, I just don't want, you know -- they're saying, well, you know, we had to do this. We had to do this. We got to do this. And they've got, what, eighty-eight other buildings that they have to do. So, I mean, we don't -- we don't really have a construction crew like we did at one time.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Sure.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: You know, you don't --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: If we've got any
beer left over from Live Oak, you want to come back here and knock that out?

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: But -- but what --

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: You type all this stuff, don't you?

THE COURT REPORTER: (Indicates affirmatively.)
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: What is good about it is getting Stewart Contraction to do these small jobs. It's less than fifty thousand dollars $(\$ 50,000)$, so you wouldn't have to bid it. You'd just get the folks on it, and decide what they want, and get outside money to do it, and get the Delegation to -- If they're not willing put up some money --

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Commissioner, there's not anything structural about it. I think it might be fairly simple. It's literally two walls and making use of two existing walls up there.

It was the corner that was easiest to get to. I don't think you've got any structural -- I know you don't have anything structural. It's metal studs, some sheetrock, a drop ceiling, and some carpet.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Well, I know when they did one for Bradley -- for Congressman Bonner --

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Uh-huh.
(Indicates affirmatively.)
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: -- it took
them almost two months to get that done. And that was just one wall. You know, I mean, that -- I mean, that's, you know -- because they had to work in between jobs. And they finally came in on Saturday and knocked it out.

I mean, it's just -- it's their -- their workload that they have. You've only got so many people. If something goes down, somebody's got to go take care of it. You know, and they get pulled off of it, you know, and just --

MR. RON CINK: The second problem I have -- And I'll give you an example. On Friday, we had a water main or water -- water leak up at the transfer station in Bay Minette. And we had an issue down at Cattle and Fair. And Junius was out on -- out on FMLA. And I was guiding the troops going, go here, go there, go there.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: You know, call -why don't you call Bill Mitchel and Highland and get a couple quotes. I mean, it's a nothing job.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Well, Wanda -Wanda gets Stewart, which is Lynn's husband -- does these small things for us and does a good job and always keeps the price down.

MR. JOE DAVIS: The Delegation balance they have, is that something that they decide our y'all decide?

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: No, they --
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: They decide.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: -- decide. At
least let's ask them, because they've got a sizable budget.

MR. JOE DAVIS: Over two hundred thousand
(\$200, 000) .
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Yeah, I know.
MS. KIM CREECH: Yeah. Their cash is two
thirteen (\$213,000) and their fund balance from last year was a hundred and sixty thousand (\$160,000).

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Yeah.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: I just -- I
think at least we ought to ask them.

MR. JOE DAVIS: Sure.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: And I think they'd say yes.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Well, the agenda item is space allocation. Are you okay with the space allocation? The space allocation --

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: No problem --

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: I have no problem with that.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Very good.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Get them to
fund it. And I believe they'd fund it.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Like I
say, I'm not -- I'm not, you know -- I just -- I don't --
I don't want us to get started anything and, you know,
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and then you get ready to move in, and, well, $I$ can't move in now.

MR. RON CINK: Do you want me to wait on the space allocation until --

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: No.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: No.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: No. I'd do
the space allocation and let them pay for whatever --
MR. RON CINK: Okay.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: -- they want
to put there, however many hundred square feet or whatever it is in there.

MR. JOE DAVIS: And under fifty thousand, $(\$ 50,000)$, we don't have to bid anyway; is that correct?

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Under fifty (\$50,000), you don't. Right.

MR. JOE DAVIS: Okay.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Just get quotes.

MR. JOE DAVIS: Okay.

R-5 - REQUEST FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right. What's the next one?

MR. RON CINK: Next item is an addenda dealing with the leave of absence for an employee.

Andrea.

MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: We have a Probate
employee that has exhausted all of her leave and all of her FMLA due to a serious illness with her husband. And the Judge has asked that she be granted at least a three (3) month leave of absence, if three (3) months is needed.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Are we treating it the same as we have --

MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: Yes.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: -- for all these
others? Same situation for other folks?
MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: Yes, sir.
COMMISSIONER TUCKER DORSEY: Good here.
COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: Good here.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Good here.

## R-6 - HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT (MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION) -

EMPLOYMENT OF TWO (2) ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN I POSITIONS
MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: Then I've got one more. Joey has got two Engineer Tech I positions he wants to fill. And one is going to be coming in higher grade than entry level, at an H-3 due to experience.

COMMISSIONER CHARLES "SKIP" GRUBER: He needs it right now bad.

MR. JOEY NUNNALLY: Yeah, we -- as y'all know, we had one vacancy. And the other one, we had an internal transfer. We're currently out two, and we're -we're pretty shorthanded in Construction right now.

So we -- The one that we're proposing to move into

1
the Level 3 actually is working with a consultant right now, got quite a bit of inspection experience, familiar with ALDOT spec's and standards. And so we -- we feel pretty blessed.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Okay.

## R-7 - DISCUSSION - CHANGES TO THE DRUG POLICY

MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: And then one more thing that's not agenda. I just want to let you know what is -- what transpired from Friday.

Drug policy has -- we're going to have to change it. The DOT, the Department of Transportation has changed their drug policy now to include not -- they've changed if from opioid to opioids. So they're -- they're now testing for Lortab, Hydrocodone, OxyContin. There's like four or five of those prescriptions.

And we ran into this Friday. If they do test and shows up in there, our MRO doctor is not releasing them to work on the safety sensitive conditions per the -- per the law.

We're still looking into it, because it's new to us. I've got Hope on it. She's looking and was going to get with ACCA. Because usually they're the ones that's telling us, hey, this has changed. And we haven't heard anything from them. So but that's a big change that could be quite a lot of our people.

COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: Well, no offence, but before we jump too fast, I'd like the attorneys to

1
look at that, because --
MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: Oh, yeah. We -COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: -- sometimes our
interpretation --

MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: Like I say, we -COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: -- of the law is not a very good --

MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: -- got Hope and --
COMMISSIONER CHRIS ELLIOTT: -- interpretation of the law.

MS. ANDREA RIDER ROBERSON: Hope and them are on it, because they're the ones that actually wrote the drug policy that we're under right now. So they're looking to see what all it's going to entail. So just wanted to let y'all know.

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: All right.

MR. RON CINK: I'm through.
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: We're through.
No other addenda?
(No response.)

## S - PUBLIC COMMENTS

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Public
comments?
(No response.)

## T - PRESS QUESTIONS

COMMISSION CHAIRMAN FRANK BURT: Press
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| 86:4 |  | Barnwell [2] - 45: $45: 3$ | Between [9]-4:26, | 59:24, 60:5, 87:6 |
| AS [1] - 9:21 aside [1] - 39:22 | B-1 [2] - 4:5, 14:6 | base [1] - 61:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 4,6: 6,6: 8,6: 10, \\ & \text { 6:12, 6:15, 6:17, } \end{aligned}$ | BOARD [3] - 83:7, |
| asphalt $[5]$ - 26:19, | B-2 [2] - 4:7, 15:9 | based [10]-58:7, | $6: 20$ | Board [5] - 6:15, 6:18, |
| $28: 21,29: 3,29: 5$ | B-3 [2] - 4:9, 15:22 | 58:8, 58:18, 62:13, | between [11]-24:7, | $83: 14,83: 28,106: 22$ |
| ASSISTANCE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{gathered} \text { B-4 [5] - 4:11, 16:9, } \\ 75: 3,75: 4,75: 7 \end{gathered}$ | 62:15, 64:6, 64:23, <br> 67:20, 69:1, $82 \cdot 7$ | 31:11, 34:11, 39:12, | Board $\qquad$ [1] - 7:5 |
| 46:20 | 75:3, 75:4, 75:7 | 67:20, 69:1, 82:7 | 59:2, 75:13, 78:22, | Bonner [1] - 115:26 |
| Assistance [3] - 7:22, | B-5 [2] - 4:13, 16:25 | basis [1] - 35:4 | 83:14, 83:27, 84:8, | book ${ }_{[1]}$-96:15 |
| 46:25, 47:12 | B-6 [2]-4:15, 17:25 | batch [1] - 50:28 | 116:4 | booked [1] - 90:24 |
| Assistant [2]-2:24, | B-7 [2] - 4:18, 18:16 | bathhouse [2] - 101:1, | beyond [1] - 71:14 | bore [1] - 101:12 |
| 2:25 | B-8 [2] - 4:20, 20:6 <br> bad $51-12 \cdot 5,58 \cdot 26$ | 105:19 | BID [4] - 14:6, 15:9, | BOYKIN $\left.{ }^{3}\right]$ - 74:25, |
| associated [2] - <br> 79:12, 82:12 | bad [5] - 12:5, 58:26, 66:16, 77:26, 119:24 | bathhouses [1] - 101:1 | 15:22, 16:9 | 75:25, 76:6 |
| 79:12, 82:12 |  |  | Bid [8] - 4:5, 4:7, 4:9, | Boykin [1] - 2:14 |
| Association ${ }^{[2]}$ - | balance [2] - 116:24, 117:9 | bathroom [2] - 111:26, 112:7 | $4: 11,14: 14,15: 14$ | Bradley [1] - 115:25 |
| 76:22, 103:18 assurances [1] - 37.5 | balances [3] |  | 15:25, 16:13 | BRATS [3]-6:3, |
| assurances $[1]-37: 5$ | $49: 15,50: 13$ | $16: 13,101: 10$ | bid [15] - 14:16, 14:27, | 19:22, 46:28 |
| AT [6] - 17:26, 22:13, | BALDWIN [35] - 1:3, |  | 15:15, 15:27, 16:15, | break [4]-67:9, |
| 83:25, 84:6, 110:16, | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALDWIN [35] - } 1: 3, \\ 6: 3,9: 21,14: 7, \end{gathered}$ | Baton [2]-59:11, 64:9 <br> Bay $[7]$ - $7 \cdot 8,40 \cdot 25$, | 17:3, 17:4, 18:5, | 79:11, 79:14, 93:25 |
| 110:23 | 15:10, 15:23, 10:10 | Bay[7] - 7.8, 40.25 | :17, 27:6, 27:18, | break-even [2] - |
| Atlanta [1] - 54:14 |  | 81:4, 81:22, 107:28, | 35:21, 115:12, | 79:11, 79:14 |
| Atmore [1] - 88:28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:11, 16:26, 17:26, } \\ & 17: 27,20: 7,21: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109: 9,116: 13 \\ \mathbf{B \Delta Y} \mathbf{Y}_{[1]}-109 \cdot 5 \end{gathered}$ | 118:15 | breakfast [1] - 9:8 |
| attached [3]-15:16, |  | BAY [1] - 109:5 <br> Bay's [2]-106:19, | bidder [5] - 14:22, | Bret [1] - 107:14 |
| $15: 28,50: 4$ | 24:3, 39:8, 48:12, <br> 49:27, 65:6, 78:20, | Bay's [2]-106:19, 106.21 | 15:28, 16:15, 17:3, | bridge [1] - 101:4 |
| attachment [1] - 48:23 | 80:23. 81:14. 82:18. | 106:21-7 Bays [2] - $7 \cdot 4,7.5$ | 18:5 | brief ${ }_{[1]}$ - 76:17 |
| attempting [1] - 91:15 |  | Bays [2] - 7:4, 7:5 | bidding [1] - 112:2 |  |







