# PROCEEDINGS HELD BEFORE THE <br> BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT \#2 <br> PLANNING (ZONING) DISTRICT BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT <br> October 14, 2019 

The following proceedings were held on this the 14th day of October, 2019, at the Baldwin County Central Annex, Main Auditorium, 22251

Palmer Street, Robertsdale, Alabama, before Susan C. Andrews, Certified Court Reporter Number 287.
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## PROCEED I NGS <br> 1-CALL TO ORDER

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: All right. I believe we will go ahead and call this meeting to order. Ms. Linda, could you proceed with a roll call?

## 2 - ROLL CALL

MS. LINDA LEE: Yes, sir. Mr. Bias.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Here.
MS. LINDA LEE: Ms. Hope.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE:
Here.
MS. LINDA LEE: Mr. Cochran.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: Here.
MS. LINDA LEE: Mr. Chairman, you have a quorum.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Thank you.

3 - APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MEETING MINUTES (SEPTEMBER 9, 2019)

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: We will proceed with approval of the previous meeting minutes from September 9th,
2019.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Make a motion that we accept the minutes as requested.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE: I second it.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: All those in favor, say aye.
(Commission Members say "aye" in unison.)
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: All opposed?
(No response.)
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Minutes are approved.

4 - ANNOUNCEMENTS/REGISTRATION TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF
ADJUSTMENT
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Linda, any announcements for the board?
MS. LINDA LEE: Just my usual
announcement. Anyone that has a cellphone, if you will, please make sure that you turn it off or turn it to silent. If you need to make a call or take a call, please go out into the vestibule. When the chairman calls your name, you need to come up to the podium. Sometimes we're not sure of your name and need you to state your name for the court reporter.
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If you do not wish to speak when he calls your name, you can tell him you pass or that you only signed up to answer questions. Okay.

5 - CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS AND REQUESTS

5-A - CASE NO. V-190038 TROYKE PROPERTY
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Thank you. We'll proceed with the first case, V-190038.

MS. LINDA LEE: Okay. This is the Troyke Property. The subject property is located at 12059 County Road 1 in Planning District 26. The property is zoned RSF-2, Residential Single-Family District.

The applicant is requesting a variance to allow for the construction of a new single-family dwelling. The zoning requirement at issue is the rear road side setback. The applicant proposed constructing the dwelling eighteen (18) feet from the rear property line, which would be a variance of twelve (12) feet.

This property was approved for a twenty (20) foot rear setback in 2014. The proposed dwelling has a two (2) foot cantilevered bathroom on the rear that requires the additional approval.

On your screen is the locator map. This is the site photography. This is a picture of the subject property and adjoining property to the
east, adjoining property to the west. And this the proposed site plan that was submitted.

Staff feels this is a reasonable request due to the fact that it was consistent with previous variance approvals, and due also to the presence of area topographic factors, including the small size of the property, the right-of-way on County Road 1, and the $V$-Zone setback.

As a result, staff recommends that Case Number V-190038 be approved. I'll answer any questions you may have for me.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Questions for staff?
(No response.)
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: All right. With that, we will open the public hearing. I have a Kenny Roberson signed up to speak.

MR. KENNY ROBERSON: I'm Kenny Roberson. I'm representing the Troykes, I'm their contractor.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Any questions for the applicant?

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: I don't have any.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE: (Indicates negatively.)

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
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BIAS: Thank you, sir.
MR. KENNY ROBERSON: All right. Thank you.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Anyone else that wishes to speak on this case?
(No response.)
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: With that, we will close the public hearing. Any additional comments from staff or questions for staff?

MS. LINDA LEE: No, sir, I don't have any more comments.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: I don't have any questions. I want to make motion that we accept per staff's recommendations.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE: I'll second it.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Ms. Linda, will you proceed with the rollcall vote?

MS. LINDA LEE: Yes.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Okay. Proceed with the vote, please.
MS. LINDA LEE: Ms. Hope.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE:
Yes.
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MS. LINDA LEE: Mr. Cochran.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: Yes.
MS. LINDA LEE: Mr. Bias.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Yes.
MS. LINDA LEE: Motion carries.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Okay.

## 5-B - CASE NO. V-190039 MONTIEL FAMILY INVESTMENTS LTD

## PROPERTY

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Proceeding with the next case, V-190039.
MS. LINDA LEE: Okay. The applicant is requesting a variance to allow for the construction of a new single-family dwelling. The zoning requirement at issue is the north and south side setbacks. As I'm sure you're all aware, this was presented in August, and the Board voted to deny.

