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> PROCEEDINGS

## CALL TO ORDER/INVOCATION/PLEDGE

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: It's
10:08, Sarah. I'd like to call the July 24 th
Metropolitan Policy Board meeting to order.
And Councilman Ron Scott is going to lead us in the invocation and Public Works Director Robert Davis in the Pledge.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Bow our heads.
(Policy Board Member Ron Scott led in prayer.)
(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Sarah, will you do roll call?

## ROLL CALL

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Mr. Richard Johnson as proxy for Mayor Karin Wilson.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: Here.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Mr. -- Councilman Kevin Boone as proxy for Councilman Jack Burrell.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY KEVIN BOONE: Here.
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Councilman Ron Scott. POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Here.
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MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Mayor Dane Haygood. POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Present. MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Mayor Mike McMillan. POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: Here. MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Mr. Robert Davis as proxy for Mayor Richard Teal.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY ROBERT DAVIS: Here. MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Commissioner Joe Davis. COMMISSIONER JOE DAVIS III: Here.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Mr. Joey Nunnally as proxy for Commissioner Billie Jo Underwood. POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: Here. MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Mr. Brian Aaron as proxy for Mr. Matt Ericksen.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY BRIAN AARON: Here. MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay. Everyone is here.

## APPROVAL OF AGENDA

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: We've got a new agenda item inserted here, approval of the agenda. MS. SARAH HART: Right. So I've been sharpening up my Robert's Rules to try and make sure that I have the process down and we're doing everything correctly. And this was something we haven't been doing,
but we should be doing.
So basically your agenda is just a draft until you adopt it. So what you have in front of you is the agenda. And that would be if you have any items that you would like to add or pull, that is when that would happen. And you could adopt it with the changes or without.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: And we had some good discussion at the work session. We need to formulate some rules about deadlines for project and submissions.

We had things that were added within the last -within 24 hours of a meeting. We needed to kind of on go over the agenda. And there was two items that were on the agenda originally that I had some conversations with Sarah at the work session, two projects that were being asked to be moved along in the process, one for the County and one for Fairhope.

I did talk to Sarah and asked for those to be removed, table those for the next meeting, not out of any merits of the projects, but rather we spent probably an hour discussing sort of framework moving forward, how we're going to evaluate projects and how we're going to share funding. That's kind of what the conversation was focused.
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So with that special called meeting, I'd asked for things to be tabled until such time we picked up that special called meeting in August. So does this include those item, two items, or --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Those were pulled.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:
Mr. Chairman, do we have a special called meeting that we can take the projects up? We left the policy board meeting with the understanding that the County's request and the City's request would be put on the agenda. And without any consultation of the City or County, they were unilaterally removed.

And the biggest issue is that it's budget year -budget time, and we're trying to tell our legislative body what we have plan for budgetary-wise.

I don't have a problem with waiting, as long as we know that we're going to take them up in August. Hopefully, they won't continue to be removed unilaterally, or I'd like to make a motion to put those back on the agenda.

But I don't have a problem waiting until August, because that's still in the budget cycle, as long as we have an up-or-down vote to know we're moving forward.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: And I think August the 7th was recommended.

SUSAN C. ANDREWS, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER NO. 287 2200 US HIGHWAY 98, SUITE 4, PMB 230, DAPHNE, ALABAMA 36526 POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Oh. MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yeah. POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So you sent another e-mail last night, discussion. And there was an agreement amongst all the Policy Board Members at the table during work our session -- it was not a Policy Board meeting, but a Policy Board work session.

We spent an hour-plus having really good dialogue about developing framework. So to this point, the issue has been we haven't really done a good job of prioritizing projects and understanding what the funding street is. Because we did all agree on one large project, the ITS project, and that was three-plus million dollars.

And so, unfortunately, we, as a Policy Board and organization, we have never adopted any sort of framework. And no one knows the rules.

And I've already had one of the elected officials say, we're going to go add as many projects as we can to fill the bucket. And I think we're just adding confusion until we understand what the framework is.

At that Policy Board work session, we did ask for a special called meeting to be held in mid-August with a work session at the end of this month. So we could have one still, hopefully, next week in July.

SUSAN C. ANDREWS, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER NO. 287 2200 US HIGHWAY 98, SUITE 4, PMB 230, DAPHNE, ALABAMA 36526

Then there was a subsequent e-mail sent out about the notion of funding may be not maybe allowable under the Federal guidelines, late yesterday. Many of us had a chance to review that. It does create some exceptions. It also says we should have a prioritized list.

We don't know the rules in what we're trying to accomplish and what the Policy Board's strategy is for a framework of projects and how to prioritize that thing along that $I$ don't think we could be very effective. That's my opinion.

And so if there is additional discussion -- which we certainly need to come to agreement on framework. It may take multiple meetings, Mr. Johnson. And in order to facilitate that, I've asked that we start meeting and have a work session every two weeks, starting two weeks from today, to develop that framework. Because I don't think going a month or two or three months between meetings is effective for us to develop that.

And everybody at this table has the same budgeting process. We all want to be able to fund projects. It's a matter of moving them to a Visionary List so it can be talked about. I have no issue with that. But at some point in time, we've got to understand what we're trying to accomplish and how we're going to prioritize projects. POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:
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Right. Just a question, though. Will these projects be able to be considered by the board in August? With what I heard, I think you said no.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: I didn't. I said when we left the work session, we asked staff to set up a special called meeting in August. August 15th is what we were targeting, more or less, with our Work Session to be held at the end of this month, so beginning of next week. And I don't know if they've scheduled that or not.

Then there was another variable that was thrown out late yesterday about -- you all received an e-mail about potentially a funding agreement not being allowable in the rules -- a sub-funding agreement, which is a new twist that we have not heard before. So that may change, it may delay developing framework.

So it's going to be whatever the Policy Board as a whole decides. But I've -- we've got a problem in front of us. We've got to figure out what the framework is. And until we can all meet, subject to open meeting laws and Federal regulations that govern this board, we've got to figure it out.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: Well, and being in this process since the inception, I do know the Policy Board really wanted to emphasize projects
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that would address capacity with also consideration to safety and things of that nature.

You know, there's no doubt that County Road 64 is a problem that the County is trying to address related to the development of a school. We have a known date that the school is going to open. We already know it's a capacity issue today. We can pretty much be reassured that there's going to be capacity issue in the future if nothing's done.

Again, the City of Fairhope has a issue with U.S. 98 and the attaching road that has schools that's east/west that we have no turning lanes and is underserved there.

Again, each municipality, or each member
organization, brings projects forward at the time that they feel that it's reached a critical mass. In the case of these two projects, both the Citizen Advisory and the TAC Committee put them up because their job is to look at is there -- what is the warrants. And I think both of them gave unanimous recommendations to the Policy Board on those.

I think we have the framework. And each member organization should bring forward projects when they feel like they've reached that point that the MPO can help assist them affecting at least the problem that deals with capacity.
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There is no doubt that 64 connects Daphne to Loxley. And the intersection at 13, 98, and 181 are north/south roads. And if we're getting -- backing up to 64 because of the new school, that affects Fairhope.

Because if people from Fairhope have to get to the interstate, they're going to go north on one roads that's going to intersect. 64 would now be backing up and causing a capacity issue within the intersections.

So, I mean, I think the board needs to consider these projects as the different host members ask for them to then come forward. And if we go forth in the future and develop a more clear guideline, I'm all in favor of that. But why should we delay working on a problem that affects Baldwin County, that affects Daphne, that affects the whole Eastern Shore because we -- we don't have the framework that -- it's not clear enough.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY:
Mr. Chairman, if I may. So you had mentioned earlier it may take multiple meetings in order to get this framework done. A work session, $I$ think, is preliminary scheduled for August 7th.

There's no official vote that can take place at that meeting, at a work session. I don't know what timeframe we're looking at as far as being able to take an official vote, if we don't do it today on these projects in order
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to get ready for the budget for this next fiscal year we're trying to prepare for currently.

I know I've got a budget meeting on August 8th, which is only one day after that August 7 th meeting that you're talking about at a work session, which no official vote can cake place at.

So I think timing is critical from a budgetary standpoint on whether or not we think we will move forward on this. I would reiterate what Richard said as far as I'm all in favor of maybe coming up with framework of how to distribute these funds better in the future, given guidelines that Sarah sent out the past couple days. But $I$ do think in order to, you know, be effective, as a group, we have to be all in favor of putting these projects back on the agenda.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Mr. Chairman, if I could. The -- and I think we probably can do both. Where we need -- Chairman is correct. We need a framework going forward. We know what projects are going to take priority.

And at the same time, we know these two projects are a priority, and certainly the 64 and the new school. We know how long it takes a road project to get done. They're always, you know, longer than we anticipate.

And I certainly wouldn't -- we would like that to be
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done by the time that school opens, because that's going to create some real chaos, I think.

You know, I would support going forward with these two, along with the commitment from the rest of the board that we would be dedicated to come up with a framework.

Y'all would -- you know, we came together on the County Road 13 project when we put in those turn lanes, which was supported by the prior board.

That was -- that was a critical, I think. It does impact people from Fairhope, because they use it to go all the way up to 90. It -- probably not so much for Spanish Fort or Loxley, but they went along with it because it was a needed project.

So I'm favor of putting these two back on for today so that we can vote and move forward with it, with the understanding that board is committed to coming up with a framework for prioritizing these projects.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: I also -- I don't really have a problem adding those to the agenda, but we have got to get that framework of what we're going to do and how we're going to do it.

The disparity of what I'm seeing in the report that you sent us of what's been funded is disturbing to me in Spanish Fort, I can tell you. And it's probably caused a lot by us. But you will see a lot of project coming to
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y'all from Spanish Fort very shortly. Because, I mean, looking at the Visionary List, you can see where we are.

So I'm not opposed to adding these because of time limits. I do encourage this board to -- to have some special called work sessions to work through our issues. We need to resolve them so we can move forward and be effective.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: Mr. Chairman, if $I$ could. I apologize for having been out of state and out of pocket the last couple of meetings. I've been trying to get up to speed on what we're doing and how we're doing things.

The 64 project, I think, is paramount to being dealt with and being dealt with soon as possible. I road up 64 coming here, so that might have been the reason I was a little late.