| Drug ${ }_{[1]}$ - 8:6 | 114:23 | 103:27, 104:4 | 45:24, 59:27 | 10:17, 11:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { drug }[3] \text { - 120:12 } \\ 120: 14,121: 14 \end{array}$ | eight-point-five [1] - 10:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:3, 106:6, } \\ & \text { 106:23, 106:28 } \end{aligned}$ | ENGINEERING ${ }_{[1]}$ - $119: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:18 } \\ & \text { excited }[4]-61: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| 42: | en [2] - 66:28 | 8:10, 109:23 | engineers [1]-55.12 | 62:3, 63:25, 89:2 |
| drying [1] - 56:20 | 69:11 | 110:11, 111:2 | Engineers' ${ }^{[1]}$ - 94:14 | Excuse [1] - 82:20 |
| drywall [1] - 111:18 | eighty | 11:8, 111:1 | enjoy [1] - 98:2 | excused [1] - 65:2 |
| due [7]-11:15, 11:18, | 25:2, 25:7, 27:10 | 11:15, 113:5 | entail [1] - 121:15 | execute [5] - 16:2, |
| 7, 86:10, 89:27, | 22, 31:18, 38:1 | 13:8, 113:19 | enter [1]-59:18 | 16:20, 17:8, 18:8 |
| :3, 119:2 | 3, 78:24, 82:23 | 14:17, 114:26 | entered [3]-25:15 | 23 |
| dump [1] - 66:22 | 114:23 | 16, 115:2 | 33:16, 33:17 | executive ${ }_{[2]}-32: 1$ |
| during [4]-69 | eighty-ei | 17:17, 117:24 | entering [1]-34:19 | 33:22 |
| 84:1, 97:20 | 114:23 | 20:6 | entire [3]-27:17, | exempt [1]-29:2 |
| duty [1] - 108:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eighty-five }[5]-25: 2 \\ & 25: 7,27: 10,29: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & : 28,121: 2 \\ & 1: 7,121: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 32:27, 94:18 | exercise ${ }_{[1]}-52: 26$ |
| E | 38 | Ellisville ${ }_{[1]}$ - 81:4 EMA ${ }_{[1]}$ - 46:26 | ENVIRONMENTAL ${ }_{[1]}$ | 7:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}-1[3]-5: 15,53: 9, \\ & 53: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 82.23 | EMERGENCY [2] - | Environmental [2] - 2:18, 2:20 <br> environmental [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { existing [9] - 33:5, } \\ & 59: 28,70: 25,71: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eighty-three }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 78: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 46:20, 110:16 } \\ \text { Emergency }[2]-7: 13, \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 28,70: 25,71: 4, \\ & 81: 21,82: 13,82: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| E-2 [2]-5:17, 65:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { either }[4]-49: 2 \text {, } \\ & 70: 28,72: 20,101: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 7:21 | 60:25 | 101:12, 115:19 |
| e-mail [1] - 14:18 |  | Employee [1]-5:13 employee [13]-48:18, | equal [1] - 67:14 | expect ${ }_{[1]}$ - $35: 27$ <br> expectation [1]-87:9 |
| early [1] - | Elberta ${ }_{[1]}$ - 5:8 <br> ELBERTA [1] - 43:1 |  | equally [1] - 66:18 |  |
| easiest [2] - 45:14, |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { employee [13] - 48:18, } \\ \text { 48:26, 49:1, 49:7, } \end{gathered}$ | equipment [2]-24:20, 40:23 | expectations [1] - |
| 115:20 | elderly [1] - 81:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 3,50: 6,50: 23, \\ & 50: 24,79: 5,79: 15, \end{aligned}$ |  | expenditure |
| east $[3]-23: 2,59: 12$ | - 99: | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 24,79: 5,79: 15, \\ & 79: 18,118: 27,119: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { equivalent }[2]-55: 27 \text {, } \\ & 57: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 59:2 |
| 59:13 | Elect [1] - 3:10 | EMPLOYEE ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 49:27 <br> employee's $[1]$ - 49:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:13 } \\ & \text { errors }[3]-50: 7,51: 9, \end{aligned}$ | expensive [1] - 95 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Eastern [3] - 19:26, } \\ 19: 27,84: 28 \end{gathered}$ | election [1] - 33:25 <br> electricity [1] - 95:13 |  | especially [2] - 11:8, | experience [2]- <br> 119:22, 120:3 |
| easy [3]-35:25, | elevator ${ }_{[1]}$ - 111:16 | employee's [1] - 49:12 <br> employees [3]-50:8, | ```\[ 72: 7 \] \[ \text { \| essentially }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \]``` | explain [1]-13:1 |
| economic [3] - 58:4 | eliminate [1] - 91:27 | $51: 13,51: 26$ | essentially ${ }_{[1]}$ - | explained $[1]$ - 50:8 |
| 60:26, 62:2 | Elliott [2] - 2:6, 36:20 <br> ELLIOTT ${ }^{1110]}$ - 14.26 | EMPLOYMENT ${ }_{[1]}$ - |  | explaining [1] - 49:4 |
| economically ${ }_{[1]}$ 57:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:5, 15:20, 16:7, } \\ & \text { 17:22, 18:12, 20:3, } \end{aligned}$ | Employment [1] - 8:4 | 69:11 | explanation [1] - $49: 19$ |
| economics [1] - 57:18 | 23:7, 23:16, 23:21, |  | estuary [1] - 106:19 | $50: 5,50: 12$ |
| economy [1] - 66:16 | 23:24, 23:27, $25: 20$,$25: 26,25: 28,26: 5$, | encroaching ${ }_{[1]}$ -43:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ESTUARY }_{[2]}- \\ & \text { 106:14, 106:16 } \end{aligned}$ | exponentially ${ }_{[2]}$ -58:17, 62:22 |
| Ed [1] - 2:18 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ED }_{[4]}-67: 4,71: 24, \\ & 71: 27,72: 3 \\ & \text { edge }[1]-45: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:23, 30:12, 30:19, } \\ & 31: 26,32: 5,34: 21, \end{aligned}$ | Encroachment [1] -5:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estuary [3] - 7:4, 7:5, } \\ & \text { 106:21 } \end{aligned}$ | exposure [1] - 60:21 <br> Expressway $[1]$ - |
|  | $35: 2,35: 5,36: 5 \text {, }$ |  | $\text { et }[8]-51: 14,54: 4 \text {, }$ | Expressway ${ }^{[1]}$ - 95:23 |
| EDUCATION [3] - 83:7, $83: 8,83: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 10,36: 13,36: 22, \\ & 37: 3,37: 11,37: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -43: 17 \\ & \text { encroachment }{ }_{[1]}- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:21, 57:22, 60:6, } \\ & 64: 27,71: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extend }[3]-88: 7 \text {, } \\ & \text { 88:18 } \\ & \text { extended }[1]-87: 4 \\ & \text { extrapolate }[1]-91: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Education }[5]-6: 16, \\ & 6: 16,6: 18,83: 14, \\ & 83: 28 \end{aligned}$ | 38:2, 38:7, 38:18, <br> 39:27, 40:4, 40:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 18 \\ & \text { end }[6]-22: 5,50: 16, \end{aligned}$ | eternity [1] - 93:8 <br> ETJ's [1] - 44:5 <br> Europe [2] - 60:1, 60:5 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 27,40: 4,40: 9 \\ & 40: 12,40: 17,41: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { end }[6]-22: 5,50: 16, \\ 64: 25,88: 8,92: 25, \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| education [1] - 60:25 educational ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $45: 8,46: 1,47: 20,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 25,88: 8,92: 25, \\ & 102: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { event }[4]-66: 13,78: 8 \text {, }$ | F |
| 83:16 | 52:1, 52:4, 52:12, 52:25, 53:5, 66:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Energy }[4]-5: 6, \\ & 41: 23,54: 14,55: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 107:22, 110:5 } \\ \text { events [2] - 76:28, } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| effective ${ }_{[1]}-72: 18$ | $69: 15,70: 24,71: 2,$ | 41:23, 54:14, 55:4 energy [2] - 54:17, | evidence [1] - 30:22 | F-150[1] - 21:16 |
| effectively [6] - 54:26, | 9, 71:11, 71:20 | 迷 | exactly [5] - 20:19, |  |
| 55:9, 55:10, 57:16, | :26, 72:2, 72:7, | ENERGY ${ }_{[1]}-41: 20$ | $44: 22,51: 7,51: 20$ | facilitate [1] - 102:3 |
| 62:6, 64:26 | 72:16, 72:25, 73:5, | enforce [1] - 91:14 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { effort }[2]-96: 3 \text {, } \\ & 102: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 27,74: 9,74: 13, \\ & 74: 16,77: 26,78: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Engineer [2] - 3:4, } \\ & 119: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { example }[4]-56: 14, \\ & 57: 19,60: 7,116: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $7: 14$ |
| eight [11] - 10:17, | 83:20, 84:10, 85:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { engineer }[2]-29: 8, \\ & 75: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { excellent }[1]-42: 18$ | 102:7 |
| 28:16, 29:4, 47:11, | 18, 91:5, 94:3 |  | except ${ }_{[1]}$ - $54: 1$ <br> exception [1] - 45:14 <br> excess [5] - 10:9, | $\begin{gathered} \text { FACILITIES }_{[2]}- \\ \text { 110:15, 110:22 } \\ \text { facility }[6]-54: 11, \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 56: 3,75: 2,78: 24 \\ & 86: 25,89: 4,92: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 6,98: 11,98: 17, \\ & 98: 28,99: 7,100: 23, \end{aligned}$ | Engineering ${ }_{[1]}-8: 5$ engineering [2] - |  |  |