The applicant came back in September and requested a rehearing. And so based on, you know, the information that the applicant submitted, the Board granted them a re-hearing, and so now we're here for a new case.

The subject property is located on 24181 Bay Shore Drive in Planning District 16. This is the
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locator map. And this is the aerial photography.
This is a picture of the subject property. This is the adjoining property to the north, and this is the adjoining property to the south.

According to the survey submitted, the property is thirty-five (35) feet wide on the road side and forty-nine (49) feet wide on the water side.

The approximate square footage is sixteen thousand, three hundred seven $(16,307)$ square-feet. The minimum lot width for properties zoned RSF-2 is eighty (80) feet at the building line. As a result, the lot is nonconforming.

However, due to the fact that the property is a lot of record, which was preexisting at the time zoning was adopted, it may be used as a building site but must conform with all zoning requirements with the exception of square footage and lot width.

The applicant has proposed constructing the dwelling five-point-nine-two (5.92) feet from the northeast property line, which would be a variance of four-point-zero-eight (4.08) feet, seven-point-four-four (7.44) feet from the northwest property line, which would be a variance two-point-five-six (2.56) feet, and five (5) feet from the south property line, which
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variance of five (5) feet.
The width of the property of the proposed dwelling site is forty-point-six-one (40.61) feet on the east side and forty-two-point-nine-seven (42.97) feet on the west side.

This is just a closer view of the proposed site plan showing the proposed setbacks. It also shows you the fifty (50) foot setback from the highest tide line. And, as you see, they have added the measurements at twenty (20) foot intervals as requested by the Board.

Staff does feel that this is a reasonable request based on the narrowness of the parcel, and staff recommends approval of Case Number V-190039. I'll answer any questions you may have for me.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: My copy is kind of small here. We were looking at the mean tide, high tide; is that right? Where is this on this sketch?

MS. LINDA LEE: Okay. And it might be on the bigger one you have, but if you look on the one on the screen -- hold on, let me walk over here. Down in this corner, Mr. Cochran.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Okay.

MS. LINDA LEE: Okay. Down here in the corner they show, I think, the mean high tide
line, and then they gave you a measurement that says fifty (50) feet is the highest tide line.

It looks like the line starts at the mean high tide. I don't know if the surveyor just, you know, labeled it differently or what.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: How far do you have to setback for the mean high tide?

MS. LINDA LEE: The setback in the VE Flood Zone is fifty (50) feet from the reach of the mean high tide. So they just wanted you all to see where the high tide line was, where the mean high tide -- I'm sorry. The fifty (50) foot setback from the mean high tide line -- highest tide line.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Linda, can you talk a little bit about the difference between VE Zone and the AE Zone?

MS. LINDA LEE: Well, you know, those are FEMA flood zones. And they have different height elevations based on which flood zone you're in.

The VE is considered high velocity, so you have more storm surge in the VE flood zone. And then in the $A E$ flood zone, because even in $A E$ you have different levels of elevation that is required.

Basically, for zoning, we just have setbacks

1
based on what flood zone you're in, because the height part is governed by the building code. BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Thank you.

MS. LINDA LEE: You're welcome.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Also, another question. When this case originally was discussed back in August --

MS. LINDA LEE: Yes, sir.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: -- what was staff's recommendation for that case?

MS. LINDA LEE: Approval.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Thank you.
MS. LINDA LEE: You're welcome.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Any other questions?

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: I've got a question. How wide is the house that we're -- what width -- do we need to not have a variance here?

MS. LINDA LEE: Well --
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: Well, the house is how wide?
MS. LINDA LEE: Hold on.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: Subject property house.
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MS. LINDA LEE: Hold on, let me answer you. The house that they are proposing, if I'm not mistaken, is twenty-nine-point -- I think it's six (29.6), but I'll have to get my staff report to say for certain.

It's twenty-nine-point-something is the width of the house that he is proposing on the northeast side, and then on the -- no, I'm sorry, on the east side of the property, on the west side of the property, the house is thirty-one-point-something feet wide.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Okay. Thirty-point-five-three (30.53), and then twenty-nine-point-six-seven (29.67). So it's not quite a square.

MS. LINDA LEE: Right, because of the way the house -- the way the top of it widens out, I guess, he chose to have his house --

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: So the widest portion of the house, you need how much -- you need how much to not have a variance on each side?

MS. LINDA LEE: Okay. All I can tell you is at that widest portion, the property is forty-two-point-nine-seven (42.97) feet wide.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Okay.