But, anyway, the other thing -- And I've -- I've dealt with this at the County level. And Dane and I -Chairman and I have not talked about this. At the County level, our Chairman is responsible for seeing that we have an agenda, not necessarily approving or disapproving what's on the agenda.

And if both of those committees have taken their steps to move these projects forward, I think part of that framework that we need to look at is what do our
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rules say about the agendas, how are they developed, and how are they communicated to each of the members.

But I do think that we need to move both these projects back on the agenda to deal with as soon as we can. Thank you.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: And I will just add to that, so you will understand. The word that was used was "unilaterally." I think that's a strong mischaracterization.

I reached out to Sarah and said, in light of discussions, can we move this and what the process would be here. And a couple days later, she sent me an e-mail that said, can you put in writing this to be carried over to the August special called meeting, which was certainly a discussion and plan and we had.

I agree with rules and also the deadine. I do not think we should be adding agenda items midnight before a work session. I think that's improper. And we need to set appropriate deadlines so we all know what's on it. I think there needs to be proper rules and --

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Speak a little bit more --

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: I'm sorry. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm having a hard time hearing you. I got "need proper rules" is as far as I
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got.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Ma'am?
THE COURT REPORTER: As far as I got is proper
rules. I think there needs to be proper rules. And then you turned away, and I couldn't hear the rest.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So rules and procedures, and that was also discussed at the work session as well.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: So we'll have some project prioritization. Some -- the way some of the other MPO's do that for the special work session. We'll have some guidelines and procedures for the agenda and for submittals. And we'll have that on August 7th.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So, of course, all this dovetails as well. And certainly the regulation, in that same exactly section that you sent, talks about developing a prioritized list. And if we're looking at adding something to a list, that's when the determination of priority should probably be made.

And that's where I, personally, I feel uncomfortable, because I don't know that we've done the analysis of the balance of this list.

But I think we've had plenty of discussion on this. Does somebody want to make a motion to adopt the agenda?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: I
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motion that we add back the County Road 16 -- 64 project submitted Baldwin County and as a sponsor for road right-of-way and utility costs, and I also include the request from the City of Fairhope for the intersection improvements at Gayfer Road and U.S. 98, also known as Greeno Road.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: I'll second that.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any other discussion on that?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Can we be specific to what the agenda item is and what list it's being added to? As I recall, one's already on the Visionary List and one is being added to the Visionary List.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: They're both on the Visionary List. So this would be funding them, so moving to the TIP, the four-year funded list.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: The official action would be then to approve both those projects moving to the TIP.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Right.
POLICY BOARD PROXY MEMBER RICHARD JOHNSON: Do I need to amend my motion?
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MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: If you want to, yeah.
Let's just be clear.
POLICY BOARD PROXY MEMBER RICHARD JOHNSON:
Would you withdraw your second, Joey?
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: I'll
withdraw it.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: I amend it to include that the request is this project to move from the Visionary List to the TIP list.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: I'll
second.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any other
discussion?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All in
favor?
(Policy Board Members and Policy Board Member Proxies say "aye" in unison.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any opposed?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion carries. We have an agenda.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, we do.
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5 PROXY POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Richard Johnson, Brian Aaron, Robert Davis, Joey Nunnally, Kevin Boone.

No: 0 Board Members: (None)
MOTION CARRIED


MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: So now let's move
along. Okay.

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: The first item is
approval of minutes. And you can see your minutes
starting Page 16 of your agenda packet.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: We have verbatim minutes from the court reporter, who had not ever had any audio issues in the past. So we may have to comb through that a little more at our meeting, a little more with a fine tooth comb. So a motion to adopt?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: So move.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:
Second.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Mayor
McMillan and second by Richard Johnson. Any discussion?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All in favor?

SUSAN C. ANDREWS, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER NO. 287 2200 US HIGHWAY 98, SUITE 4, PMB 230, DAPHNE, ALABAMA 36526

EASTERN SHORE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION 07/24/2019
(Policy Board Members and Policy Board Member Proxies say "aye" in unison.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any
opposed?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion
carries.
Ayes: 4 POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Dane Haygood, Ron Scott, Joe Davis, Mike McMillan

5 PROXY POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Richard Johnson, Brian Aaron, Robert Davis, Joey Nunnally, Kevin Boone.

No: $\quad 0$ Board Members: (None)
MOTION CARRIED

## NEW BUSINESS

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: New
business. Were we adding these two ones here at the end of new business.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Items 10 and 11 , respectively.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: I'll do them before the MPO Coordinator's Report, just in case anyone needs to leave, the last two items.

ACTION - ADOPTING THE FY 2020 CONTINUITY OF OPERATIONS PLAN
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(COOP) (ALL)
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay. The first item is Adopting the FY 2020 Continuity of Operations Plan. This is our document that outlines the policy and procedures that we will take if there is a catastrophic event or emergency.

You can see that starting on Page 30. And there's a resolution on Page 38. And all of the Advisory Committees did recommend for approval.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Anyone have any questions of staff?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: This is one of our planning documents that needs annual adoption.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: But if there's no questions for staff, I'd entertain a motion.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: So moved.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion to adopt the Continuity of Operations Plan for FY-20.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: Second.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion by Councilman Scott, second by Mayor McMillan. Any further discussion?
(No response.)
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POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All in
favor?
(Policy Board Members and Policy Board Member Proxies say "aye" in unison.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any
opposed?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion
carries.
Ayes: 4 POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Dane Haygood, Ron Scott, Joe Davis, Mike McMillan

5 PROXY POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Richard Johnson, Brian Aaron, Robert Davis, Joey Nunnally, Kevin Boone.

No: $\quad 0$ Board Members: (None)
MOTION CARRIED


POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Next item.

ACTION - RESOLUTION ADOPTING DRAFT FY 2020 UNIFIED PLANNING
WORK PROGRAM (UPWP)
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Next item is Adopting
the Draft FY 2020 Unified Planning Work Program. So this is our administrative budget. It was published for public comment from June the 5th to July the 5th. We also held two public meetings, and no comments were received.
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You can see that starting on Page 39. And then on Page 96 is a good funding overview that kind of has each task with the funding totals. And there is a resolution on Page 108. And we did get about nine thousand (\$9,000) dollars more this year.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Great.
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: And all of the committees did recommend for approval. And since there were no comments received, this will be a document as the final. We will not have to go back out for public comment.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any questions from staff?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Mr. Chairman, just if I may. I read Sarah's e-mail yesterday, and if you could clarify. It said most MPO individual committees pay a fee. And in this case, Baldwin County paid the entire twenty percent (20\%).

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: That's -- that's right.
So there's -- there's -- it's a twenty percent (20\%) local match. And, like you mentioned, we reached out to some other MPO's to kind of see how they do that twenty percent (20\%) match.

All the ones we talked to, they collect yearly fees from each member government, and they base that on
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population; whereas, the County Commission currently covers the entire twenty percent (20\%) so that we do not collect fees.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: It is -- it is legal.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, sir. It's --

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: And we appreciate the County doing it.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Right. Well, if I remember, when we first adopted this procedure or this policy, South Alabama Regional Planning also was making a pitch to, you know, manage the MPO. And the County wanted to do it inhouse, the vote. And so by doing that, they agreed to fund it.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: They do it with, I guess, inhouse, too, with office rent and personnel costs.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: We do thank you.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: We talked about this one pretty heavily at the Work Session as well. I think the decision was the right one, to bring
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it to Baldwin County and appreciate having the focus of the two staff members who are dedicated to that.

And, also, the County does get reimbursed for some of the office space and utilities, part of the administrative funds. So it seems to work well for everyone involved.

Any other questions to Sarah? (No response.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: There's a resolution on Page 108, Resolution Number 2019-18, Adopting the FY-20 UPWP. Do I hear a motion?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: So moved to adopt.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion to adopt from Councilman Scott.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: Second.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Second by
Commissioner Davis. Any further discussion?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All in
favor?
(Policy Board Members and Policy Board Member Proxies say "aye" in unison.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any opposed?
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use to calculate reimbursable expenses to the County?
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Resources
they're providing?
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Mr. Chairman, I
make a motion that we adopt Resolution 2019-19.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion by
Councilman Scott to adopt Resolution 2019-19, adopting
the FY-2020 Cost Allocation Plan. Second?
POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: Second.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Second
Mayor McMillan. Any discussion?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All in
favor?
(Policy Board Members and Policy Board Member Proxies say "aye" in unison.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any
opposed?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion
carries.

Ayes: 4 POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Dane Haygood, Ron Scott, Joe Davis, Mike McMillan
5 PROXY POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Richard Johnson, Brian Aaron, Robert Davis, Joey Nunnally,
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seven hundred dollars $(\$ 119,700)$, with ninety-five thousand, seven hundred sixty $(\$ 95,760)$ to be paid by the MPO and a local match of twenty-three thousand, nine hundred forty $(\$ 23,940)$ to be provided by the local governments.

We did -- at the work session, we proposed two different ways that we thought of that the local match could be broken down. That would be evenly by member government, which would be four thousand, seven hundred eighty-eight dollars $(\$ 4,788)$ per government. And then we looked at population. And so you can see that breakdown right here. And all of the committees did recommend approval based on the population breakdown.

And you can see this right on this page number. So right now on the resolution, we just kind of have it empty as far as the local match, how that would be broken down.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So we've got an agenda packet, Page 127 for Agenda Item 4, and then you've got a proposal from JRWA, and then you've got a Resolution 2019-20 on Page 157.

We had had some discussion about there being a presentation to the Policy Board though to understand what was the criteria that we used to select this firm. The Policy Board, we didn't really formulate that
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upfront. And asking us to vote on selecting a firm without really understanding what parts of the proposal or how -- how this decision came to be --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: -recommendation came to be.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Right. So we did use a grading sheet of a hundred (100). We used experience of the firm, the scope -- the service that they would provide, the experience of their individual staff members.

The cost was a very small portion of it. It was a ten percent (10\%). I'm sorry. That was one thing that --

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Do we have a breakdown of the four firms we interviewed and kind of how they scored out, so we can get a better feel of where the --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: We do. I have that on the spreadsheet, and I have the individual scores. Let me try to get that. Hold on.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: I'm not familiar with this company. Anybody used them in the past on this board?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: They did the State
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Freight Plan. But what they do -- they're out of Atlanta, but they use -- they're sub-consulting Goodwyn, Mills, and Cawood in Daphne to do their local -- and kind of be their local aspect of it.