| 75:2 | 16:28, 18:1, 18:20, | great [4]-12:17, 23:3, | 62:19, 90:26 | rdous [1] - 25:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| front-load [1] - 71:8 | 19:6, 19:14, 19:21, | 7:8, 110:3 | guaranteed [1] - 62:15 | head [1] - 20:21 |
| FTA [2] - 4:19, 18:18 | 19:25, 19:28, 20:9, | Greece [5] - 54:5, | guaranteeing [1] - | EALTH ${ }_{[1]}$ - 78:20 |
| Fuel [1] - 5:15 | 20:17, 21:6, 21:9, | 54:9, 56:28, 60:8, | 62:7 | Health [1]-6:7 |
| FUEL [1] - 53:9 | 21:13, 21:26 | 4:1 | guess [11] - 23:9, | hear [3]-32:28, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fuel }[3]-53: 16,56: 5 \text {, } \\ & 60: 14 \end{aligned}$ | GED ${ }_{[2]}$ - 81:23 <br> general [3]-21:22, | Greek [1] - 55:6 <br> green [2]-60:26, 61:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:9, 35:7, 40:7, } \\ & 42: 4,42: 19,44: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33: 11,90: 22 \\ \text { heard }[2]-109: 28, \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { full [6] - 60:22, 87:13, } \\ & 90: 14,90: 26,91: 1, \\ & 96: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 0:18, 50:21 | grinder [1] - 104:13 | 4:16, 81:26, 83:2, | 120:25 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GENERATOR }_{[1]}- \\ & 46: 21 \end{aligned}$ | ground [2]-61:9, 96: | 105 | hearing [5] - 20:13, |
|  |  | group [2]-27:17 | guiding [1] - 116:1 | 43:6, 76:7, 76:9 |
| 96:8 <br> full-blown [1] - 60:22 | generator [1] - 47:1 <br> Generator. $\qquad$ | 03:18 | Gulf [9]-3:16, 5:6, | 77:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { full-time }[1]-87: 13 \\ & \text { fully }[1]-17: 17 \end{aligned}$ |  | growing [1] - 58: | 41:23, 81:22, 93:11, | Heater [1]-15:16 |
|  | $\text { ................... }{ }^{[1]} \text { - }$ | growth [3]-58:17, | 93:13, 93:23, 94:23, | heating [1] - 56:1 |
| fun [1] - 65:27 <br> function [1]-33:13 |  | 61:20, 97:12 | 95:6 | HELD ${ }_{[1]}-1: 2$ |
|  |  | GRUBER [108]-9:10, | GULF [1] - 41:20 | held [1] - 1:12 |
| function [1] - 33:13 Fund $[4]-5: 13,6: 16$, 7:8, 82:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 14,64: 24,64: 26 \\ & \text { girl }[1]-101: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 2,12: 6,13: 1 \\ & 13: 6,13: 10,13: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { guy }[2]-11: 11,75: 21 \\ & \text { guys }[8]-52: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\text { hell }_{[1]}-104: 6$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { fund }[12]-10: 9,21: 22, \\ 50: 3,50: 15,50: 16, \\ 50: 18,50: 21,99: 2, \\ 109: 9,117: 9,117: 26 \end{gathered}$ | ```given [2]-62:1, 123:10 glad [2] - 30:1, 96:19 global [1] - 55:14``` | 13:19, 13:23, 13:26, | 53:23, 53:26, 66:16, | 49:9, 82:14, 97 |
|  |  | 14:25, 15:19, 16:4 | 70:15, 95:23, 99:18 | helped [1] - 94:1 |
|  |  | 16:22, 19:3, 20:28 | 110:7 | helping ${ }_{[1]}-102: 2$ |
|  |  | 11:23, 22:19 |  | helps [1] - 82:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FUND [3] - 49:27, } \\ & 83: 8,109: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gloria }[1]-9: 7 \\ & \text { goal }[4]-45: 8,61: 23, \\ & 64: 5,98: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 6, 26:1, 31:20 | H | hence [1] - 17:15 |
|  |  | 1:23, 32:2, 32:10 |  | hereby [1] - 123:6 |
| $\text { FUNDED }_{[1]}-18: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOMESA [4] - 5:7, } \\ & 41: 20,41: 24,41: 28 \end{aligned}$ | , 37:20, 37:27, | H-1 [3] - 6:4, 78:3, | Hickory [1] - 40:2 |
| 18:23, 21:19 |  | $6: 15,48: 1,48: 3$ | 78:6 | High [1]-6:4 |
| Funded ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4:19 funding ${ }_{[1]}$ - 19:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOOD }_{[1]}-15: 23 \\ & \text { Googled }_{[1]}-99: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 9:23, 52:10, 62:25, | H-2 [3] - 6:6, 78:19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { nigh }[6]-11: 25,23: 2, \\ \text { 23:25, 59:24, } 78: 8, \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 63:7, 63:11, 63:15, |  | 111:3 |
| funding [1] - 19:22 funds [14] - 10:11, | GovDeals [1] - 21:21 government [2] - | 63:19, 63:23, 65:13, $67: 2,67: 5,67: 25,$ | $80: 26,119: 22$ | HIGH [1] - 78:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:18, 11:18, 11:20, } \\ & 18: 25,23: 14,23: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { government [2] - } \\ 108: 8,108: 25 \end{gathered}$ |  | H-4 [3] - 6:10, 81:13, | high-density [1] |
|  |  | 69:18, 70:17, 70:20, | 81:15 | 59:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 42: 16,50: 14,51: 24, \\ & 112: 19 \end{aligned}$ | governmental [1] - | 73:1, 73:13, 73:16, | H-5 [3] - 6:12, 82:18, | high-tech [1] - 111:3 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 14 \\ & \text { grade }[3]-41: 8,56: 3, \end{aligned}$ | 73:28, 75:23, 76:8, | 82:21 | higher [6] - 17:15, |
| FUNDS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 41:20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { grade } 3] \\ 119: 22 \end{gathered}$ | 77:8, 78:12, 80:19, | half [2] - 54:15, 78:9 | $100: 15,119: 21$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Funds }[1]-5: 7 \\ \text { furniture }[3]-55: 24, \\ 60: 6,60: 9 \end{array}$ | GRAHAM ${ }_{[26]}-53: 12$, | 81:10, 83:19, 84:17, | hand [3]-29:17, 50:4, 64:7 | highest ${ }_{[1]}$ - 15:27 |
|  | 65:10, 65:15, 65:22, | 85:4, 85:7, 88:4, | handfu | Highland [2]-16:16, |
|  | 66:4, 66:21, 68:8, | 2:3, 92:9, 92:19 |  | 116:18 |
| $60: 6,60: 9$ | 69:7, 69:27, 70:4, | 93:2, 93:20, 93:27, | 11:23 | highly ${ }_{[1]}-58: 19$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { future }[3]-26: 25, \\ 71: 5,105: 18 \end{array}$ |  | 100:25. 102:16. |  | Highway [12]-2:26, |
| FY ${ }_{[1]}$ - 78:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 8,70: 10,70: 18, \\ & 70: 23,70: 27,71: 7, \end{aligned}$ |  | happy $[19]$ - $37: 2$ | 3:4, 4:23, 8:4, 20:13, |
|  |  |  | 77:3, 87:5, 87:22, | 22:15, 22:22, 22:23 |
| G | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 10,71: 15,72: 11, \\ & 72: 13,72: 17,72: 22, \\ & 72: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 107:27, 108:15, | 8:26, 87:27, 88:21, | 22:25, 22:26, 74:27 |
|  |  | 08:17, 109:16, | 91:7, 91:14, 95:27, | HIGHWAY [5] - 4:22, $5: 3,22: 11,119: 17$ |
| G-1 [2] - 5:23, 74:22 | Graham [1]-2:20 grand [1] - 27:12 | 10:8, 111:20, | $6: 4,96: 26,99: 8,$ | Hinote [2]-3:14, |
| G-2 [2] - 5:25, 76:12 |  | 11:25, 111:28 |  | 85:19 |
| Galls [1] - 14:22 | GRANT [2]-22:12, | 112:21, 112:2 | 105:16, 106: | HINOTE [6] - 90:11, |
|  |  | 113:1, 114:6, | hard [9] - 10:23, | 90:13, 90:28, 100:9, |
| garbage [7] - 53:27, 55:15, 57:20, 60:8, 60:10, 60:11, 61:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grant }[4]-4: 24,7: 22, \\ & 46: 25,47: 12 \end{aligned}$ | \|14:19, 114:27 | 11:25, 43:25, 69:22, | 100:13, 100:19 |
|  |  | 115:24, 116:1 | 9:23, 90:15, 90:16, | HISTORIC [1] - 78:3 |
| gas [1] - 79:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 26,23: 4,23: 6 \\ & 23: 10,23: 14,45: 15, \end{aligned}$ | 16:28, 117:11 | 0:25, 92:5 | Historic [3] - 6:4, 45:7, |
| gate [3]-66:12, 69:11, |  | 17:20, 117:27 | hated [1] - 52:17 |  |
| 69:14 | $47: 5,102: 25$ | 18:7, 119:14 | haulers [1] - 68:22 |  |
|  | grant-related [1] - | 119:23, 122:18 | hauling $[1]-36: 3$ | 44:23, 46:6 |
|  | 2 | Gruber [5]-2:7, 9:9, | hauls [1] - 71:12 | History [1]-3:8 |
| GAUTNEY [20] - <br> 14:10, 14:13, 14:28, <br> 15:13, 15:24, 16:12, | granted [2] - 77:4, | $9: 14,32: 11,107: 17$ <br> Gruber's [1] - 94:27 | HAZARD ${ }_{[1]}$ - 46:20 <br> Hazard [3] - 7:22, | $\text { hit }_{[1]}-11: 11$ |
|  | 119:4 | Gruber's [1] - 94:27 guarantee [3]-56:24 | Hazard [3] - 7:22, 46:25, 47:12 | hoe [1] - 36:8 |
|  | gravy [1] - 82:13 | guarantee [3] - 56:24, | 46:25, 47:12 | holds [1] - 55:6 |