MS. LINDA LEE: Which means that you are
going to have ten (10) feet on each side, you'll
be building house that is
twenty-two-point-nine-seven (22.97) feet.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: So it's not feasible for this house?
MS. LINDA LEE: I'm not saying whether or not it's feasible. I guess I'm -- you know, the applicant would like to build what they think is a reasonable size dwelling. And staff has no problem with a house that's not -- you know, it'll be thirty (30) feet wide.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Right. We requested the surveyor to do every twenty (20) feet --

MS. LINDA LEE: Yes.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: -- and find out how wide it is at that point.

MS. LINDA LEE: Correct.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: And so we've got those dimensions in there?

MS. LINDA LEE: Yes, sir. So if you look at survey that's on the screen, the numbers in the middle, going straight down, those are the measurements at those twenty (20) foot intervals. And I believe you have some large copies in front of you as well.
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BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Any other questions?
(No response.)
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Well, with that, we'll open up the public hearing. First person signed up Mr. Murphy.

MR. PAT MURPHY: My name is Pat Murphy, and I'm the applicant for the variance. This is the third time we've gone through this, so I'm not going to go through it. I just wanted to bring up a couple points.

One, I want to talk about District 26 , which you guys -- I believe that is your district, Ms. Hope, that currently in the Baldwin County ordinance is -- there is already an ordinance given for $R S-2$-- RSF-2, single-family classifications.

And the Planning District 26 has adopted a local provision for this particular reason, to accommodate narrow and irregularly shaped lots. That allows a thirteen (13) foot separation between buildings to allow the narrowness and the hardship presented by it to the property owner.

For example, there is an address, 137 -- 733 off Scenic Highway 98 in Point Clear. It's a fifty (50) foot wide lot on the water. It's a fifty (50) foot wide lot at the street, no narrowness at all with this piece of property. a year ago, a thirty-seven (37) foot wide house, three (3) foot setback on one side, same foot setback on the other, thirteen (13) feet between structures.

And that ordinance is in the -- in the booklet already published, that they did not have to come in front of you guys to get a variance.

We're asking for a twenty-nine-and-a-half (29-1/2) foot wide house on a very irregular shaped lot compared to -- I'm not sure who approved the ordinances for the county, but in District 26, this would be a given, even with the irregular shaped lot. I also wanted to bring up -- again, this is an example. I've got the address.

I also wanted to bring up some of points that have been brought up over the last four months regarding our property and the potential of us building a house.

It was stated at the last meeting, two months ago, that approving this variance would deplete the value of -- ten (10\%) to fifteen percent (15\%) of the value of the homes around the neighborhood if this variance was granted.

If that's the situation, the property value has already been impacted by that, because the house on north side of the property -- on the
north side, which you can see in the drawing, you
can see their deck is five-and-a-half (5-1/2)
feet from the property line.

The variance we're asking for is greater than that. So if there's been an impact to the property value, it's already been absorbed, because that house is currently sitting closer than what we're asking for.

The second point that's been brought up is that it's one hundred percent (100\%) economics, where we're asking the neighborhood to be impacted economically for our gain.

The variance application at 18.6.2, standards for approval for a variance, lists two things; exceptional narrowness, which this lot has and the County has approved it; and also that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation of property rights and not merely to serve as convenience to the applicant or based solely upon economic loss.

This isn't -- we're not coming here for a economic loss. This is for a narrowness we're asking for this variance.

The third objection that has come up is a risk for fire. As stated earlier, this County has approved a thirteen (13) foot separation between buildings and granted that that is safe from fire protection.
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It's in District 26. They have agreed that that is far enough away from a fire perspective. Also, the County mandates a firewall if greater -- if closer than five (5) feet from the property line, of which our structure will be greater than five (5) feet from the property line.

We have stated that we will build a firewall, even though, per code, you don't have to. We would build a firewall on the north side of our house to allow for ease of mind from a property perspective.

The fourth thing that came up was the north neighbor that's listed requested any structure that's built on this property to not be built closer to the water than their home.

We met with the neighbors a couple weeks ago, and the first thing that came up was not -- a home not built any closer to the water. Because when they stood on their porch, they would like to have the same view that they've always had.

And they've had this house for a very, very long time in their family. And I think that's fantastic. One of the requests was not break their view.

We've committed be equal to or further away from the water to not obstruct any view from that house. That was our commitment to them. And so
they've asked for no home to be built closer to the property line to my north side.

The fifth one that was bought up was the thirteen (13) feet apart between structures. During a potential high tide or a storm or hurricane, the objection was that's far enough for debris to be able to flow between houses.