And then the modeling portion, they contract with Dr. Michael Anderson, who did our model previously and who has a contract with the State to help us.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: So this company is basically subbing everything out just about?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Some of it.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: Is that what I'm hearing?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Some of it. The main planner is under their company, JWRA. But they did subcontact with Goodwyn, Mills and with Dr. Anderson.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: And Sarah, I can, if it helps the Chairman.

The criteria was staff experience was twenty (20) points out one hundred (100); LRTP experience, twenty (20) points out of one hundred (100); scope of work, twenty (20) points out of a hundred (100); overall presentation, twenty (20) points; schedule ten (10) points; and the cost was ten (10) points. So cost can be a consideration.

And it was a tough, tough judgment, because the four
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firms that -- after the initial pass that we all blindly received the packets, filled out a score sheet, and then MPO staff found the top four. And they came in and did presentations. And there wasn't a bad presentation in there.

I will tell you, costs ran the gambit to nearly twice what you're seeing here to slightly less than this. But, again, cost was a very small percentage factor of the consideration. It was what their proposal was as far as how fast they could deliver it, the scope of work they were proposing to perform, and the in-depth modeling.

It was probably one of the most -- more tougher evaluations I have ever participated in.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any other comments or question of Sarah?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: Mr. Chairman, if I could. We're operating under a current Long Range Plan that goes through when?

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: It goes through 2040 right now. Isn't that correct, Sarah?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: I'm sorry. What was that?

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: The current LRTP goes through 2040?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: That's right.
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POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: And we really need to have this completed, I would assume, by sometime in 2020.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: July.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So we're in a rush on the timeline it takes to actually implement the scope of work being done here.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: It's about a nine-month process. Right now, I think they have them completing the work in April. But it's due to be -- it has to be adopted at the July meeting at the latest.

So it could be pushed back, I mean, a little bit. I would have to get with them to make sure October would be enough time, from October to July of next year.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Who did the 2040 LRTP?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: We did it inhouse with the help of Dr . Anderson doing the modeling.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So there's some commonality between.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir. We think that would be helpful to have him continue, since he helped us with the initial development of our model.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: Mr. Chairman, all the other agencies that could be influenced by this
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or might even help fund this -- I'm thinking ALDOT, State systems, and those kinds of things -- this is all factored in by the consultant, and they're all kept in the loop relative to --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: -- because this is going to be where we think we need to go, but those other players might --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Right. They will be included, yes, sir, involved.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: Thank you.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any other questions on the recommendation in terms of the firm and/or the match component?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY:
Mr. Chairman, if I may. The match, are we talking about dividing it up by population?

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: There were two things presented. It can be formulated in whatever way we want it to be.

I'll be honest. We had a long discussion, again, about the framework, the funding, at the work session. This kind of started some of that.

I find it to be interesting that my point of view was that expenses should be shared in a manner sort of
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consistent with the revenue component. I think they should be kind of synonymous or whatever that may be.

And if we discuss some of these funding formulas, because there's a lot of other ways to look at it. You've got city sizes. You've got length of roads, because that determines how much you have to spend to on classified routes to maintain a route capacity was some of the things we asked Sarah to look into.

So we've got to get to that point. But my one concern is we seem to reinvent the wheel every time we talk about an expense. We did other things differently for the ITS project. Now we're reinventing the wheel.

As for this framework, I'd like us all to agree upon so we understand how expenses are going to be shared when there is a match that's got to be borne by the entire Policy Board.

I would hope that expenses are directly proportional to the benefit. I know we're kind of just trying to do projects all over the region, but there's still got to be equity in order to make this -- or cause one community to get more perceived benefit than others.

But those are the discussion points that we're really trying to have this Policy Board make in the work sessions.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: Out of
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curiosity, the percent is -- to the left up there, looks like for Spanish Fort ten percent (10\%). Is that based on the city limits or whole MPO?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: The urban area. So not even the planning area outside. It shouldn't be. It's not city limits, because some of your city limits are outside of our urban area.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So our urban area on this board is the dotted line, and then the solid line in blue that extends beyond that is the planning area, which extends beyond our area. So it's a portion of your city limits that are within the urban area.

And we also had one discussion of -- the County asked how we came up with the County number. And you had indicated that -- tell me -- everybody how we came up with the County population number.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: So the county actually only -- they have less than twenty-five percent (25\%) in urban area. Most of theirs falls outside of the urban area in the planning area.

However, since the Commissioners expressed that they would be onboard with kind of funding, you know, funding more of the percentage, so we took and redistributed some of the percentages from the other Cities to give the
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County more.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So my
question is how did we come up with the number of twenty-five percent (25\%) in unincorporated areas within the urban -- the Eastern Shore MPO area?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: We took -- we took some form each City and gave it to the County, basically, to give them a good chunk.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So we just picked a number and said --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: I scaled -- like, I know Fairhope -- Daphne was forty-three percent (43\%). Fairhope -- I took twelve percent (12\%) -- twelve percent (12\%) off of each one. And then Loxley, I took two percent (2\%). Spanish Fort, I think took four (4\%) or five percent (5\%). I tried to make it even with the percentage that they are possessing, basically.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: And when we formed the MPO, there was a breakdown still using the same 2010 census numbers, and those percentages are even different than this. That's where I say there is lack of consistency in how we're treating some of these things in terms of --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Well, these are going to be different, because I did -- really, these should be

EASTERN SHORE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION 07/24/2019
higher for the other municipalities.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Well, but, again, I suggested at work session that the benefit ought to prove directly proportional to how you're sharing expenses.

Then we're inflating the County number. And you've already sent out some information as to projects that have been done at this point in time, and they seem to be -- Mayor McMillan's point -- kind of weighted towards certain areas.

That's my only concern. I want to have the discussion. We need to figure out how we're going to move forward with JRWA and how to fund it, but at least I want there to be discussion on record, concerns of how we got to this point and have this be part of the framework discussions as we move forward.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay. So we want to move this to the work session?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: I think we needs further conversations and --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Is there any other questions or concerns that this needs to advance at a, maybe, a faster rate?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:
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Mr. Chairman, I'd ask you and the MPO staff, would it be appropriate, prior to that work session, to share that there was two rounds of grading the review of the paper RFP submittals. And then there was a round of scoring as part of the top of the first round four so that you can at least see how the recommendation got to the point that it is.

That's generally shared information after the process has been completed, so that may be helpful for y'all to see the background. Would that be appropriate?

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: I think We're asking us to vote on a hundred thousand dollar $(\$ 100,000)$ contract. And $I$ guess $I$ feel, personally, a little uncomfortable not knowing what criteria we set on the front end.

And we can certainly have that presentation. I think we'll all get very comfortable. I appreciate those that participated. Sometimes just offering the Policy Board Members to be able to participate in the process helps tremendously.

But $I$ think just as equally as difficult is going to be to figure out this -- how to divide the match as well. I just want to make sure by us, if we want to consider moving it to the work session, that we're not creating a timing issue for ourselves.
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MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: I think it will be okay. Honest. I think they'll work with us if we do end up going with them.

Now, I can't speak if we decide to choose someone else. I'm not sure. I've just got the schedule from J.R. Wilburn. But I will send out ahead of that -- I'll send out all the score sheets and our -- I have a spreadsheet that is kept up with the scorings from each part of it. So I'll send that out ahead of time. POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any additional thoughts, comments?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: I
think, from a timeline standpoint, our requirements to do this, this delay is not going to cause us a problem. MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay. POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: And Mr. Chairman, what is the potential that we could at least reverse -- reserve a special called meeting for five minutes following one of these work sessions so that if the Policy Board feels comfortable, they can take action in August so that at least we don't -- you know, three weeks is not going to -- I don't think, going to affect any outcomes. But if we're not dealing with it until October, that could be possibly a challenge. MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Right.
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POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Given all the discussion we've had about these agenda items we've added to this, I don't think we're going to solve it in one work session.

I'd like us to have a work session in two weeks, if that works for everybody, which would be the August 7th, and also have one on the 21 st.

And to Richard's point, have a Policy Board meeting two hours after that work session starts.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: And that way we have another opportunity still in August to vote on any items that need to be -- if we have any cleanup business that needs to occur.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay. POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: And if we're not, to stage at least a meeting more frequently, you know. I apologize, but it'll make all of our lives a lot easier once we can come to agreement and have something down on paper. And we won't have to keep having this every time a project or expense comes up. MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir. POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Does that sound like a reasonable approach to everybody, trying to get -- build a consensus on how we do it? I mean, I'm
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happy to commit the time.
So do we want to entertain a motion on Agenda Item 4?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:
Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to table this to a future meeting, at the discretion of the Chairman, in order to evaluate the criteria and scoring further, and discuss further in the work session.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion to table it. I would say let's go ahead and put it on the August 21 st special called meeting.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: If that works for everyone?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: I'll second that.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: I got Joey. Any other discussion?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: If we could. The people that made the short list, that has been communicated to them and are they aware of these timelines?

Not only do we want to keep the one that y'all are recommending of their status, but we need to make sure that the others know that the process, if they've been
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eliminated or that it's still potential in the running.
It's about keeping -- these people are busy doing other
projects --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Right.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: -- so we need to stay high on their list.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay. Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: If I could. I have no problem with, you know, tabling it. Just looking through this, the firm that was recommended does appear to have worked with MPO's in a number of places.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Not that I have a prejudice against small towns, but this firm is headquartered in Greenville, Georgia. But it is, generally, in the Atlanta area. So he probably knows something about traffic.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: Yes.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Did we need -did we ever get a second?

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: We did. We got a motion and a second. Any further discussion? (No response.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All in favor?
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(Policy Board Members and Policy Board Member Proxies say "aye" in unison.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any
opposed?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion
carries.
Ayes: 4 POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Dane Haygood, Ron Scott, Joe Davis, Mike McMillan

5 PROXY POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Richard Johnson, Brian Aaron, Robert Davis, Joey Nunnally, Kevin Boone.