| 111:3, 114:7, 116:15 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l a g }}{ }_{[1]}$ - 95:3 | 10:10 | 96:1 | chine [1] - 54:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jurisdiction [1] - 44:6 | LALA [1] - 72:27 | Legislative [2] - | LLC [6]-5:24, 14:22, | machinery [1] - |
| Juvenile [4]-3:5, | Lala [4]-5:19, $73: 8$, | 111:19, 112:18 | 15:28, 16:16, 17:4, | 102:14 |
| 6:19, 6:22, 83:17 | 73:13, 74:14 | length [3]-86:3, 86:7, | 74:22 | mad [1] - 108:9 |
| JUVENILE [3] - 6:14, | land [2]-57:9, 59:20 | 86:15 | load [2] - 71:8, 71:12 | magic [1] - 85:22 |
| 83:25, 84:6 | Landfill [3]-54:24, | length-of-stay $[3]$ 86:3, 86:7, 86:15 | $\operatorname{LOCAL}_{[1]}-76: 12$ | Magnolia [5] - 45:16, |
| K | landfill [12] - 54:28, | Leo's [1] - 14:17 | 76:22, 86:13, 106:19 | Magtech [1]-15:15 |
|  | 55:1, 55:11, 56:10, | less [1] - 115:1 | Local [1] - 5:25 | Mahoney [3] - 7:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kaepernick }[2]-44: 28 \\ & \text { Katrina }[1]-67: 19 \\ & \text { keep }[5]-41: 12,57: 1, \\ & 87: 11,90: 4,101: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 17,58: 6,58: 20 \\ & 58: 22,60: 18,60: 19, \end{aligned}$ | LETTER ${ }_{[1]}$ - 46:19 <br> letter [6]-15:3, 47:4, | locals [2] - 87:11, 94:23 | 107:12, 107:15 <br> MAHONEY ${ }_{[1]}$ - 107:7 |
|  | 62:21, 67:8 | 47:6, 47:9, 47:19, | LOCATED ${ }_{[1]}-16: 10$ | mail [1] - 14:18 |
|  | LANDING [1] - 85:11 | 78:7 | Located [1] - 4:12 | main [1] - 116:12 |
|  | Landing [6] - 6:27, | Letter [1]-7:21 | located [3] - 16:14, | maintain [1]-61:19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { keeping }[2]-96: 12, \\ & 96: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 14,85: 20,87: 14, \\ & 100: 13,101: 2 \end{aligned}$ | letting [4]-53:25, <br> 63:26, 68:24, 11 | 56:9, $74: 28$ location [4] - 23.3 | maintained [1] - 97:3 |
| keeps [1] - 116:22 | 100:13, 101:2 <br> Lands [1] - 104:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63:26, 68:24, 111 } \\ & \text { level [2]-60:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iocation }[4]-23: 3, \\ & 58: 22,82: 11,95: 19 \end{aligned}$ | MAINTENANCE [2] - |
| Kelly $[1]-81: 2$ | language [1] - 38:1 | 119:22 | Locations [1] - 4:16 | Maintenance [3] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { kick }[3]-37: 13,91: 15, \\ & 92: 6 \end{aligned}$ | large [1] - 27:16 $\text { last }[19] \text { - 15:2, } 17$ | Level [1] - 120:2 <br> license ${ }_{[1]}-45: 20$ | $\text { LOCATIONS }_{[1]} \text { - }$ 17:26 | $7: 21,8: 4,110: 28$ |
| kicked [1] - 44:8 | 19:12, 25:15, 41:28, | lien [3]-10:4, 10:12, | locations [2] - 18:3, | makers [1] - 46:9 |
| kicking [1] - 29:27 | 48:17, 50:8, 50:13, | 12:14 | 61:18 | mall [1]-106:4 |
| kids [2] - 107:23, | 51:1, 52:21, 55:24, | life [1] - 94:10 | logic ${ }_{[1]}-28: 4$ | man [2]-55:14, 107:5 |
| $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Kim}[4]-2: 12,10: 14, \\ 24: 27,113: 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 24,70: 10,70: 24, \\ & 100: 28,107: 5, \\ & 109: 12.117: 9 \end{aligned}$ | likely [2] - 38:20, 38:22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iong-term [5] - 61:19, } \\ 94: 12,96: 12,96: 16, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { manage [2] - 87:7, } \\ & 87: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| KIM ${ }^{222]}$ - 11:16, 25:1, | 109:12, 117:9 late [1] - 99:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lillian [1] - 85:2 } \\ & \text { limited [1] - 88:24 } \end{aligned}$ | look [13]-19:4, 36:23, | MANAGEMENT [3] - |
| 48:16, 50:2, 51:22, | laugh ${ }_{[1]}-85: 25$ | LIMITS [2] - 24:5, | 37:12, 44:8, 63:5, | Management [4] - |
| 52:5, 52:8, 52:28, | law [7]-12:12, 19:18, | 9:10 | 79:3, 79:11, 81:27, | 2:20, 7:21, 7:25, |
| 67:18, 67:26, 68:1, 68:26, 69:5, 93:11, | 58:13, 62:27, | limits [7]-24:9, 39:14, | 87:28, 91:9, 105:8, | 77:15 |
| $93: 21,94: 1,113: 16,$ | 120:21, 121:7 | 39:18, 39:21, 39:23, | 113:13, 121:2 | manager [1]-85:20 |
| 113:22, 113:25, | 121:11 | 39:26, 97:7 | looked [3] - 19:7 | managing [1] - 51:19 |
| 113:28, 114:2, 117:8 | lawsuits [2]-11:17, 11:19 | Limits................... | 34:26, 58:14 looking [14]-12:12, | manner [1]-33:2 |
| kin ${ }_{[1]}$ - 123:13 | lawyers [2] - 39:2 |  | $31: 18,42: 6,50: 28$ | manual [2]-56:21, 56:28 |
| kind [23]-28:13, | layer ${ }_{[2]}$ - 26:19, 29:3 | $\text { ......................... } 4: 27$ | $66: 24,86: 13,91: 27,$ | manufacturer [1] - |
| $34: 26,35: 17,43: 25,$ | layers [2]-28:21, 29:4 | line [4]-29:21, 49:13, | 92:4, 92:11, 97:11, | $15: 3$ |
| 55:3, 60:8, 60:11, | laying [1] - 111:18 | 99:27, 105:6 | 99:22, 120:22, | manufacturing |
| 61:4, 65:23, 69:13, | lead [2]-9:9, 9:12 | lines [1] - 101:12 | 120:23, 121:15 | $64: 14$ |
| 82:13, 90:1, 91:16, 102.2.102.3 | leaders [1] - 107:28 | linked ${ }_{[1]}$ - 105:24 | Lortab [1] - 120:16 | $\operatorname{map}_{[1]}-75: 7$ |
|  | leak [1] - 116:13 | LIQUID [1] - 53:9 | lost [2] - 101:19, | March [4] - 10:3, |
| 8:13, 112•9 | lease [6]-57:5, 57:9, | Liquid [1] - 5:15 | 101:20 | 14:17, 93:15, $93: 24$ |
| 112:16, 113:12 | 59:18, 59:20, 62:1, | liquid [1]-53:16 | Louisiana [1] - 54:19 | marching [1] - 109:26 |
| $\operatorname{knock}_{[1]}-115: 3$ | 114:3 | Lisa [1] - 2:25 | love [1] - 42:27 | marker ${ }_{[1]}$ - 46:6 |
| $\operatorname{knocked}_{[1]}-116: 5$ | least [5] - 20:20 | list [7] - 24:10, 30:3, | low [2]-59:27, 86:9 | market [1] - 104:16 |
| Knosses [1] - 55:21 | 20:22, 117:3, | 41:7, 41:27, 42:6, | low-temperature [1] 59.27 | marketing [3] - 89:14, |
| knowing [1] - 58:18 | $\operatorname{LEAVE}_{[1]}-118: 23$ | 46:9, 48:23 <br> listing [2]-15:17 | lower [1] - 69:1 | 89:19, 96:11 |
| knowledge [1] - 32:21 | Leave [1] - 7:26 | listing [2] - 15:17 16:1 | lowest [5] - 14:22, | markets [1] - 95:3 mass [1] - 96:20 |
| known [1] - 41:24 | leave [6] - 34:18, | literally ${ }_{[1]}-115: 18$ | $16: 15,17: 3,18: 5 \text {, }$ | match [3]-23:12, |
| knows [2] - 9:7, 53:26 | 89:10, 90:20, | Lithuania ${ }^{[1]}$ - 54:9 | $95: 14$ | $47: 12,47: 21$ |
| KOA's [1] - 94:27 | 118:27, 119:2, 119:5 | live $[3]-54: 22,87: 27$, | luck [2]-29:18, 30:6 | $\text { matched }[1]-15: 1$ |
| L | leaving [1] - 93:25 <br> leche [1]-57:22 |  | lump [1] - 51:18 <br> Luxfer [1] - 15:15 | matching [1] - 23:14 |
|  | led [1] - 9:14 | Live [9]-6:27, 85:13, | Lynn's [1] - 116:21 | aterials [2] - 24:22, |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Labor }[1]-90: 4 \\ \text { labor [3]-24:20, } \end{array}$ | $66: 2,115: 2$ | $99: 11,101: 2$ | M | $\text { natter }[1]-22: 5$ |
| lack [2] - 89:27, 90:2 | Legal [1] - 105:8 legislation [2] - 10:3, | $\begin{gathered} \text { living }[6]-87: 1,87: 9 \\ 87: 10,87: 13,91: 15, \end{gathered}$ | ma'am [1] - 65:20 | Mayor [10]-26:15, |