No: $\quad 0$ Board Members: (None)
MOTION CARRIED


ACTION - AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL 5307 FUNDS TO CITY OF FAIRHOPE POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Agenda

```
        Item 5.
```

            MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: The next item is
        authorizing additional 5307 funds for the City of
        Fairhope.
            So we didn't get to this at the work session, but I
        did send this out in an e-mail. The consultant that was
        selected to design the transit stop in downtown Fairhope,
        on the transit project, they have held stakeholder
        meetings. We've been a part of those.
    SUSAN C. ANDREWS, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER NO. 287 2200 US HIGHWAY 98, SUITE 4, PMB 230, DAPHNE, ALABAMA 36526

They presented three kinds of conceptual designs. They took that stakeholder feedback on those designs and came back with this design.

So the budget was created for this project in August 2017. And the project is currently over about two hundred eighty-four thousand (\$284,000).

One thing that was continuously brought up at the stakeholder meetings, though, is the need for restrooms at the location. So the City of Fairhope has taken that into consideration, and they're requesting additional 5307 funds to cover the overage and include the bathroom. POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: And I apologize. Can you repeat the original project amount, total project cost as well as MPO funds allocated?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: The total project cost was six hundred thousand $(\$ 600,000)$ with four hundred eighty thousand $(\$ 480,000)$ of 5307 dollars.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: And this -- five hundred thirty-three thousand $(\$ 533,000)$ is the overage. It's an additional request? MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir. POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So the 5307 funds, probably four hundred eighty (\$480,000) and we're entertaining adding another four hundred twenty $(\$ 420,000)$ to do that other nine hundred thousand
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$(\$ 900,000) ?$
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD PROXY MEMBER RICHARD JOHNSON:
Mr. Chairman, if it's appropriate, we've had grief with the city counsel where we are. If you'll note that there's a placeholder for two hundred fifty thousand dollars $(\$ 250,000)$ in bathrooms, we nowhere think it's going to be that.

But, again, with the -- the factor that when you did an infrastructure budget two years ago, you may have been right two years ago, and it wouldn't be right today because inflationary prices and things of that nature. So we at least tried to put a placeholder number that will make sure we weren't back out.

We also have submitted to fellow transit and ALDOT to make sure that everything that we have discussed to this point and the scope of the work is appropriate and would be covered by these funds.

And, you know, we left the City Council with that there is no guarantee of additional funding. And then either the decision has to be made to reduce the scope of work and/or the City make choices about if they want to keep it. Then it may be a hundred percent (100\%) on us. And, again, those would be City Council decisions ultimately based upon the outcome here.
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I'm an advocate of this project. It's a project I inherited and adopted. And it's become really a cool project. The original budget did not consider drainage.

One of the first words out of every stakeholder was there is a drainage problem there. So we had to be proper in that to provide the necessary drainage, not only for the project, but to make it a functional thing and a safe thing.

So, you know, I think this is an exciting project. This is the first funded money spent, 5307 body that we've had in our thing. And we're excited about it. And I think it's going to be great addition to our public transit and a great addition to our city as well.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: So without the bathrooms, just for the overrun, it would be two hundred twenty-seven thousand, two hundred $(\$ 227,200)$ of Federal dollars, just if you wanted to look at it both ways or not. That's up to y'all, obviously.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: What's the bathroom component? What the dollar figure?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Two hundred fifty $(\$ 250,000)$ is what they have.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Trying to get the most -- really, we've got change order, in essence, which is another component we brought up at the

SUSAN C. ANDREWS, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER NO. 287 2200 US HIGHWAY 98, SUITE 4, PMB 230, DAPHNE, ALABAMA 36526
work session, having a policy to address how we address overages. Because we think we're allocating $X$ dollars for the project, and we come back. And we've done this several times.

I think it's unfair for the Policy Board, as a whole. I think the match ought to be -- just generally speaking, not talking about this project -- we need to have consideration of is there a certain percentage you can go over, you know, ten percent (10\%) contingency or twenty percent (20\%) contingency, which was probably built in the budget anyway, or do we want to say that one hundred percent ( $100 \%$ ) of the match and the additional overruns are on the sponsor?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: And that is how we word the resolutions as of now, is that the sponsor is responsible for any overruns. But I'll let y'all -POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Again, the problem is trying to develop a policy. And you see these concerns. With a project on the table, it's hard to make a neutral decision, because there's no -- once that project is in their budget.

So we're not making any good policy decisions when the project's in front of us to try generate that. And that's where the framework, which is why I suggested we put off those other two projects until such time as we
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independently develop a strategy that's fair and everybody agrees to. But whatever the will of the policy board is with this.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Mr. Chairman, if I could. Sarah, what amount of money do we have in 5307?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Three million, six hundred twenty-two thousand $(\$ 3,622,000)$.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: And that has to be spent within what period of time?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Six years.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Six years.
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Which the City of Fairhope, they'll be drawing from the oldest. That's the oldest pot first.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: The 5307, that is the transportation --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Public transportation.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: -- public
transportation --
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: -- pool, which
I assume that the City of Spanish Fort has a hub.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: I believe there is one planned for Daphne and Spanish Fort out of
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that fund.
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: There is.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So there's some differences. The 5307 we talked about probably a year ago pretty heavily and the general uses of those funds for transit hubs for BRATS, some structures.

Again, I think every community ought to decide what's right for them. And the other piece that $I$ think of it is then once you have an established transit stop, you can do sidewalks or bike paths that are allowable under 5307. So a mile and a half on sidewalks and three miles --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Half a mile on sidewalks.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Half a mile on sidewalks and three miles --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Three miles.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: -- on bike paths that lead to a transit stop.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:
Mr. Chairman, and I will state, you know, that -- and I know this is Fairhope requesting this. The biggest challenge is what -- if we get another infusion in 5307 dollars on October 1st -- What do we annually get,
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roughly?
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: A million dollars (\$1,000,000).

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: A million dollars $(\$ 1,000,000)$. So by the time we meet again in our regular session, there's going to be another million $(1,000,000)$ in that budget.

So I think that -- that the consideration of both the Advisory Committees was that the money is available. We're not doing a very good job of spending it. And they felt it was a good project.

And I'm biased. I think it's an excellent project and excitement in not only working with BRATS, but within our community, that it's going to be a place for all the people to interact with transit.

And, you know, I work for a City that does things pretty special. And I think this is one of the special projects that we will be recognized for as a Policy Board supporting it, as I think -- I think we've kind of blown the socks off the State folks we're working with.

So I love -- I love -- love the support here. And it would be one thing if it was a shortage of money or it's competing with others, but the pot is pretty deep.

And I will pretty much assure you that as long as this process has taken, I don't think Fairhope is going
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to be requesting 5307 project money anytime in the near future.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Well, from a needs standpoint, there are not any more dense area than downtown Fairhope in that area. So I think on the merits of the project, it's strong.

You get a little bit of flair and architecture and how much should we be spending, but I'm not going to split hairs. Because, certainly, I do think the project has plenty of funds. I'm happy to support this.

But I do think we've got to have a discussion about -- whether it's surface funds or 5307 funds, we've got to do a good job of having -- having a budget that's out there. And we just need that policy statement so we'll know what our treatment is. That way you can continue down your prioritized list of projects.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: We'll have that at the work session as well.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that we adopt Resolution 2019-21, authorizing the additional 5307 funds for the City of Fairhope.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Got a motion to adopt 2019-21, authorize additional 5307 funds for the City of Fairhope.
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POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: I second that. POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Got a second by Commissioner Davis. Any further discussion? POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: If we could, Mr. Chairman. And I need it get up to speed with public restrooms. Depending on where they are, how they're secured, how they're maintained reflect on all of us.

Richard, do you have an idea of how this will be -is it going to be open $24 / 7$ to anybody who needs to go, so to speak?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:
Generally, our public restrooms in an area like this is well lit and well patrolled. It would be $24 / 7$, you know, in our parks, except they're closed at dark. They're generally locked after park hours.

But, you know, it'll all be basically demand based. But one of the things that we're looking into is electronic locking mechanism on certain public use.

But, now, there will be public restrooms. And as you know, there are events that go on late hours of the night. And they definitely will be available when there are people needing to use them.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: Thank you.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: All
of that long-term maintenance, custodial, that is going
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to be the City's responsibility for the lifespan. And the commitment to the 5307 is twenty-five (25) years.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: You need to make sure you invite the Policy Board to the ribbon cutting when this thing get ready.

POLICY BOARD PROXY MEMBER RICHARD JOHNSON: You gentlemen will get the first invite.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: And just out curiosity, $I$ know that the original transit hub in Fairhope was on 48 and was kind of done under some different terms, but is this going to be owned by Fairhope and utilized by County BRATS system, or is it going to be leased back to the County, or what's the plan?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: It's leased. So it'll
be owned by the City of Fairhope. And FTA is the lienholder. And then after the City -- the County leases it. BRATS will lease it from them for zero dollars.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So we're using Federal funds to create a transit hub that Fairhope, which is a city, then the Municipality is going to lease back to the County?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: They own the land that it was on. So --

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:
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Technically, we had to do a lease with --
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: The Single --
POLICY BOARD PROXY MEMBER RICHARD JOHNSON: -the State.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Right.
POLICY BOARD PROXY MEMBER RICHARD JOHNSON: Well, actually --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Single Tax.
POLICY BOARD PROXY MEMBER RICHARD JOHNSON: -I don't want to get -- the Single Tax had to approve a sublease from something called the Fairhope Parking Authority, which it's a Single Tax piece of property. And, technically, the City doesn't own it. We had a lease through the Authority. It's the most convoluted thing.

But the transit hub, the lienholder, the leaseholder is the State of Alabama. They're managing it for FTA. The obligation is that we have to maintain the facility and keep it in operation or get their approval to cease that operation. And BRATS has rights to use what we have for transit stops and -- and any fixed or not fixed route to drop off and pick up from.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Let me ask a quick question. Maybe my terminology was poor. But I was not thinking about the Single Tax component and the
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fact that all that land is technically leased.
For simplicity sake, let's just assume that long-term lease land under the Single Tax is the same as having fee title. I guess my question is are we actually leasing office space to the County where it becomes a -MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Well, I know there's a significant ground lease for like a one hundred thousand dollars $(\$ 100,000)$ a year on 48. I'm trying to understand if we're applying covered funds. I mean, $I$ don't know if the County's actually getting it for a dollar (\$1) a year or if they're paying twenty thousand dollars $(\$ 20,000)$ a year in rent. So that's kind of --

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: It's zero dollars. POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:

Zero. But the -- and understand the actual transit hub, the structure where people would sit and wait or whatever, that the footprint of it is what is under lease. The rest of it stays within the Parking Authority lease for the City of Fairhope so.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All right. Any other discussion? There is a motion to adopt and a second.
(No response.)
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POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All in
favor, say aye.
(Policy Board Members and Policy Board Member Proxies say "aye" in unison.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any
opposed?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion
carries.
Ayes: 4 POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Dane Haygood, Ron Scott, Joe Davis, Mike McMillan

5 PROXY POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Richard Johnson, Brian Aaron, Robert Davis, Joey Nunnally, Kevin Boone.

No: $\quad 0 \quad$ Board Members: (None)
MOTION CARRIED


ACTION - ADOPTING THE DRAFT FY20-23 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT
PROGRAM (TIP)
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: The next item is
adopting the Draft FY 2020 through 2023 Transportation
Improvement Program.
This is the four-year planning document, which includes funded projects. We did publish this draft for public comment from June the 5th to July the 5th. Excuse me. We held two public meetings, and no comments were
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received.
And this will have to go back out. We did receive some comments from ALDOT and HWA just as a minor technical with the document. So we'll make those changes, and then this will go back out for another public comment period. And we will hold two more meetings. And then this will be back in front of you in October for final adoption.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: You've got a copy of the TIP in Agenda Item 6 in your packet. There is a resolution that we need -- not something that needs to be entertained at this time; correct?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay. So you have to adopt the draft, so we do need --

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: A new one. MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir. But then it will come back for final --

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Page 224 of your packet.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: And all the Advisory Committees did recommend for approval.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Mr. Chairman, I make motion that we approve Resolution 2019-22 adopting the draft FY 2020 to 2023 Transportation Improvement Plan.
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POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion by
Councilman Scott.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY:
Second.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Second by
Joey Nunnally. Any further discussion?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All in
favor?
(Policy Board Members and Policy Board Member Proxies say "aye" in unison.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any
opposed?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion
carries.

Ayes: 4 POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Dane Haygood, Ron Scott, Joe Davis, Mike McMillan

5 PROXY POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Richard Johnson, Brian Aaron, Robert Davis, Joey Nunnally, Kevin Boone.

No: $\quad 0$ Board Members: (None)
MOTION CARRIED


POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Sarah,
Item 7.
ACTION - AMENDING THE BYLAWS
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## ADDING BCBE DIRECTOR OF TRANSPORTATION

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Next item is amending the bylaws. So we did amend the bylaws to add the Director of Transportation from the Baldwin County Board of Education to the Technical Advisory Committee.

And how we worded is they will be a voting member if they are present. If they are not there, they will not hurt the quorum. We think this will add another level of communication with the School Board to hopefully plan together.

We did publish that for public comment as well. No comments were received. And all of the committees did recommend for approval. And you can see that starting on Page 225.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Quick comment. We actually started this meeting probably improperly. We added to the agenda a new item, which appears under order of business. It's actually (unintelligible). It's not in the Robert's Rules. But under the rule previously adopted under Section 5.5, it did not include an adoption of the agenda.

This is a very simple request that the Board of Education be a TAC member, which $I$ think it's going to be very positive with road issues, having the School Board participate.
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But as we adopt this, I just would like to -consider adopting this, I'd like to make sure that concerns over revisiting rules related to agendas and deadlines for agenda items and such are noted in the record. And we maybe handle that in the upcoming work session as well.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Okay. Any questions for Sarah on this Agenda Item 7?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: I would entertain a motion.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: I recommend we adopt Resolution 2019-23 amending the Eastern Shore MPO Bylaws.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Okay. Got a motion by Mayor McMillan to adopt Resolution 2019-23 amending the Eastern Shore MPO bylaws to add the Director of Transportation of Baldwin County Board of Education to the Technical Advisory Committee.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: I'll second that motion.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD:
Commissioner Davis. Any discussion?
(No response.)
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POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All right.
All in favor?
(Policy Board Members and Policy Board Member Proxies say "aye" in unison.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any
opposed?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion
carries.
Ayes: 4 POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Dane Haygood, Ron Scott, Joe Davis, Mike McMillan

5 PROXY POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Richard Johnson, Brian Aaron, Robert Davis, Joey Nunnally, Kevin Boone.

No: $\quad 0$ Board Members: (None)
MOTION CARRIED


ACTION - STATE AMENDMENTS TO THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT
PROGRAM (TIP)

INTERSECTION_IMPROVEMENTS TO US 90 , US 31 AND SPANISH MAIN

## STREET

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay. Next item is
State Amendments to the TIP. And these are requests from
ALDOT that they send down to us. And since these
projects fall within the our planning area, we are
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required to add them to our planning document.
I did followup. Y'all had some questions about why we're required to do this. And it is in our Memorandum of Understanding that we signed that we will just do this housekeeping item. It doesn't have any MPO funds involved. It's just that it falls within our MPO area.

The first one is intersection improvements at U.S. 90 and 31 and Spanish Main Street in Spanish Fort. That's an intersection realignment; and then pending ALDOT funding, the design of $I-10$ widening from the end of the Bayway to half a mile east of 181.

We had the construction on our TIP already, but the design was omitted or deleted. So this is just a housekeeping item to get that added back on there.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: I have one comment, just for clarification. It is not Spanish Main Street. It's Spanish Main.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Just Spanish Main? You got it that, Brian? Yes, sir.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: That appears in the resolution on the last whereas.

One other quick -- I'm just double checking, because we've had some discussions about having the resolution actually include the wording as to whether we're utilizing MPO funds or not. I don't see that here. But

SUSAN C. ANDREWS, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER NO. 287 2200 US HIGHWAY 98, SUITE 4, PMB 230, DAPHNE, ALABAMA 36526

I think it's -- personally, I would like us to --
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Just clarify.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: But let's
keep it as part of formal public record as opposed to having to go back and comb through meeting minutes to understand what the discussion was.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: This involved no MPO funds; correct?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: That's right.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Mr. Chairman, I
make a motion to adopt Resolution 2019-24, State requested amendments to the TIP.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Okay. There's a motion by Councilman Scott to adopt 2019-24.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY:

Second.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Do we want to consider amending to address Mayor McMillan's concern with the wording?

POLICY BOARD PROXY MEMBER RICHARD JOHNSON:

Sure.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Mayor

McMillan, do you to make that amendment?
POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: Just fix
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it.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: We've got
a motion to adopt that's in your packet.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: Let's make
this easy.
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: We can do it with the
revision to remove the word "Street."
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All right.
Any further discussion?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All in
favor, say aye.
(Policy Board Members and Policy Board Member Proxies say "aye"
in unison.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any
opposed?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion
carries.

Ayes: 4 POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Dane Haygood, Ron Scott, Joe Davis,
Mike McMillan
5 PROXY POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Richard Johnson, Brian Aaron,
Robert Davis, Joey Nunnally,
Kevin Boone.
No: 0 Board Members: (None)
MOTION CARRIED


SUSAN C. ANDREWS, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER NO. 287 2200 US HIGHWAY 98, SUITE 4, PMB 230, DAPHNE, ALABAMA 36526

EASTERN SHORE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION 07/24/2019

## ADDING TWO PROJECTS TO THE TIP

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So we had Agenda Item 9 was the MPO Coordinator's Report. We did add two items at the beginning of this meeting to the agenda, so if you want to insert those here.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Do we have any --

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: Anything you can give us?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: I believe Richard and Joey have brought some attachments or some project information forms.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Something tells me they came prepared, Mayor.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Let's do Fairhope first. That is going to be the installation of two turning lanes.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Which item do we want to take first?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Let's take Fairhope
first. That is two turning lanes on the Gayfer Road, Gayfer Avenue in Fairhope. The cost is eight hundred seventy-five thousand dollars $(\$ 875,000)$.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:
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Actually, the number the State gave us was wrong. It was eight hundred twenty-five (\$825,000).

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Oh, eight hundred twenty-five (\$825,000). And that's not what's -- okay. So we're going to go with eight hundred twenty-five $(\$ 825,000)$ ? Is that what you want to do?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: And I didn't produce those --

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: These actually came from ALDOT; correct?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: So, yeah, you know. And, Mr. Chairman, if it's appropriate. If you feel comfortable that the motion comes with a maximum ten percent (10\%) cap on that, I think that will be Fairhope's job to deal with overage from that point in time so.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: I'm going to say I'm personally not comfortable, because nothing in this give us anything in writing. That documents costs can certainly be added to the motion, but we've got one line is all the description that's here: Turning lanes and signal upgrades at Gayfer Avenue and Intersection Greeno Road, U.S. Highway 98.

And I don't think that's sufficient for us to make a
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decision to add something to the tune of eight hundred plus thousand dollars in extended funds.

I don't think it's got the right amount of -- it's added at last minute to the agenda. We added it to this one. And I can't support making a decision based on the limited amount of information we have at this time. That's my personal opinion on the matter.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Mr. Chairman, if I could.

Sarah, what would this resolution number be?
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: I believe it's 24.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: 25.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: 25?
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: 25.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: And the County will be 26 ?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
Richard, do you care to add any details or -- to address the Chairman's concerns?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:
Again, this was -- this was -- has been on the Visionary List for sometime. It predates me coming to the City of Fairhope. ALDOT assisted in providing budgetary numbers at that time.

If you look on the Visionary List, you actually see
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two different numbers, because they've got it laid to the east side and west side based upon adding full turning lanes that go right through as well as signal upgrades that would be your idea of standard. So that -- that is the scope of the project.

The issue at hand is that none of the intersection roads on Gayfer have any turn lane. So if there is a east or westbound and you're trying turn left and they do not have a clear turn, traffic backs up behind them.

As you know, we have a daycare and school on both sides and both ends of that road, and school traffic because the grade variances tends to intersect that in the mornings, especially during those peak times.