| population [2]-58:17, | presently ${ }_{[1]}$ - 78:23 | 106:19 | 92:2 | 106:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:20 | preserve [1] - 62:22 | Program ${ }_{[7]}$ - 4:24, | proposing [1] - 120:1 | Q-3 [2]-7:6, 107:7 |
| populationwise [1] - | PRESS ${ }_{[1]}-121: 28$ | :4, 7:5, 22:23, | prototype [1] - 64:13 | Q-4 [2] - 7:8, 109:4 |
| 54:25 | Press [1]-8:8 | 22:27, 84:27, 106:21 | proud [1] - 10:3 | Q-5[3]-7:10, 9:20, |
| Portion [1] - 5:5 | press [2]-122:1, | PROGRAM [4]- | provide [4]-78:28, | 110:1 |
| PORTION [1] - $39: 9$ portion [4]-39.13 | 122:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:12, 84:25, } \\ & \text { 106:14, 106:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 80:4, 81:5, 84:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q-6 [3] - 7:12, 110:15, } \\ & 110: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 39:18, 44:18, 47:21 | 60:10, 60:17, 91:12, | Program... | Provided [1] - 7:1 | Q-7 [3] - 7:14, 110:22, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { position [2] - 61:5, } \\ & 61: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 24,103: 1, \\ & \text { 103:16, 107:2 } \end{aligned}$ | . [1] | provides [1] - 83:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 110: 25 \\ & \text { Q-8 }[4]-7: 17,21: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| POSITIONS | 9:28, 120:5 | prohibitive [3]-86:8, | provision [2] | 21:7, 21:8 |
| 19:18 | prevent [3]-11:16 | 86:15, 91:2 | Pr | qualify [1]-66:23 |
| positions [1] - 119:20 | 53:3, 95:28 | prohibits [1] - 91:2 | PROVISION [3] - 14:6, | quarter [2] - 54:9, 59:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Positions........... }{ }^{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 8: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { price }[5]-29: 18,67: 9 \\ 94: 21,95: 14,116: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROJECT }[3] \text { - 16:25, } \\ & 17: 25,43: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 15:9, 83:25 | question's [1]-64:16 questions [7]-49:8, |
| positive [1] | prices [3]-35: | Project [4]-4:13 | 4:7, 6:1 | 49:9, 50:11, 62:2 |
| possibilities [1] | 71:13, 84:13 | 15, 16:28, 18 | Provisions [1] - 5:25 | 80:15, 101:17, 122:2 |
| 33:12 | pricing [1] - 71:28 | project [16] - 17:13 | PROVISIONS ${ }_{[1]}$ | QUESTIONS ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| possibly [1] - 64:10 | primitive [1]-101:8 | 3:4, 27:5, 28:3, | 76:12 | 121:2 |
| Post [2]-44:28, 45:2 | private [1] - 95:22 | 29:1, 29:2, 35:10 | provisions [4]-14:14, | Questions. |
| postpone ${ }_{[1]}$ - $25: 14$ | privately [1] - 60:18 | 15, 54:1, 54:4 | 15:14, 76:22, 86:3 | ............. [1]-8:8 |
| postponing [1] - | privately-owned [1] | :8, 59:10, 59:1 | PUBLIC ${ }_{[1]}$ - 121:23 | quick [3]-20:19, |
| 25:18 | 60:18 | 59:12, 60:13, 93:13 | public [7] - 62:1, 76:7, | 63:28, 103:16 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { potential }[4]-53: 15, \\ 86: 20,101: 1,101: 4 \end{gathered}$ | Probate [1]-119:1 problem [11]-26:28 | Project........................... ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - 5: | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 8,77: 6,98: 14, \\ & 98: 23,121: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { quickly }[3]-21: 10, \\ 36: 4,114: 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| potentially [1] - 32:23 | :3, 28:6, 41:9, | projecting [1] - 28:26 | Public [2] - 8:7, 18:8 | quite [4]-59:2, 61:12, |
| Powell [1] - 104:1 | 45:28, 67:23, 89:21, | PROJECTS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 18:18 |  | $120: 3,120: 27$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { power [2] - 55:20, } \\ & 60: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 11,116: 11, \\ & \text { 117:21, 117:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { projects }[7] \text { - 18:23, } \\ \text { 19:27, } 39: 16,46: 28, \end{gathered}$ | $68: 5,68: 8,68: 28$ | QUOTES [1] - 17:25 <br> Quotes [1] - 4:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPBEP }_{[2]}-7: 5, \\ & \text { 106:16 } \\ & \text { prayer }[2]-9: 9,9: 14 \end{aligned}$ | PROCEDURES ${ }_{[1]}$ -18:17 | 54:13, 54:16 | 108:2 | quotes [3] - 18:1, |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projects.......... }{ }^{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 4: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pulled }[4]-43: 13, \\ & 109: 2,110: 18,116: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 116:19, 118:20 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Procedures [2] - 4:19, } \\ & 18: 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| prayer [2] - 9:9, 9:14 <br> PRECOOKED [1] - <br> 15:9 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { promise }[2]-27: 26, \\ & 51: 25 \end{aligned}$ | PURCHASE ${ }_{[1]}-21: 4$ | R |
|  | 18:22 <br> procedures [1] - 53:3 |  | purchase [2]-21:13, |  |
| Precooked [1] - 4:7 <br> precooked [1] - 15:14 | PROCEEDINGS [1] - 1:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { promised }[1]-27: 14 \\ & \text { properly }[1]-35: 21 \end{aligned}$ |  | r-1 [2] - 7:20, 26:8 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { proceedings }[3] \text { - } \\ & 1: 12,123: 8,123: 14 \end{aligned}$ | PROPERTY [2] - 9:20, | Purchasing [1] - $3: 18$ | [2] - 7:21, 46:19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { predecessor }_{[1]}-45: 1 \\ & \text { prefab }_{[1]}-75: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | 74:22 | purchasing [1] - 18:26 | 3[2] - 7:23, 65:19 |
| prefer [2] - 45:22,$67: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:12, 123:8, } 123: 14 \\ & \text { process }[4]-59: 28, \end{aligned}$ | property [10] - 10:5, | purely ${ }_{[1]}$ - 10:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { r-4 [2] - 7:25, 77:12 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | process [4] - 59:28, 61:15, 66:5, 77:16 | 11:4, 73:7, 74:26, | pursue [1] - 106:7 | r-5 [2]-7:26, 118:23 \|r-6 [2] - 8:4, 119:17 |
| preferred [1] - 80:7 <br> preliminary [1]-27:4 | PROCUREMENT [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 1,75: 26,75: 27, \\ & 102: 14 \end{aligned}$ | pursuing [1]-61:26 <br> push [1]-103:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{r - 6}[2]-8: 4,119: 17 \\ & r-7[2]-8: 6,120: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Procurement [2] - } \\ & \text { 4:19, 18:22 } \end{aligned}$ | Property [2] - 7:10 | push [1] - 103:1 | rain [1] - 25:12 |
| prepared [1] - 110:27 prepayment ${ }^{2}$ ] - |  | 76:21 | :5, 41:14, 41:26, | raincoat [2] - 14:20 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { produce }[3]-55: 18, \\ 55: 22,60: 4 \end{gathered}$ | Property................. .......... $11-5: 24$ | :14, 53:3, 54:12, | 14:22 |
| 24:13, 37:5 |  | [1] - 5:24 | $55: 21,59: 7,65: 28,$ | raincoats [1] - 14:17 <br> Ramps [1] - 4:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { prepayments [1] - } \\ & 33: 5 \end{aligned}$ | produces [1] - 56:10 <br> producing [1] - 56:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { proposal [2] - 27:15, } \\ & 32: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 17,77: 2,77: 4, \\ & \text { 81:3, 93:12, 95:27, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ramps }_{[1]}-4: 16 \\ & \text { RAMPS }_{[1]}-17: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| prescriptions ${ }^{\text {[1] - }}$ -120:17 | product [2] - 53:16,59:22 | PROPOSALS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 5:17, 109:1, | ramps [1] - 18:3 |
|  |  | 20:6 | 3:12, 115:15 | ran [2]-89:21, 120:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { present }[4]-29: 24, \\ & 61: 24,63: 26,76: 28 \end{aligned}$ |  | Proposals [1] - 4:20 | 118:12 | ranges [1]-56:3 |
|  | production [1]-60:12 <br> products [2]-54:17, | proposals [2]-20:10, | puts [1]-91:25 | Rate [1] - 7:23 |
| PRESENT[3] - 2:3, | PRODUCTS ${ }_{[1]}$ | 105:18 <br> propose [1]-33:4 | putting [1] - 111:18 | RATE [1] - 65:19 <br> rate $[26]-66: 8,66: 12$, |
| presentation [6] - |  | PROPOSED ${ }^{\text {[2] }}$ | Q | 66:13, 67:20, 67:21, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13: 15,32: 27,34: 13, \\ & 34: 28,46: 3,53: 14 \end{aligned}$ | Products................ | 22:12, 76:12 <br> Proposed [2] - 4:24, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 5,68: 8,68: 20, \\ & 68: 25,68: 28,69: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { presented }[3]-29: 24, \\ & 29: 25,51: 18 \end{aligned}$ | profitability ${ }^{[2]}$ | $5: 25$ | Q-1 [3]-6:27, 85:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 25,68: 28,69: 1, \\ & 69: 12,70: 11,78: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $60: 19,62: 20$ |  | 85:12 | $79: 20,91: 18,91: 21,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:25, } 51: 18 \\ & \text { presenting }[1]-30: 13 \end{aligned}$ | program [2]-89:14, | 75:20, 76:20, 77:3, | Q-2 [3]-7:4, 106:14, | 91:23, 91:24, 91:28, |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:9, 96:20, 99:13, } \\ & \text { 99:14, 100:6 } \\ & \text { rates [8] - 11:28, 12:8, } \\ & 57: 14,69: 14,95: 6, \\ & 98: 12,99: 28,100: 1 \\ & \text { rather [4] -27:11, } \\ & 72: 10,97: 19,109: 1 \\ & \text { raw }[8]-54: 7,55: 15, \\ & 55: 19,55: 28,56: 19, \\ & 57: 11,58: 11,62: 16 \\ & \text { re }[3]-19: 1,74: 26, \\ & 75: 3 \\ & \text { re-sign }[1]-19: 1 \\ & \text { re-zone }[1]-74: 26 \\ & \text { re-zoned }[1]-75: 3 \\ & \text { reach }[4]-101: 15, \\ & 103: 14,103: 24, \\ & 107: 20 \\ & \text { reached }[2]-33: 9, \\ & 44: 22 \\ & \text { reaching }[1]-32: 24 \\ & \text { ready }[5]-40: 15, \\ & 41: 17,42: 4,61: 26, \\ & 118: 2 \\ & \text { real }[5]-15: 4,20: 16, \\ & 20: 18,21: 10,88: 18 \\ & \text { really [18] - 10:23, } \\ & 13: 18,22: 2,25: 11, \\ & 25: 13,27: 10,35: 16, \\ & 35: 25,50: 12,52: 20, \\ & 52: 22,61: 1,64: 7, \\ & 67: 7,71: 17,97: 2, \\ & 113: 12,114: 24 \\ & \text { reason }[6]-17: 15, \\ & 26: 17,26: 23,54: 21, \\ & 75: 4,80: 6 \\ & \text { reasonable }[2]-69: 2, \\ & 72: 19 \\ & \text { RECEIVABLE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { recover }_{[1]}-79: 26 \\ & \text { recovery }_{[1]}-79: 3 \\ & \text { recreational }_{[4]}- \\ & 87: 15,89: 24,97: 8, \\ & \text { 102:26 } \end{aligned}$ <br> RECYCLING ${ }_{[1]}$ - 15:23 <br> Recycling [1]-4:9 recycling [2]-15:25, 16:2 <br> reducing [1]-29:9 <br> reduction [1]-27:27 <br> refunded [1] - 50:8 <br> regarding $[4]-84: 27$, <br> 107:12, 109:9, <br> 110:26 <br> region [1] - 102:8 <br> regional [2]-61:9, 99:11 <br> Regional [1] - 6:22 <br> REGIONAL [2] - 6:3, <br> 84:6 <br> Registry [2] - 45:4, 45:7 <br> regular [1] - 40:14 <br> regulations [3]- <br> 45:15, 87:4, 91:8 <br> reimburse [4]-24:21, $39: 22,40: 23,69: 1$ <br> reimbursement [2] - $37: 4,40: 14$ <br> reimbursing ${ }_{[1]}$ - 30:16 <br> rejoined ${ }_{[1]}$ - 74:11 <br> related $[1]$ - 23:6 <br> releasing [1] - 120:19 <br> reluctant [1] - 29:9 <br> remain [1] - 84:13 <br> remember [7]-39:15, 93:22, 102:13, 102:15, 103:28, <br> 104:4 <br> remembers [1] - 61:6 <br> removal [1] - 99:27 <br> remove [1] - 86:6 <br> rename [1]-73:8 <br> renamed [1] - 73:23 <br> renaming [1]-74:14 <br> renderings [2] - $75: 20,75: 27$ <br> renewable [1] - 54:16 renewal [2]-83:13, 83:17 <br> renovate [3]-43:21, $43: 25,111: 7$ <br> renovated [1] - 111:26 <br> renovating [1]-44:7 <br> renovation [1] - 45:5 <br> RENOVATIONS ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 16:9 | ```Renovations [1] - 4:11 renovations [3] - 16:13, 44:10, 110:27 rental [1] - 91:18 repairs [1]-46:12 repeat [1]-62:12 replace [3] - 36:28, 61:14, 63:17 replacement [2] - 34:23, 36:25 Reporter [2]-1:16, 123:25 REPORTER \({ }_{[1]}\) - 115:8 represent [2]-54:18, 58:9 representation [3] - 58:28, 59:5, 62:6 representing \({ }_{[1]}\) - 55:4 represents [1] - 123:9 REQUEST [3]-20:6, 78:3, 118:23 Request [3]-4:20, 6:4, 7:26 request [11]-20:9, 28:22, 73:7, 74:26, 76:19, 76:21, 78:6, 80:12, 107:11, 107:14, 110:19 requested [1] - 73:8 requesting [5] - 73:23, 75:2, 78:7, 86:1, 86:6 requests [3]-23:1, 108:20, 108:22 require \({ }_{[1]}\) - 67:16 required [3]-73:10, 102:27 requirement [1] - 45:6 requirements [1] - 77:17 rescind [1] - 14:21 research [1] - 44:21 residence [3]-87:13, 92:26, 98:4 residences [1] - 95:3 RESIDENTIAL [1] - 65:6 residential [1] - 92:28 Residential [1] - 5:17 residents [2]-86:13, 88:27 resolution [3]-9:27, 40:6, 42:22 Resolution [5] - 7:8, 7:10, 7:13, 7:16, 108:27 RESOLUTION [4] -``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:20, 109:4, 110:17, } \\ & \text { 110:24 } \\ & \text { resolve }[1]-10: 9 \\ & \text { response }[4]-83: 1, \\ & 121: 21,121: 26, \\ & \text { 122:8 } \\ & \text { responses }[1]-20: 14 \\ & \text { responsible }[1]- \\ & 15: 27 \\ & \text { rest }[1]-37: 8 \\ & \text { RESTORE }_{[1]}-42: 22 \\ & \text { restrict }[1]-102: 25 \\ & \text { restricted }[1]-97: 10 \\ & \text { restrictions }[5]-86: 7, \\ & 86: 10,86: 15,88: 22, \\ & 89: 20 \\ & \text { restrictive }[1]-96: 2 \\ & \text { RESTROOMS } \\ & 16: 9 \\ & \text { Restrooms }[1]-4: 11 \\ & \text { resubmit }[1]-18: 28 \\ & \text { resubmitted }[1]- \\ & 75: 16 \\ & \text { result }[1]-123: 14 \\ & \text { retained }[1]-54: 18 \\ & \text { retired }[1]-94: 19 \\ & \text { retirees }[1]-89: 2 \\ & \text { RETROFIT }[1]-17: 26 \\ & \text { Retrofit }[1]-4: 16 \\ & \text { retrofit }[1]-18: 2 \\ & \text { RETURN }[1]-84: 25 \\ & \text { Return }[2]-6: 24, \\ & 84: 27 \\ & \text { REVENUE }{ }_{[13]}-9: 25, \\ & 10: 1,10: 24,10: 28, \\ & 11: 6,11: 10,12: 4, \\ & 12: 7,12: 19,12: 23, \\ & 13: 5,13: 22,14: 3 \\ & \text { revenue }[3]-57: 16, \\ & 59: 20,59: 22 \\ & \text { Revenue }[4]-2: 22, \\ & 9: 24,10: 28 \\ & \text { revenues }[1]-97: 12 \\ & \text { reviewed }[1]-104: 23 \\ & \text { revise }[1]-97: 15 \\ & \text { revisions }[1]-77: 16 \\ & \text { revisit }[5]-87: 5, \\ & 88: 22,91: 8,91: 12, \\ & 98: 22 \\ & \text { RFP }[3]-4: 20,20: 6, \\ & 20: 13 \\ & \text { Richmond }[4]-54: 11, \\ & 54: 26,54: 27,58: 27 \\ & \text { rid }[1]-10: 10 \\ & \text { ride }[4]-25: 12,41: 7, \\ & 81: 20,82: 9 \\ & \text { RIDER }{ }_{[34]}-19: 24, \\ & 19: 26,20: 25,47: 2, \\ & 47: 16,47: 26,52: 20, \\ & 52: 27,74: 5,78: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 15,78: 21,79: 1, \\ & 79: 10,79: 16,79: 19, \\ & 80: 1,80: 6,80: 15, \\ & 80: 25,81: 15,81: 27, \\ & 82: 5,82: 20,83: 3, \\ & \text { 119:1, 119:9, } \\ & \text { 119:12, 119:19, } \\ & \text { 120:9, 121:3, 121:6, } \\ & 121: 9,121: 12 \end{aligned}$ <br> Rider ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:6 <br> ridership [1] - 81:26 <br> riding [1] - 102:10 <br> rig [3]-92:7, 94:19, 95:11 <br> RIGHT ${ }_{[1]}$ - 43:17 <br> right-of-way [11] - <br> 37:4, 38:1, 42:4, <br> 42:7, 42:9, 43:19, <br> 43:23, 44:2, 44:4, <br> 44:9, 44:18 <br> RIGHT-OF-WAY [1] - <br> 43:17 <br> Right-of-Way.... [1] - <br> 5:9 <br> Rigsby [1] - 23:17 <br> risk [2] - 58:4, 62:13 <br> river [4]-87:15, 89:7, <br> 94:17, 95:19 <br> Rivera's [1] - 107:19 <br> Road [33] - 4:14, 4:25, <br> 5:5, 5:8, 5:9, 5:19, <br> 5:21, 5:21, 17:1, <br> 17:2, 22:28, 26:14, <br> 26:15, 26:20, 28:12, <br> 36:3, 39:14, 39:17, <br> 43:5, 43:19, 43:22, <br> 44:2, 44:3, 45:26, <br> 73:8, 73:9, 73:22, <br> 73:24, 74:27 <br> road [21] - 26:16, 27:2, <br> 27:13, 27:18, 27:19, <br> 27:21, 28:7, 29:1, <br> 29:2, 29:17, 30:6, <br> 30:15, 33:1, 33:9, <br> 39:15, 59:26, 73:7, <br> 73:8, 73:21, 74:14, <br> 79:22 <br> ROAD [14]-16:26, <br> 22:13, 26:8, 39:9, <br> 43:1, 43:17, 72:27, <br> 73:18, 73:19 <br> Road. $\qquad$ <br> [1] - 7:20 <br> Road. $\qquad$ <br> ................ [1]-5:20 <br> roadbed [1]-28:17 <br> Roads [1] - 4:27 <br> ROADS [2] - 24:4, <br> 41:21 <br> roads [14]-24:8, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { 24:10, 25:11, 26:22, } \\ \text { 41:7, 41:25, } 41: 27, \\ 42: 3,42: 5,42: 8 \\ 42: 9,42: 11,42: 17, \\ 42: 27 \\ \text { Roads...... [1] - } 5: 7 \\ \text { roadway }[3]-28: 23, \\ 28: 25,28: 28 \\ \text { Rob }[1]-17: 3 \\ \text { Roberson }[1]-3: 6 \\ \text { ROBERSON }[13]- \\ 20: 25,52: 20,52: 27, \\ 74: 5,119: 1,119: 9, \\ 119: 12,119: 19, \\ 120: 9,121: 3,121: 6, \\ 121: 9,121: 12 \end{gathered}$ <br> Robertsdale [1] - 7:13 ROBERTSDALE [1] 110:16 <br> Robotics [1] - 54:6 <br> ROLL [1] - 65:19 <br> roll [6] - 50:17, 50:20, 61:26, 66:8, 66:22, 67:7 <br> Roll [1] - 7:23 <br> ROLL-OFF [1] - 65:19 <br> Roll-Off [1] - 7:23 <br> roll-off [2]-66:8, 66:22 <br> roll-offs [1] - 67:7 <br> rolls [1]-25:23 <br> RON [51] - 12:26, 19:9, 22:9, 22:14, 42:21, 48:14, 69:26, 72:10, 72:12, 74:3, 76:7, 83:11, 83:12, 83:27, 84:7, 84:13, 84:20, 84:23, 84:26, 85:12, 101:10, 102:24, 103:9, 104:3, 104:21, 104:26, 105:2, 105:4, 105:6, 105:11, 106:18, 107:5, 107:11, 108:26, 109:2, 109:7, 109:18, 109:28, 110:7, 110:10, 110:12, 110:18, 110:25, 111:4, 111:6, 111:24, 116:11, 118:4, 118:10, 118:26, 121:18 <br> Ron [6] - 2:9, 3:5, 22:8, 78:7, 103:7, 106:17 <br> roof [1] - 58:2 <br> room [2]-43:6, 85:22 <br> Room [1] - 1:14 <br> ROTC ${ }_{[1]}$ - 109:26 | ```Rouge [2]-59:11, 64:9 roughly [1] - 79:10 round [1] - 97:16 ROUNDABOUT \({ }_{[1]}\) - 22:12 Roundabout [1] - 4:24 roundabout [2] - 22:27, 23:3 route [1]-79:4 routes [8] - 78:25, 78:28, 79:9, 81:5, 81:6, 81:21, 82:6, 82:13 rug [1] - 71:22 rules [3] - 87:4, 91:8, 91:14 run [5] - 52:13, 55:3, 56:8, 94:24, 104:10 running [5] - 82:6, 89:18, 99:1, 104:15 Running [1] - 6:4 RUNNING [1] - 78:4 runway [1]-28:18 rural [1]-61:8 Russ [3]-5:19, 73:8, 73:14 RUSS [1] - 72:27 RV [6] - 75:22, 86:8, 88:5, 95:1, 95:4, 98:25 RV'd [1] - 94:9 RV'ing [1] - 94:10 RVs [1]-95:25``` S <br> S. $\qquad$ [1] $-5: 21$ <br> safety [2]-23:17, 120:20 <br> SAFETY [1] - 22:11 <br> Safety ${ }^{[2]}$ - 4:24, 22:23 <br> sale [6] - 10:2, 10:4, 10:5, 16:1, 21:20, 59:22 <br> sales [4] - 75:5, 113:22, 113:28, 114:2 <br> Sam [1] - 89:14 sample [3]-15:1, 50:12, 50:27 <br> Sangster [1]-2:25 <br> sat [1] - 98:21 <br> Satellite [5]-1:13, 4:11, 7:15, 16:14, 110:26 <br> SATELLITE [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:10, 110:23 } \\ & \text { Saturday }[1]-116: 5 \\ & \text { save }[1]-28: 4 \\ & \text { saved }[1]-29: 7 \\ & \text { saves }[1]-60: 19 \\ & \text { savings }[1]-29: 6 \\ & \text { saw }[1]-79: 2 \\ & \text { scales }[6]-20: 22, \\ & 62: 17,67: 22,68: 2, \\ & 68: 7,69: 10 \\ & \text { scenario }[2]-50: 6, \\ & 57: 26 \\ & \text { Scheduling }[1]-78: 16 \\ & \text { School }[2]-6: 4,110: 5 \\ & \text { school }[3]-23: 2, \\ & 23: 25,84: 1 \\ & \text { school }[1]-78: 4 \\ & \text { schools }[1]-78: 8 \\ & \text { scope }[1]-56: 16 \\ & \text { SCRAP }[1]-15: 22 \\ & \text { Scrap }[2]-4: 9,15: 28 \\ & \text { scrap }[2]-15: 25,16: 1 \\ & \text { screen }[1]-56: 21 \\ & \text { script }[1]-26: 11 \\ & \text { second }[10]-23: 5, \\ & 25: 5,26: 11,33: 7, \\ & 55: 21,83: 27, \\ & 116: 11,122: 13, \\ & 122: 18 \\ & \text { section }[1]-44: 17 \\ & \text { Secure }[1]-41: 23 \\ & \text { Security }[1]-5: 6 \\ & \text { SECURITY }[1]-41: 20 \\ & \text { see }[33]-25: 22,35: 3, \\ & 36: 24,37: 19,39: 2, \\ & 43: 6,43: 26,44: 1, \\ & 45: 25,48: 23,49: 3, \\ & 50: 9,60: 23,60: 28, \\ & 71: 21,72: 9,74: 17, \\ & 80: 27,85: 21,95: 5, \\ & 96: 5,98: 24,98: 26, \\ & 103: 25,105: 14, \\ & 110: 4,114: 7, \\ & 114: 16,114: 17, \\ & 121: 15 \\ & \text { seeing }[5]-85: 22, \\ & 85: 23,85: 26,98: 12, \\ & 114: 13 \\ & \text { seem }[1]-97: 2 \\ & \text { selection }[1]-64: 7 \\ & \text { selling }[1]-10: 11 \\ & \text { sells }[1]-75: 5 \\ & \text { send }[5]-19: 9,19: 21, \\ & 40: 14,105: 6,105: 9 \\ & \text { sense }[7]-28: 4, \\ & 54: 27,55: 11,59: 14, \\ & 61: 21,62: 10,72: 21 \\ & \text { sensitive }[1]-120: 20 \\ & \text { sent }[8]-14: 17,14: 18, \\ & 24: 28,25: 1,25: 3, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 25:4, 77:2, 78:7 <br> SEPARATE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 