Again, I'm not -- it is a traditional project, just like what was done at Whispering Pines and U.S. 98, 64 and U.S. 98. It is a widening and it introduces turning lanes and signal controls to maximize the capacity of that intersection. Same thing that was done at Fairhope and Greeno Road and U.S. 98. Same concept.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Questions? POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: I, too, share my concerns about this one line item, eight hundred twenty-five thousand $(\$ 825,000)$ without any definition of cost factors of how we're getting there.

I don't disagree with what you're saying, it's
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simple project turning lanes. We know that. I'd really like to know more about the whole expanse of this turning lane.

I understand a turning lane's a turning lane. Eight hundred twenty-five thousand dollars $(\$ 825,000)$ is a big number without filling the scope of the project.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Do we have an actual -- Councilman Scott asked about a resolution number, but we don't have a printed resolution. I do not think it would be appropriate to reference something -that resolution number without being able to read the resolution.

If there were a motion to be made, it would need to be properly worded and make sure it encompasses everything that we need to know about the project in order to advance it. And I only speak from a procedural standpoint.

We're making real decisions for a lot of money. And it has nothing to do with the merits of the project. And I certainly believe there needs to be a signal there. I hate that ALDOT isn't doing it on their own on a state highway, on a federal highway. I don't believe that burden should be shifted to the municipalities, personally. But --

```
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY BRIAN AARON:
```

SUSAN C. ANDREWS, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER NO. 287

EASTERN SHORE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION 07/24/2019

Mr. Chairman, there's already a signal out there.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So we're just adding the turning lanes for the signal?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY BRIAN AARON:
Correct, on 6 th Street.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: And that's just where I think that we don't have enough information in front of us right now. I mean, and it may have been discussed in the past considering it was on the agenda, but we've already been through that.

I would feel a whole lot more comfortable for us to bring it up in one of the work sessions and look at having it on the agenda to be adopted the 21 st and scheduling that before we leave. If that timing doesn't work, that is a discussion for the Policy Board.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:
And, Mr. Chairman, I'm going to state this again. I don't know where this is coming from. This has been on the Visionary List for at least two years.

It was requested by Councilman Burrell and Mayor Wilson to ask for it to be advanced to the consideration of the Policy Board. That communication was not done at midnight to the MPO. It was done in advance of a work session.

It was talked ad nauseam as part of that work
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session. And the members of Fairhope left that work session with the understanding it was on this agenda to be considered.

So quit implying that it has been snuck in at last minute. That's not the case, sir. It's has been on the Visionary List for two years.

There was a correspondence to the MPO that was communicated. Because Mr. Burrell and -- and Mayor Wilson was asking for it to be considered at the next meeting. So I don't know where the sneak attack is coming from.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: I didn't say sneak attack. Those are not my words. I don't appreciate you putting words in my mouth.

When I asked Sarah -- We had a work session on July the 10th, did we not? And did we, you and $I$ and Katrina, meet on the 9th, 24 hours before that meeting, and this agenda item was not on the agenda as we went through the packet.

So I don't know when it was submitted. I'm just telling you that did not appear. And none of you showed up at the work session the next day. It brings into concern the framework and deadlines. Y'all may have asked for it two months ago. I'm not privy to that information.
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And we did have a lot of discussion at the work session. And there was, in my opinion, I think everybody that was in attendance, a willingness to try to work for the framework and get things figured out so we can make these decisions and advance these projects.

But if I'm not mistaken, what is being talked about here is moving this project to the -- from the Visionary List to the TIP, which in effect is allocating the funds for the project.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: And once you to that, you cannot undo it. Because they're going to rely on it, any member municipality would. And we're spending with this a motion without a resolution, without project clarity to understand how it fits in terms of priority.

I just personally think that the Policy Board needs to be more strategic in the decisionmaking process. It has nothing to do with when they got submitted.

We, as a Policy Board, need to figure that out.
Just like I've asked that we commit the time to understand how we're going to prioritize projects, how much funds we're going to spend.

There's some very interesting things that Sarah sent out. And we've actually talked a lot about some things
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you brought up, that the Federal funds can be allocated per year.

And if you look out four years, you don't have to allocate it all at once. And so that was in Federal regs that, $I$ think, in your e-mails, I think there's things we've got to become familiar with.

I understand the timing issue, the budget issue. And I don't want us to have three million $(\$ 3,000,000)$ or four million dollars (\$4,000,000) out there that's not being put to use within the urbanized area to benefit our citizens. So we need to figure out a way to do that.

That's all I'm trying to do is push it along. Let's develop the framework. It should have been done four years ago. It didn't. So we're in the position we're in now.

If we want to fund the projects, then fund them and then we can figure it out later. But I feel like we're kind of kicking the can down the road, because the urgency won't be there for everybody to show up and actually develop the framework.

That's one opinion. Questions to Sarah about this? POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Mr. Chairman, if $I$ could. Since we don't have a resolution in front of us, I do think that I'm a total supporter of both of those. From a budgetary standpoint, I think the
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Commissioner and Joey can go to the Commission and say, you know, the MPO is going to recommend funding the 64 project.

I think you can go to the City of Fairhope, I believe, and tell them that this is something which will happen. But -- but I also think this ought to be taken up. And we ought to have a resolution in front of us, and that ought to be at the special meeting that we have in August.

We know the project is going be to a go, at least from my standpoint on both of these. But $I$ do think we need something in front of us.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: And I -- again, my question at the beginning of the meeting, if $I$ had a certainty that they would at least get a fair vote in August, I was okay with that. And I will be glad to spend the necessary time to better predict what this project cost would be in much better detail.

The biggest challenge, as we all know, is that when you finally get the go to start, you go through your local legislative process to get the go to start, then you get the engineers in the field, then you determine the scope and utility conflicts and those things that are not known right now.

Again, there would have been a resolution to

SUSAN C. ANDREWS, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER NO. 287 2200 US HIGHWAY 98, SUITE 4, PMB 230, DAPHNE, ALABAMA 36526
consider if, all things being equal, when we left the work session, it was agreed upon that these two items were on the agenda. And the staff would have prepared the resolution. Yet, they were removed. And, thus, now we can't act on it, because there's no resolution. This is a catch-22.

I have no problem with the tabling, as long as there's certainty that an up-or-down vote -- at the end of the day, if this body says no, I'll report that back to my council, and they'll make their decision accordingly.

But $I$ can't give them information that $I$ don't have. Do you have to come up with twenty percent (20\%) or a hundred percent ( $100 \%$ ) of the everything over X amount?

I can't answer those questions until -- this body's action requires to come first before $I$ can bring back a resolution saying that the City Council is supportive and would be committing to the twenty percent (20\%) match plus overruns, whatever that detail is.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: I think the general consensus on this board is that they're in favor of the project. And I'm speaking for myself. Probably shouldn't be speaking for everybody.

But I do have some concerns the way this is being put in front of us. I think what can be reported to your
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council or the commission is that the general consensus seems to be that we would fund the projects.

But until we get resolutions and proper backups that we need to make an educated decision, I would be against a move today. I'd rather see it tabled to the next meeting, next work session with the regular meeting scheduled immediately after.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: At this point, I wonder are we asking for a resolution from the council? Because I thought our rules said that we had to have that by a certain number of days after it moved to the TIP; is that correct?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Sixty (60) days after it's funded, we have to have a resolution to make the actions.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: In other words, we introduce a rule that's not part of the rules?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: That was adopted a couple of years ago.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: No, I'm talking about -- I heard bring back a resolution and we'll consider it -- consider the project. That's not what --

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: That's not
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what I -- that wasn't what I heard.
POLICY BOARD PROXY MEMBER RICHARD JOHNSON:
Okay, I'm sorry.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So to help a little bit of the uncertainty here, would it be acceptable to go ahead and have a motion to set a work session for August 7th, I'm assuming at Fairhope County Satellite Courthouse at 9 to 10:00 a.m., whenever the will is of this body.

Also, to do one on the 21st, and go ahead and set that so there's certainly a meeting, so we can take away that risk and that uncomfortableness. And then we will adopt to table it -- to set a meeting, that would be an option that's here. I think I'd like --

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: So would you like to make a motion to that effect? I'd like to make a motion that we establish a work session August 7th, 10:00 a.m. at Fairhope Courthouse, whatever that is, and on August 21st, with a work session -- with a meeting -- a regular board meeting scheduled immediately following the work session on the 21 st.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: There's a motion by Mayor McMillan. A good question for staff is can we set a public meeting with the Policy Board just to
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follow a work session?
POLICY BOARD PROXY MEMBER RICHARD JOHNSON:
Yeah.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Just want to make sure that that's allowable under Federal regs.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: Unless we've been doing it wrong in Fairhope and Spanish Fort for all these years.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Well, let me -- there's a difference in State law and some of these Federal regulations. I just want to make sure that they don't say we have to pick a time. I'd say noon, but I don't want to keep everybody there all day. I just want to make sure that the staff says that this is allowable.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Second.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All right.
So motion by Mayor McMillan and a second by Councilman Scott to create a work session, a special called work session on August 7 th at 10 a.m. at Fairhope; August 21st at 10 a.m. at Fairhope Satellite Courthouse, and a formal MPO Policy Board meeting to follow the work session on August 21st.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: That would address both these projects?
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POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: We're just setting the meetings so that everybody will know those meetings are set. And anybody can make a motion or take whatever action is appropriate for these projects accordingly.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: My
understanding would be that these two projects will be on the 21st, so they'll have a resolution written.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: They're on the agenda today, so we need to set the meeting, create the meetings, and then somebody wants to postpone them to it. But, again, we may want to vote on them now. I don't know the answer to that. I just have a straight meeting so we know we're there.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any other
discussion on setting those two work sessions and one Policy Board meeting.
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All in
favor?
(Policy Board Members and Policy Board Member Proxies say "aye" in unison.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any
opposed?
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don't have a Resolution 2019-25. That's an agenda item. POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: That's what it's going to be.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: Call it Agenda Item 9, 10?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: If you just want to clarify the Fairhope -- yeah -- Gayfer sidewalk -- Gayfer Turning Lane Project. Excuse me.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: Sir, if I could ask a question. Are we expecting the City of Fairhope to provide us additional information about these projects, or are we just going through the administrative hoops that we feel necessary?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON: You can count on it.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: It may be that we have sufficient information in the file from when it got added to the Visionary List, but maybe not. Sarah says no.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: That's all the information $I$ had was the cost estimate. And it was just a total cost estimate. It didn't break it down into utility and the right-of-way or --

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: One
comment, too, that $I$ wanted to address that Mr. Johnson
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made about taking it back to the council and certainly concerns with cost overruns.

And you indicated earlier in this meeting that all of our resolutions at this point had indicated that all cost overruns would be borne by the sponsor. So unless y'all want to propose something different, I would rely on that. And let's make sure y'all have an accurate -- I would rather the project be ten (10\%) or twenty percent (20\%) higher than if we did have to come back and ask for additional funds, so we can budget appropriately as opposed to kind of coming back.

We've already done one additional appropriation that basically increased the cost by sixty-six percent (66\%). I mean, those are big misses. And I know that's something that we've tried to focus on in Daphne.

Let's don't streamline the numbers too much and have to come back for additional monies. And I hope we want to take that same approach here with the Policy Board, one bite of the apple, and make sure it's a good estimate.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So did we answer your questions, Commissioner?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: I think so.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So we're
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going to get some more information, bring it up. I would hope to go ahead, in case there's additional questions as to who puts it on the work session.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Do we got a motion?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: I'd like to make a motion to table the Fairhope Gayfer Turning Lane Project and the County -- Baldwin County, County Road 64 Road Improvements until the meeting on the 21 st.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Certainly, we'll put it on work sessions either way. There is a motion by Councilman Scott. I know we haven't really talked about the second item yet.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: we need to.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Is there a second or no?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: Can we just make the motion to just do the one project and then talk about this one?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: That's fine.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion has been amended by Councilman Scott to just relate to the Fairhope project. Is there a second?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: Second.
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POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Second
Mayor McMillan. Any further discussion?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All in

## favor?

(Policy Board Members and Policy Board Member Proxies say "aye" in unison.)

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any opposed?
(No response.)
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion carries.

Ayes: 4 POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Dane Haygood, Ron Scott, Joe Davis, Mike McMillan

5 PROXY POLICY BOARD MEMBERS: Richard Johnson, Brian Aaron, Robert Davis, Joey Nunnally, Kevin Boone.

No: $\quad 0$ Board Members: (None)
MOTION CARRIED

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So that
item will be on the Eastern Shore MPO agenda on the 21st, and we'll take it up in the work session on the 7 th. And any information you get to us sooner rather than later, I think everybody will appreciate it.

Now the second agenda item that was added regarding the County project on County Road 64. Joey, wave your
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POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: Well, now, so I guess what I would have to say is what I've heard from consistently on multiple boards is this project is a very valid project. Everybody's onboard with this -- with the need of this project.

We've got the school that's opening up very soon. And we're kind of under a timeline to move forward with this.

Last year this board approved design funds for this project. The design's currently underway. This money that I'm asking the board to approve today is going to be for the right-of-way acquisition and the utility relocation phase. There's still no money for construction.

So we're just kind of -- the best way to eat a elephant is one bite at a time, and that's what we're trying to do here, because this is a pretty large project.

Obviously, these are budgetary numbers. What we submitted here with this application form was not a real detailed. I can give those to you now, today, to get this project moving forward if you need that.

Obviously, it's still under design, so these are budgetary numbers. So I was kind of reading through what
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we have as far as right-of-way costs, three hundred thousand dollars $(\$ 300,000)$. And that based off of just the price of the right-of-way that's out there now that, you know, after we acquired our appraisals.

There's a large power line out there that we've been speaking with the power company, that's costing five hundred fifty thousand (\$550,000). There's a large gas line out there that's got to be relocated, and the cost is three hundred thirty thousand $(\$ 330,000)$.

There is a fiber optic cable out there. We've been talking with them and got a budgetary number of three hundred thirty thousand $(\$ 330,000)$ for them. Sewer is three hundred thirty thousand $(\$ 330,000)$, and a water line, three hundred thirty thousand $(\$ 330,000)$.

We've gotten all these budgetary numbers from the individual utility owners, so $I$ feel like we've kind of done our homework from a budgetary standpoint. And I'd love to see this project move forward, if this board so moves.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Just a couple of quick questions just to put this thing holistically. I think everybody agrees that we'd like to see the project done.

Sometimes it's out of necessity when the projects fall, kind of piecemilling it in phases. This project
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submittal we're being asked for is only for utility relocates and right-of-way acquisition.

So remind me what the engineering costs and what that that we've already performed some of that, allocated those funds through the MPO, so what was that total engineering cost?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Five hundred thirty-five thousand (\$535,000).

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Five hundred thirty-five thousand $(\$ 535,000)$. That was total project?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: And then so of that eighty percent (80\%) was -- it was MPO, twenty percent (20\%). So roughly --

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY:
Twenty percent (20\%) was the County, twenty percent (20\%) was all full County, one hundred (100) percent County.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So five hundred thirty-five $(\$ 535,000)$, and then we're talking about utility relocates and right-of-way to the tune of estimated cost of two-point-one-seven million $(\$ 2,170,000)$.

And what is the plan to do that without ever constructing -- that doesn't do any citizens any good, so
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what would be the plan to actually construct the improvements? How much is this anticipated to cost? And what's the funding strategy for that?

Because if we're talking about another two million dollars $(\$ 2,000,000)$ and we're looking at the MPO for that, it's a four million dollar (\$4,000,000) decision, not a two million dollar $(\$ 2,000,000)$ decision. I think costs factors in heavily. So we've got to paint the picture before we take the next step.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: No, that's a great question actually. So, originally, I had actually had in mind to submit this project for an ATRIP-II funding package. The ATRIP-II funding rules, I'm kind of starting to get the limelight now. They've not been fully adopted by the ATRIP-II Committee up in Montgomery.

But I have received a copy of them. And this project could be funded -- it's a possibility of it being funded from the ATRIP-II standpoint. So that's one funding possibility that we would just have to submit for and see if we could get it.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Well, at the work session, you had indicated that you had gotten some guidance that it wasn't eligible, at least this portion of the project wasn't eligible for ATRIP-II based
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on the draft.
But I talked to Senator Elliott about it specifically. He's, you know -- he's going to be on that committee. And he indicated that, you know, he wasn't sure if it's the right project for that program and he thought that it wouldn't be eligible for sure.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: Yeah. And that's -- I had a discussion with him after I made those statements as well. That's why, so we can't do that.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: Did I miss
something? What is the construction cost? Do you know that at this point?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: I mean, $I$ can give you a budgetary number.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: But --
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: It's probably going to be about four mill (\$4,000,000).

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So really this is about a six and a half million dollar $(\$ 6,500,000)$ dollar project?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: That's correct.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: So -- and this is the same thing we're trying to fight through, you
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know, with the City of Daphne, understanding the total project cost, understanding where you -- And sometimes you have to divide and conquer to get it done.

But I would think there's got to be a tight coupling to some degree in utility relocates and construction phase. How long is the utility relocate phase supposed to take?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: Once we get the design completed -- I mean, obviously, the design's probably going to be eight or nine months. Then the right-of-way acquisition phase is probably going to be about four to five months. The utility phase will start after that, which will mean we're probably looking at, I don't know, maybe six or seven months down the road from that. So, you know, that's pushing the project timeline, you know.

POLICY BOARD PROXY MEMBER ROBERT DAVIS: Well,
this cost estimate could go up, you know.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: Yeah.
These are all budgetary numbers.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: The school
opens a year from next week or two weeks.
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: That
is correct. And we're already behind the eight ball.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD:
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County's -- I know we're all probably looking at the budget as well; right? And so is that something the County is looking to fund without help? I know your preference is to have assistance of the MPO.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY:
You're talking about the twenty percent (20\%) match or you talking about construction?

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: I'm talking about, you know, if y'all go and advance it, I mean, if the MPO didn't allocate funds, but we weren't here, the County had to find a way to get it done. And I don't know if y'all are depending on grant forces in order to move the project along, or if this is a way to lessen the financial burden.

The need is there. The school is coming either way, right, regardless of whether we do this project or not. The question is, is the County committed, or would the project not move forward without some funding, whether it's ATRIP 2.0 or whether it's MPO's help or some other grant program?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: If the MPO doesn't help fund this, then this project won't happen. Does that answer your question?

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: That
utility relocate and the construction phase, or just the
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utility relocate phase?
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: If the MPO doesn't help with the funding of the right-of-way and the utility costs, the project is --

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: MY question is construction. I need to know if -- the consideration for me -- whether we're talking about a six million dollar $(\$ 6,000,000)$ project that's being requested of the MPO or whether it's just help with utility and right-of-way acquisition, which is a smaller number. It's one-point-seven $(\$ 1,700,000)$.

But, to me, that's a fundamental difference in evaluating whether the MPO would be able to participate. So I guess I'm asking what is the plan for construction if the TRIP 2.0 doesn't work out for you or the timing of it doesn't work out? Are we going to be back here asking the MPO for four more million dollars $(\$ 4,000,000)$ ?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: I'm sure that the County Commission will probably be asking this Committee to help fund the construction in the future if it is -- future funds that this MPO may get. How much of that, I don't know. I mean, obviously, that's a -- that's four Commissioners' decision that -and I'm just representing one so.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: But your
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critical path is right-of-way and -- right-of-way right now, even more so than --

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY:
Utilities.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Because we've already funded engineering. So we've already committed five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) to it.

One of the other discussions we had that kind of popped up is that there's nothing -- the match Federal funding eighty percent (80\%) and twenty percent (20\%) match is not rigid. It says the Federal funding can not exceed eighty percent ( $80 \%$ ). So, much like Greg Cooper's done, we're all familiar with that, $I$ think there is an opportunity to participate, you know.

What jumps out at me -- And I'd be happy to advance along here today to help -- would be for the MPO to put in help with this phase and ask for the County to put up fifty percent (50\%) of it and fifty percent (50\%) of it to come from MPO funds so that we can move on. So it's only an eight hundred fifty thousand dollar (\$850,000) ask.

You know, that's something we -- because I think the necessity of this is there. Hopefully, that would be something that would be well received in order to advance it along.
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POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: So the other funds that don't get used goes back to the pot for another project?