77:12 <br> separate [1] - 49:13 <br> Separate [1] - 7:25 <br> separation [2]-56:20, 57:1 <br> SEPTEMBER ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 78:4 <br> September [3]-6:5, <br> 77:5, 77:19 <br> serious [3]-24:15, <br> 119:3 <br> seriously [1] - 104:12 <br> service [8] - 78:7, <br> 78:22, 79:28, 80:4, <br> 82:22, 82:26, 83:16 <br> SERVICE [2]-24:3, <br> 39:8 <br> Service [9]-4:26, 5:4, 24:7, 31:10, 34:24, 35:8, 36:26, 39:12, 39:24 <br> SERVICES $[7]$ - 15:23, <br> 78:19, 80:22, 81:13, <br> 82:18, 83:25, 84:6 <br> Services [8]-4:9, 6:6, 6:8, 6:10, 6:12, 6:19, 6:21, 55:4 <br> services [4]-15:26, <br> 60:25, 82:24, 86:21 <br> Session [3] - 1:4, <br> 66:2, 122:26 <br> session [11] - 9:5, <br> 32:19, 33:23, 37:15, <br> 37:17, 38:21, 40:8, <br> 48:18, 51:1, 74:11, <br> 108:22 <br> set $[9]-38: 25,39: 22$, <br> 71:13, 86:11, 89:3, <br> 89:9, 90:4, 91:28, <br> 103:3 <br> setting [3] - 64:26, 91:16, 94:22 <br> settlement [3]-50:22, <br> 50:24, 50:25 <br> seven [8]-10:16, <br> 12:9, 16:17, 17:5, <br> 32:27, 82:23, <br> 111:26, 112:7 <br> seventeen [2]-24:10, 86:17 <br> seventy [13]-11:14, <br> 31:18, 56:8, 56:24, <br> 58:11, 61:16, 62:15, <br> 65:16, 66:10, 66:26, <br> 68:20, 91:1, 100:22 <br> seventy-five [12] - <br> 11:14, 56:8, 56:24, <br> 58:11, 61:16, 62:15, <br> 65:16, 66:10, 66:26, | 68:20, 91:1, 100:22 <br> several [4] - 34:2, <br> 50:10, 63:9, 88:10 <br> Sewer [1] - 7:25 <br> SEWER ${ }_{[1]}$ - 77:12 <br> share [1] - 103:21 <br> sheds [1] - 75:5 <br> sheetrock [1] - 115:23 <br> Shelby [2]-10:24, <br> 12:11 <br> SHELTER ${ }_{[1]}-21: 5$ <br> Shelter [2]-21:14, <br> 21:19 <br> Shelter................. [1] - <br> 7:18 <br> Sheriff [1] - 110:19 <br> Sheriff's [2]-14:15, <br> 84:8 <br> Sheriff's [2] - 4:5, 6:21 <br> SHERIFF'S [2]-14:7, <br> 84:5 <br> shoot [1] - 103:7 <br> shop [2]-90:21, <br> 101:24 <br> shopped [1] - 90:24 <br> Shore [3] - 19:26, <br> 19:27, 84:28 <br> Shores [1]-81:22 <br> short [1] - 96:12 <br> short-term [1] - 96:12 <br> shorten [1] - 20:24 <br> shorter [1] - 96:22 <br> shortest [1] - 99:13 <br> shorthanded [1] - <br> 119:28 <br> show [2]-50:27, <br> 55:23 <br> showcase [1] - 75:5 <br> showed [1] - 43:5 <br> shows [1] - 120:19 <br> shying [1] - 90:1 <br> sic] [1]-73:14 <br> side [6] - 56:19, 59:27, <br> 74:26, 74:27, 85:18, <br> 101:8 <br> sign [5] - 19:1, 47:9, <br> 47:18, 52:14, 77:21 <br> SIGNATORY ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 77:13 <br> Signatory [1] - 7:25 <br> signatory [2] - 77:17, <br> 77:21 <br> signatures [1] - 73:10 <br> signed $[7]$ - 30:10, <br> 31:3, 33:17, 47:6, <br> 77:17, 84:28, 104:28 <br> significance [2] - <br> 44:20, 44:23 <br> signing ${ }_{[1]}-38: 10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```similar [2] - 54:27, 75:28 simple [7] - 54:22, 55:15, 56:19, 57:7, 57:18, 59:17, 115:18 simply \([1]\) - \(54: 12\) single [4] - 48:27, 50:4, 103:5, 103:11 sit [2] - 85:21, 112:16 site \([7]-55: 1,57: 9\), 59:16, 64:2, 75:19, 86:9, 94:17 sites [4]-64:1, 86:26, 95:20, 96:16 sitting [2]-42:2, 49:11 situation [1] - 119:11 six [14]-16:17, 17:5, 27:12, 27:22, 33:10, 56:2, 59:16, 64:6, 71:6, 71:14, 78:9, 89:4, 92:14, 95:28 six-month [1] - 71:14 sixteen [3]-18:3, 47:10, 75:1 sixty [8] - 17:6, 25:8, 47:26, 51:13, 62:17, 62:18, 65:16, 117:10 sixty-five [3] - 47:26, 62:17, 62:18 sixty-nine [1] - 17:6 sixty-some-odd [1] - 51:13 sixty-two [1] - 25:8 sizable [1] - 117:3 size [2] - 50:27, 104:14 SKIP [108] - 9:10, 12:2, 12:6, 13:1, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:19, 13:23, 13:26, 14:25, 15:19, 16:4, 16:22, 19:3, 20:28, 21:2, 21:23, 22:19, 24:26, 26:1, 31:20, 31:23, 32:2, 32:10, 34:2, 37:20, 37:27, 38:3, 38:15, 43:12, 46:15, 48:1, 48:3, 49:23, 52:10, 62:25, 63:7, 63:11, 63:15, 63:19, 63:23, 65:13, 67:2, 67:5, 67:25, 67:28, 68:10, 68:16, 69:18, 70:17, 70:20, 73:1, 73:13, 73:16, 73:28, 75:23, 76:8, 77:8, 78:12, 80:19, 81:10, 83:19, 84:17, 85:4, 85:7, 88:4, 92:3,``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:9, 92:19, 93:2, } \\ & \text { 93:20, 93:27, 97:24, } \\ & \text { 98:7, 100:2, 100:25, } \\ & \text { 102:16, 102:21, } \\ & \text { 106:26, 107:1, } \\ & \text { 107:18, 107:27, } \\ & \text { 108:15, 108:17, } \\ & \text { 109:16, 110:8, } \\ & \text { 111:20, 111:25, } \\ & \text { 111:28, 112:4, } \\ & \text { 112:14, 112:21, } \\ & \text { 112:25, 113:1, } \\ & \text { 114:6, 114:19, } \\ & \text { 114:27, 115:24, } \\ & \text { 116:1, 116:28, } \\ & \text { 117:11, 117:20, } \\ & \text { 117:27, 118:7, } \\ & \text { 119:14, 119:23, } \\ & \text { 122:18 } \\ & \text { Skip }[4]-2: 7,9: 14, \\ & 106: 24,107: 3 \\ & \text { sleeves }[1]-61: 26 \\ & \text { slide }[4]-43: 27, \\ & 55: 28,56: 17,58: 25 \\ & \text { sling }[1]-36: 9 \\ & \text { slots }[1]-86: 22 \\ & \text { small [5] - } 51: 8,56: 13, \\ & 56: 17,115: 10, \\ & 116: 22 \\ & \text { Smith }[1]-15: 28 \\ & \text { snowbirds }[1]-93: 17 \\ & \text { social }[1]-76: 28 \\ & \text { sold }[4]-11: 24,50: 17, \\ & 94: 10,95: 25 \\ & \text { solicit }[1]-20: 14 \\ & \text { SOLID }[3]-5: 14,53: 9, \\ & 65: 6 \\ & \text { Solid }[4]-2: 21,5: 15, \\ & 5: 17,68: 14 \\ & \text { solid }[3]-53: 16,54: 1, \\ & 54: 7 \\ & \text { solution }[1]-30: 2 \\ & \text { solves }[1]-28: 6 \\ & \text { sometime }[1]-94: 24 \\ & \text { sometimes }[1]-121: 4 \\ & \text { somewhat }[1]-91: 23 \\ & \text { somewhere }[4]-59: 2, \\ & 92: 17,93: 3,98: 25 \\ & \text { sooner }[4]-27: 11, \\ & 38: 11,72: 10,105: 3 \\ & \text { sorry }[5]-9: 25,21: 16, \\ & 38: 4,85: 24,112: 10 \\ & \text { sort }[2]-24: 24,26: 3 \\ & \text { Soukos }[3]-54: 5, \\ & 55: 6,64: 10 \\ & \text { south }[2]-36: 3,74: 26 \\ & \text { South [4]-39:17, } \\ & 40: 2,73: 24,103: 17 \\ & \text { Southeast }[3]-56: 15, \\ & 59: 7,61: 19 \end{aligned}$ | ```SPACE [4]-110:15, 110:22 Space [4]-7:12, 7:12, 7:14, 7:15 space [8]-110:25, 111:17, 111:19, 117:18, 117:19, 118:5, 118:9 spec's [4]-26:16, 29:13, 33:3, 120:4 specific [1]-87:4 specification [1] - 26:24 specifications [4]- 14:19, 26:18, 27:18, 29:15 speed [1] - 96:28 speeds [1] - 45:25 spend \([7]-10: 8\), 27:12, 51:23, 51:25, 57:28, 93:5, 94:20 spent \({ }_{[1]}\) - 11:1 splash [1] - 89:28 sponsor [2]-107:16, 107:21 SPONSORSHIP [1] - 107:8 sponsorship [1] - 107:13 \\ Sponsorship``` $\qquad$ <br> ```spot [2]-93:16, 99:10 \\ spots [2] - 64:8, 97:13 \\ spring [3] - 11:2, \\ 89:24, 93:25 \\ Springs [2]-45:16, 54:23 \\ spur [1]-73:22 \\ square [2]-111:15, \\ 118:12 \\ Staff [2]-25:21, 26:2 \\ staff [7]-20:12, 29:8, \\ 34:23, 35:24, 41:26, \\ 44:11, 76:3 \\ Stagner [1]-18:6 \\ stake [1] - 104:11 \\ Stan [4]-7:6, 107:12, 107:14, 107:27 \\ STAN [1] - 107:7 \\ standard [4]-40:20, \\ 71:24, 78:10, 78:25 \\ standards [1] - 120:4 \\ standing \([1]\) - 107:5 \\ standpoint [3]-42:11, \\ 45:25, 94:13 \\ start [10]-20:22, \\ 23:13, 54:20, 64:18, \\ 88:6, 88:16, 92:2, \\ 96:22, 98:12, 98:13 \\ started \([9]-12: 12\),``` | ```31:7, 31:14, 35:6, 44:11, 67:3, 92:25, 103:19, 118:1 starting [1] - \(98: 13\) STATE [2] - 78:3, 123:3 State [11]-6:4, 18:28, 19:18, 19:19, 21:17, 93:11, 93:13, 93:23, 94:23, 100:18, 104:1 state [2]-20:23, 94:2 States [3] - 54:10, 94:10, 94:28 states [1] - 54:19 statewide [1]-11:6 Statewide [1] - 6:4 STATEWIDE [1] - 78:3 stating \([2]-14: 19\), 15:3 station [2]-70:15, 116:13 statute [1]-63:2 stay [24]-86:3, 86:7, 86:12, 86:15, 87:4, 89:7, 89:11, 89:22, 90:3, 90:18, 90:19, 91:16, 92:4, 92:12, 92:13, 92:22, 93:16, 95:2, 97:23, 97:25, 97:27, 99:16, 99:19, 108:7 staying [1] - 98:12 stenotype \({ }_{[1]}\) - 123:7 Stewart [2] - 115:10, 116:21 still [9] - 24:24, 27:22, 28:13, 37:3, 67:11, 91:25, 100:9, 112:1, 120:22 stop [2] - 57:2, 71:2 stopped \({ }_{[1]}\) - 49:6 store [3] - 105:22, 105:24, 105:28 Storm [1] - 7:25 STORM \({ }_{[1]}-77: 12\) Stormwater [2]-7:25, 77:15 STORMWATER \({ }_{[1]}\) - 77:12 story [1] - 98:10 straightforward [1] - 60:17 strategically \({ }_{[1]}\) - 61:18 stretch [1]-111:24 strict \([1]\) - \(91: 12\) strike [2] - 61:27, 99:28 stringent \([1]\) - 19:18 STRIPING [1] - 24:4``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Striping }{ }_{[1]}-4: 27 \\ & \text { striping }[7]-24: 8, \\ & 25: 11,40: 1,40: 14, \\ & 41: 3,41: 12 \\ & \text { striving }[1]-52: 21 \\ & \text { structural }[3]-115: 17, \\ & 115: 21,115: 22 \\ & \text { structure }[1]-46: 12 \\ & \text { students }[2]-81: 23, \\ & 82: 12 \\ & \text { studs }[1]-115: 22 \\ & \text { Study }[1]-4: 21 \\ & \text { STUDY }_{[1]}-20: 7 \\ & \text { study }[2]-20: 11, \\ & 20: 20 \\ & \text { stuff }[9]-63: 5,67: 15, \\ & 70: 22,89: 15, \\ & 103: 15,108: 4, \\ & 111: 22,115: 7 \\ & \text { stumbled }[1]-54: 3 \\ & \text { Sub }[2]-4: 18,18: 21 \\ & \text { SUB }[1]-18: 17 \\ & \text { Sub-Recipient }_{[2]}- \\ & 4: 18,18: 21 \\ & \text { SUB-RECIPIENT } \\ & \text { [18] - } \\ & \text { 18:17 } \\ & \text { subject }[1]-75: 26 \\ & \text { submit }[1]-22: 25 \\ & \text { submitted }[2]-15: 1, \\ & 46: 27 \\ & \text { substitute }[1]-63: 20 \\ & \text { Subtitle }[1]-61: 15 \\ & \text { successful }[3]- \\ & 86: 28,88: 3,98: 26 \\ & \text { suffered }[2]-11: 4, \\ & 11: 7 \\ & \text { suggest }[1]-34: 22 \\ & \text { suit }[1]-25: 16 \\ & \text { sum }[1]-51: 18 \\ & \text { summary }[1]-60: 11 \\ & \text { summer }[10]-84: 9, \\ & 86: 9,86: 18,89: 26, \\ & 90: 2,93: 22,94: 18, \\ & 97: 14,98: 21,101: 14 \\ & \text { Summerdale }[1]-81: 5 \\ & \text { summertime }[2]- \\ & 88: 23,96: 2 \\ & \text { Sundays }[1]-99: 24 \\ & \text { supercede }[1]-36: 26 \\ & \text { supplement }[2]- \\ & 56: 12,82: 14 \\ & \text { supplier }[1]-15: 4 \\ & \text { supply }[2]-14: 20, \\ & 24: 20 \\ & \text { support }[6]-12: 20, \\ & 24: 18,25: 18,78: 9, \\ & 97: 12,109: 11 \\ & \text { supportive }[1]-110: 3 \\ & \text { supposed }[1]-88: 14 \\ & \text { surface }[1]-26: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\text { survey }_{[1]}-43: 28$ |  | thinking [1] - 100:6 | 111: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ansit [2] - 4:19 } \\ & 18: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Susan }[2]-1: 15, \\ & 65: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:1, 10:24, 10:28, } \\ \text { 11:6, 11:10, 12:4, } \\ \text { 12:7, 12:19, 12:23, } \\ \text { 13:5, 13:22, 14:3 } \\ \text { Teddy }[1]-2: 22 \\ \text { temperature }[1]- \\ 59: 27 \\ \text { ten }[17]-16: 17,19: 15, \\ 23: 11,26: 24,47: 26, \\ 57: 9,59: 5,66: 6, \\ 66: 20,66: 21,68: 20, \\ 71: 20,72: 8,82: 11, \\ 88: 26,95: 7,112: 3 \\ \text { ten-acre }[1]-57: 9 \\ \text { tend }[1]-89: 2 \\ \text { term }[8]-61: 19, \\ 91: 18,94: 12,96: 12, \\ 96: 16,96: 23,97: 7 \\ \text { terminology }[1]- \\ 91: 22 \\ \text { terms }[2]-55: 15,62: 2 \\ \text { TERRI }[26]-53: 12, \\ 65: 10,65: 15,65: 22, \\ 66: 4,66: 21,68: 8, \\ 68: 12,68: 18,69: 3, \\ 69: 7,69: 27,70: 4, \\ 70: 8,70: 10,70: 18, \\ 70: 23,70: 27,71: 7, \\ 71: 10,71: 15,72: 11, \\ 72: 13,72: 17,72: 22, \\ 72: 24 \\ \text { Terri }[5]-2: 20,53: 11, \\ 53: 24,62: 1,65: 9 \\ \text { terribly }[1]-102: 25 \\ \text { test }[1]-120: 18 \\ \text { tested }[1]-58: 24 \\ \text { testing }[1]-120: 16 \\ \text { TEXT }[1]-76: 12 \\ \text { text }[2]-60: 15,76: 20 \\ \text { Text }[1]-5: 25 \\ \text { THE }[32]-1: 2,14: 6, \\ 15: 10,15: 23,16: 9, \\ 16: 10,16: 11,16: 26, \\ 17: 27,20: 7,21: 4, \\ 24: 4,39: 9,76: 12, \\ 83: 6,83: 7,83: 8, \\ 83: 23,83: 24,83: 25, \\ 84: 5,84: 6,106: 15, \\ 109: 4,110: 16, \\ 110: 23,115: 8,120: 8 \\ \text { theirs }[1]-100: 19 \\ \text { therein }[1]-62: 14 \\ \text { they've }[13]-25: 22, \\ 40: 26,54: 15,57: 23, \\ 57: 24,70: 25, \\ 111: 22,112: 15, \\ 112: 23,113: 3, \\ 114: 23,117: 3, \\ 120: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { thirteen }[2]-44 \text { : } \\ & 117: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Tim [1] - 62:25 } \\ \text { timely [3] - 33:1, 49:2, } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { TRANSIT }_{[2]}-6: 3 \\ 18: 17 \end{array}$ |
| sustainability [1] |  | thirteen-point-nine | timetable [11-20:24 | mansportation |
| sweet [1] - 99:10 <br> switch [1]-93: |  | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-44: 1} \\ & \text { thirty }[10]-18: 6, \\ & 27: 25,51: 16,53: 27, \end{aligned}$ | timetable [1] - 20:24 timing [4] - 42:12, 59:7, 59:14, 64:22 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Transportation }[6]- \\ 4: 18,6: 6,6: 8,6: 10, \\ 18: 21,120: 13 \end{array}$ |
| System $[3]-55: 16$, $55: 21,110: 5$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:5, 58:19, 67:26, } \\ & 95: 10,95: 12,108: 22 \end{aligned}$ | tipping [1] - 57:12 <br> TNR ${ }_{[2]}$ - 6:24, 84:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { transportation [3] - } \\ & 60: 14,80: 26,81: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { system [2] - 25:9, } \\ & 51: 19 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { thirty-five }[3]-27: 25, \\ & 95: 10,95: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TO [8] - 17:25, 53:9, } \\ 72: 27,73: 18,76: 12, \end{gathered}$ | TRANSPORTATION <br> [4] - 18:16, 78:19, |
| S |  | thirty-one [1]-18:6 <br> thoughts [1] - 94:15 | 76:13, 106:15, 120:8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 80:22, 81:13 } \\ \text { TRAP }{ }_{[1]}-84: 25 \end{gathered}$ |
| SYSTEMS [2] - 77 |  |  | today $[6]-25: 6,43: 6$,$57: 14,61: 10,61: 23$, |  |
| 78:20 |  | thoughts [1]-94:15 thousand [42]-10:16, |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \operatorname{TRAP}_{[1]}-84: 25 \\ \text { Trap }_{[2]}-6: 24,84: 27 \end{array}$ |
| Systems [2] - |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { thousand [42] - 10:16, } \\ \text { 16:17, 17:5, 17:13, } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 14,61: 10,61: 23, \\ & 86: 4 \end{aligned}$ | Trap [2] - 6:24, 84:27 TRAP-NEUTERRETURN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 84:25 |
|  |  | 18:7, 19:15, 21:18 | 86:4 <br> today's [1] - 45:1 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 2,25: 7,27: 23, \\ & 27: 25,28: 1,29: 22, \\ & 47: 10,48: 22,55: 14, \end{aligned}$ | together [4]-28:5, 41:26, 41:27, 77:2 | $\begin{aligned} & {[2]-6: 24,84: 27} \\ & \text { treat }[1]-66: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 91:22 | 55:18, 56:1, 56:3, | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { ton }[7]-57: 20,57: 23, \\ 57: 24,66: 15,66: 28, \end{array}$ |  |
| ta | terms [2]-55:15, 62:2 | 56:8, 56:10, 56:25, | $67: 1,69: 11$ | Treatment [1] - 83:15 |
| tack | TERRI $[26]-53: 12$, $65: 10,65: 15,65: 22$, | $58: 11,59: 1,59: 3,$ 61:16, 62:16, 65:15, | tonnage [1] - 67:17 <br> tons [10]-56:7, 56:8, |  |
| allahassee [2] | 66:4, 66:21, 68:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 16,62: 16,65: 15, \\ & 78: 24,79: 25,80: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { tons [10] - } 56: 7,56: 8, \\ 56: 11,56: 16,56: 23, \end{array}$ | tremendous [1] - 95:3 |
| 59:13 | 68:12, 68:18, 69:3, | 81:18, 82:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 11,56: 16,56: 23, \\ & 56: 25,58: 11,59: 1, \end{aligned}$ | triathlon [1] - 101:25 troops [1] - 116:16 |
| $\operatorname{tax}[10]-10: 2,10$ | $69: 7,69: 27,70: 4$ | 107:16, 109:1 | 61:16, 62:16 | troops [1] - 116:16 <br> trouble [1]-102:18 |
| $\boldsymbol{t a x}[10]-10: 2$, | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 8,70: 10,70: 18, \\ & 70: 23,70: 27,71: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:17, } 112: \\ & 117 \cdot \end{aligned}$ | took [2] - 60:24, 116:1 | truck [4]-21:16, |
| 14, 113:25, | 71:10, 71:15, 72:11, |  | top [1] - 35:19 <br> topic [2]-26:11 | :10, 41:12, 66:2 |
| 113:28, 114:2, 1 |  | three [50] - 16:18, | topic [2]-26:11 | TRUCKS ${ }_{[1]}-76: 13$ trucks [6] - 67:23, |
| TAXES ${ }_{[1]}-9: 21$ |  | 2, 25 | [2] - 47.23, | $68: 14,68: 16,76: 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Taxes }[1]-7: 10 \\ & \text { taxes }[7]-10: 5,10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Terri }[5]-2: 20,53: 11, \\ 53: 24,62: 1,65: 9 \end{gathered}$ | $38: 10,39: 19,42: 5 \text {, }$ | touched $[1]$ - 50:4 | 76:24, $76: 28$ Trucks |
| 10:16, 11:12, 11:24, |  | $47: 10,47: 26,48: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tournament [3] - 7:7, } \\ & \text { 107:13, 107:15 } \end{aligned}$ | Trucks............. $[1]-$ $5: 26$ |
| :8, 13:27 |  | 51:26, 55:18, 56:7, | TOURNAMENT ${ }_{[1]}$ 107:7 | true [3]-31:25, 41:11, |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { taxpayer }[2]-11: 22, \\ 51: 6 \end{array}$ | tested [1] - 58:24 <br> testing [1] - 120:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 4,62: 17,64: 3 \\ & 64: 18,70: 12,70: 21, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Taylor [1] - 47 | $\text { TEXT }_{[1]}-76: 12$ | 71:6, 78:24, 82:25, |  | rust [1] - 6:16 |
| TAYLOR [21] - 19:24, |  |  | 107:24 | TRUST ${ }_{[1]}-83: 8$ <br> try $[7]-56: 18,61 \cdot 27$ |
| 19:26, 47:2, 47: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { text }[2]-60: 15,76: 20 \\ & \text { Text }[1]-5: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 13,88: 23,89: 7, \\ & 90: 19,92: 13,93: 6, \end{aligned}$ | toward [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ry [7] - 56:18, 61:27, } \\ & 79: 2,94: 24,95: 20, ~ \end{aligned}$ |
| 47:26, 78:5, 78:15, | THE [32]-1:2, 14:6, | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 25,95: 28,96: 1, \\ & 96: 9,97: 14,97: 16, \end{aligned}$ | TOWN[1] - 43:1 <br> Town [2] - 5:8, 43:4 | 79:2, 94:24, 95:20 96:3 |
| 21, 79:1, 79:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:10, 15:23, 16:9, } \\ & \text { 16:10, 16:11, 16:26, } \end{aligned}$ |  | Town [2] - 5:8, 43:4 <br> track [3]-36:8, 103:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 3 \\ & \text { trying [11] - 10:9, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 79:16, 79:19, 80:1, |  |  | 103:11 | $23: 19,32: 25,50: 15,$ |
| 6, 80:15, 80:25 | 16:10, 16:11, 16:26, <br> 17:27, 20:7, 21:4, | 99:22, 100:1, | traditional [1] - 55:10 | $51: 13,61: 18,68: 2,$ |
| 15, 81:27, 82:5 |  | 00:2 | traffic [3]-28:25 | 71:3, 87:20, 87:21, 93:17 |
| 82:20, 83:3 |  | 8:8 | $45: 25,99: 16$ |  |
| team [2] -64:1, 85:19 teams [1] - 89:3 | 83:23, 83:24, 83:25, | three-months' [1] | trails [5]-97:9, 101:4, | Tucker [3] - 2:5, 66:2,74:11 |
| tear [1]-89:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:4, 110:16, } \\ & \text { 110:23, 115:8, 120:8 } \end{aligned}$ | 0:12 | $102 \cdot 15$ |  |
| tech [1]-111:3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { three-to-one }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 108:8 } \\ & \text { threshold }[1]-18: 27 \end{aligned}$ | 102:15 <br> trained [1] - 80:8 <br> transcribed [1] - 123:8 | TUCKER [105] - 9:16, 11:27, 17:10, 17:18, |
| Tech [1] - 119:2 | theirs ${ }_{[1]}$ - 100:19 <br> therein ${ }_{[1]}$ - 62:14 |  |  |  |
| technical [1] - 56:1 |  |  | transcript [2]-123:7, | 19:11, 19:20, 20:16, |
| TECHNICIAN ${ }_{[1]}-$ $119: 18$ | they've [13]-25:22, | threshold [1] - 18:27 thresholds [1] - 19:17 |  | 24:12, 26:9, 26:13, |
| Technician [1] - 8: | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 24,70: 25, \\ & \text { 111:22, 112:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { throw [1] - } 36 \text { : }$ | transcription [1] - | 8:12, 28:18, 28:20, |
| technology [9]-55:5, |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { transfer }_{[3]}-70: 14, \\ 116: 13,119: 27 \end{array}$ | 9:12, 29:26, 30:11, |
| 55:8, 55:9, 58:8, | 112:23, 113:3, | tie [1] - 28 |  | $30: 18,34: 4,35: 11 \text {, }$ |
| 61:12, 61:14, 62:13, |  | tie-in [1] - $28: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { transformation }[2] \text { - } \\ & 61: 7,61: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 20,35: 24,35: 27, \\ & 36: 8,38: 8,38: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| 63:8 |  |  |  |  |