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: I'm not sure what you're asking. No fund is allocated, so it's one-point-seven million dollar (\$1,7,00,000) cost. And we'd say the motion -- the concept that I'm suggesting, that I would be very supportive of, is to advance this along with a fifty percent (50\%) match from the County with a total construction right-of-way acquisition cost not to exceed one-point-one million dollars (\$1,100,000). It's just a different match percentage. And that would enable it to move forward pretty quickly. So the County would have to come up with fifty percent (50\%) match in order to advance it along.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: I guess what I'm saying is if there's an additional project that's in the wings out there that uses the other money that you're proposing to leave in the MPO's pot, I would love to know what that is.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: McMillan already said he's about to fill the pot. I think you're going to see Daphne fill the pot. So you're going to be able to see those needs pretty quickly. But we don't have a way to evaluate priority.
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And so the problem that we're having is the timing issue is what's dictating priority. And I think that's fundamentally wrong. It should not be when someone brings -- timing of when you're asking for it to determine where the funds are allocated.

I think we're all smarter than that at this table and that we want to represent the Eastern Shore MPO projects be based on merit, you know, or some other strategy that we develop and agree upon.

I want to get this project done. And I think it's important for the school. I do think 64's important, east/west corridor. So I'd love to find some way to do it.

But, to me, it's improved planning. We know it's a six and a half million dollar $(\$ 6,500,000)$ ask and you've for asked five hundred thousand $(\$ 500,000)$ allocated. Now we're asking for one-point-seven $(\$ 1,700,000)$ to be allocated. And then it may or may not be a four million dollar $(\$ 4,000,000)$ ask, or more, as its time shifted twenty-four (24) months down the road.

I have a hard time making decisions like that. And I don't think that's in the best interest of your citizens for us to handle it that way.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: I guess my only concern about starting the precedence --
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maybe setting precedence of maybe funding things at 50-50 instead of 80-20. I guess it kind of goes back to your point earlier, you know, is that a standard that we're going to follow in the future for different projects to be submitted?

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: I was trying to find a way that $I$ would support voting for it right here and now, so I could get y'all moving down the road. And I think that's important. That's all I'm giving you is some opinions.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: Well, if I
could. As one of those four Commissioners, we came to you with our needs based on this part of this project. Our concept is that we look under ever rock to find partners and other resource.

ATRIP is one of them. We have a pretty steady dialogue with ALDOT on a number of things. And to ask for the total amount and expect that we're going to ask y'all to pay for all of it, I think, is just not genuine in terms of -- addressing what we're asking for here.

And recognize that we will pursue construction costs from a number of sources. And we'll probably come back and ask y'all to participate. You can choose to or not, but you would have done this part of the project, and we would have been this much further down the line.
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And then we'll continue to look under rocks to find other funding sources as they come. But, I mean, if you're looking to find out what the total thing is going to cost, we won't know until we get some of this right-of-way and these utility things nailed down.

So our request is for what it is right here. And the construction costs, sure. We'll develop those numbers, and we'll share them with you, and we'll ask you to participate.

But the project's going to go forward. Y'all can either participate with us at this level right now, and choose whether or not to participate at another junction. But, I mean, we can spin our wheels all day long, guys. But we needed to have done this two years ago. And so now we've got a chance to move it forward.

Please fund this and go through whatever administrative hoops we have to go through to get this part of it moving forward. And we will keep you in the loop relative to who and how we'll pay for the construction. And you'll get an opportunity to participate.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY RICHARD JOHNSON:
Thank you. Mr. Chairman, we've been here an hour and forty-four minutes. And $I$ get to do this all again at one o'clock, RESTORE Act meeting. So since we're judging
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the applications based upon ink, their ink and our ink were exactly the same. But they had a picture. We didn't.

We've got a work session. That work session falls in the next three weeks. I make a motion that we table this request to that meeting on 21 st and make sure it's a topic of discussion.

I think this is a great project. And, you know, we got -- we can't sit here all day and keep going in circles. That's money.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Motion by Johnson.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Second.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Seconded by Councilman Scott.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: Question. How much money do we have in the road resurface?

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: As of October 1, we'll have three and half $(\$ 3,500,000)$.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD:
Three-point-two $(\$ 3,200,000)$ right now with a hundred and eighty (\$180,000) coming.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yeah. Right.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All right. Motion seconded. Any further discussion?
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POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: All right.
Tell me again what we're voting on? This motion is going to do what to this project?

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Table it to the 21st. We'll talk about it on the 7th.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: And do you want us bring more information? Is there something else you need to know in order to move on this part? Yes or no, that's my point.

POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: I think you would need -- voicing my opinion, you would need what Joey gave us in writing, plus you need a resolution from the staff.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: We'll have resolutions prepared regardless.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: For me, personally, I'd like to see commitment that, you know, we'll fund it in some other way, the project, that either, you know, either ATRIP-II or the County will fund it. And we're not coming back here and say we need to know what the total allotment for the project, as a whole, is going to be, short of MPO, in order to make a decision and kind of doing it in stages. The unknown future funding request to me is concerning.

Any other discussion?
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going to give you a project update.

## ADAPTIVE SIGNAL

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY BRIAN AARON: I will try be brief. The adaptive signal project has been accepted for maintenance as it was designed and let to contract. Parker Road signal will be coming online, should be by the end of August, along with Bay Shore Christian School with that new signal. So that is up and operational. We continue to monitor that system. But we are seeing improvements in that corridor with the adaptive signal system.

## SR 181 WIDENING

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY BRIAN AARON: 181
widening continues with utility relocation, as previously stated back at the April meeting. Still on target to finish that utility relocation by the end of the year, and actually hope to start widening potentially before that in some areas that area available to construct.

US 31
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY BRIAN AARON: US 31 continues with drainage, basing, paving operations. It's getting asphalt down, so good progress happening on 31.
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SR 181 AND I-10, DIVERGING DIAMOND
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY BRIAN AARON: 181
DDI, we are widening up around the interstate ramps. That work is continuing as well as drainage work down Highway 90. And so we're still on schedule there.

## INTERSECTION ALIGNMENT AT US 90/SR 59

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY BRIAN AARON: And our intersection alignment at US 90 and $S R$ 59, we are in the right-of-way acquisition phase. We intend to -- as long as everything goes well with that acquisition, we're looking at a letting toward the end of the year, in December, with starting construction first of the year.

## CALL FOR PROJECTS - PLANNING FUNDS

## BRATS LETTER OF SUPPORT

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Okay. The call for projects and BRATS letter of support, I sent that information out to y'all.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Quick question. As it's listed in our packet on Page 246 is a little bit different. It had the project updates, but adaptive signal was one of the ones in Fairhope transit hub. Is it the same update that's made it as an agenda
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on the packet?
MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Yes, sir. Okay.

## VISIONARY LIST

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: And the Visionary List, we do ask -- we're going to make a new policy that when you submit an item for the Visionary List, that you indicate whether you plan to pursue MPO funds or if this is a grant, you're pursuing another grant. So we will add a box on our project submittal form that we just ask you to indicate that when you submit it to the Visionary List.

## PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT UPDATE

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Planning and development update, Katrina is not going to go through everything, but she will go through --

MS. KATRINA TAYLOR: I will keep it short and simple. This is the planning and development update for the second quarter of the 2019 calendar year. The spreadsheets are located in your agenda packets in the front pocket.

We only track final and preliminary plats that are greater than ten (10) lots or units and that are located within the planning area. And, for clarification, the
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developments are based off city limits and not planning jurisdictions.

There were one hundred sixty-two (162) preliminary lots approved, four hundred forty-six (446) final lots approved, and four hundred nine (409) building permits approved.

As you can see in the graph, the preliminary and final lots remain pretty consistent, where building permits had a substantial increase by thirty-three percent (33\%) from the first quarter, from three hundred seven (307) to four hundred nine (409) this quarter. But on your spreadsheet, it has the development, location, density, area, number of lots.

Do you have any questions?
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Thank y'all for visualizing that. That's really interesting to see the relationship, the preliminary versus final. I know y'all continue to collect that data and find ways to make it easier to process. So it's much appreciated.

Any other questions for Katrina?
(No response.)

## NEXT MPO MEETINGS

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Your next meeting -well, is August the 7 th at 10 ' clock in Fairhope.
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## PUBLIC FORUM

## MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Do we have any members of the public that want to address the Policy Board?
(No response.)

## MEMBERS OF THE PRESS

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Any members of the press?
(No response.)

## BOARD/COMMITTEE MEMBERS

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: All right, Or any committee member comments? Joey?

POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY JOEY NUNNALLY: I'm good.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Kevin?
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY KEVIN BOONE: No.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Joe?
POLICY BOARD MEMBER JOE DAVIS: I made a
commitment about four months ago to make sure that I mention the importance of the census that will be coming up. And I promised that I'd mention it at every public
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meeting that $I$ participated in.
We'll all be involved in making sure that everybody counts. And, as you know, we're growing by seventeen (17) people a day. But we need to make sure that the census is accurate, because it influences Federal, State, and all kinds of dollars that we could be eligible for.

So that's my commitment, to remind you of the importance of the census. Thank you.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Ron? POLICY BOARD MEMBER RON SCOTT: No.

POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Mike?
POLICY BOARD MEMBER MIKE MCMILLAN: No.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Brian?
POLICY BOARD MEMBER PROXY BRIAN AARON: No.
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: I'll just take one moment of privilege here, and I would like to thank Loxley for hosting us here today.

But I also wanted to say congratulations to Richard Teal that's now the Mayor of Loxley. And certainly our condolences go out the entire City and the Middleton family for the loss of Mayor Middleton.

But you've been a regular attendee at our meetings, and now have a new title. And we appreciate your public service. And thank you for hosting us here today.

MS. SARAH HART SISLAK: Please leave your
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agenda packets. And also the Town of Loxley has been
gracious enough, there's some desserts back here, some
drinks, some cake. So, please, help yourself.
ADJOURNMENT
POLICY BOARD CHAIRMAN DANE HAYGOOD: Meeting is
adjourned.
(The Eastern Shore MPO Policy Board Meeting was adjourned at
11:57 a.m.)
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