I went and looked. The pilings between my -the north house that's sitting on the north property is nine (9) feet, four (4) inches apart from each other, as was all the other houses. I don't believe there's any thirteen (13) feet between pilings.

So it would be by the grace of God that any debris that came in would funnel between houses and not hit houses directly. So we're still over four -- almost four (4) feet separation than the pilings under my north neighbors house.

Those are five big concerns that were brought up. You know, again, we're -- we're requesting an application where we've accommodated centering the homes.

One thing that was brought up, again, I forgot to mention, in the depletion of property value, Mr. Allen and I did meet the neighbors, and it was requested why don't we build a duplex, put our houses together.

Well, if that's the situation, if we're
> worried about property value, duplexes are not an approved structure on this street. And if it's property value, why would the suggestion of a duplex be done?

And so, you know, again, this is for a narrowness of lot. There's already a variance -there's already an ordinance -- zoning ordinance in place for District 26 for this particular reason. And we're just asking for the County to apply that same ordinance to this district.

It's not a Montrose ordinance; it's a County ordinance. And so we're just asking for that to be applied here for the thirteen (13) foot structure. And I'll be happy to answer any questions you guys may have.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Any questions for the applicant? BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: No.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE: (Indicates negatively.)

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Thank you, sir.

MR. PAT MURPHY: Thank you.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: The next person signed up is Glenda Montiel.

MS. GLENDA MONTIEL: This evolution of
the concept of Planning and Zoning was intended to provide a roadmap for the future development of balanced community with growing populations, realizing that the one-size-fits-all regulations would present hardships for some property owners to implement.

So boards of adjustment were also created to offer a process to allow reasonable relief for those landowners, which is why we are all here today, again.

Because it has been determined by the zoning administrative staff that the two lots do qualify for hardship created by the rigid side setbacks imposed in 1993, following the development of Red Gully Subdivision in 1949, when there was no designated setbacks at all on -- for each lot.

It's my understanding that our Board of Adjustment is comprised of citizen who are appointed and who generously give their time to uphold their duties considering applications for landowners and listening to the public to determine if variances are granted.

The applications under consideration both have met the threshold required for variances and have each been recommended for approval by the zoning administrative staff three times -- well, two times. The last time was just to be reheard.

Their purpose is to determine the eligibility
of applicants for variances. Determining factors supporting their approval or their suggestion to approval -- to approve are that the lots are nonconforming due to their shapes and dimensions as platted in 1949, before zoning -- well, planning and zoning was ever implemented in 1993, as Red Gully Subdivision. They were two lots, not a lot that has been subdivided, as some people think it may have been.

The staff's recommendation to approve the variances preserves the property rights of the owner to construct a single-family dwelling, which conforms with the new norm that's been established by homeowners who have come in and built more luxurious dwellings, that are beautiful. And they have really improved the neighborhood.

They have also determined that granting the variances would not impair light and air to adjacent properties, would not unreasonably increase congestion of the public streets, would not increase the danger of fire, would not impair public safety, would not diminish or impair established property values in the neighborhood, and in my opinion, would improve the value -- the property values.

And they also -- in any respect, the property would not be impaired -- would not impair the
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health, safety, comfort, morals, or general welfare of inhabitants of Baldwin County.

These determinations should put neighbors' concerns at ease regarding potential impacts that they have shown their concern for, impacts that, I agree, could be horrible and needed to be addressed.

But now that has been cleared. And I -- I personally understand resistance to change. And I respect the public and neighbors' concerns regarding how the variances would impact them.

But I think we've tried and have successfully addressed all of the complaints or concerns that they have had, other than just concerns that are personal and subjective that may or may not be considered. Thank you.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Any questions for Ms. Montiel?

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: No.

MS. GLENDA MONTIEL: Yes?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Oh, I was asking the board if we have questions for you.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: No questions.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Thank you. The next speaker is George --
SUSAN C. ANDREWS, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER NO. 287

1

George, I apologize, I don't know your last name here. If you wouldn't mind coming up --

MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: You won't be the first.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: -- if you wouldn't mind coming up here and telling the reporter on the record what your last name is.

MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: I am George Sakellarides. Déjà vu. Don't want to repeat -I don't know if you read the minutes of the last meeting, don't want to repeat all of that. I have a drawing up there of 24255 Bay Shore Drive, a house I built in 2010.

BOARD MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Is that that picture right there? We're trying to find it. I think it's the big drawing, is what you're referring to.

MS. LINDA LEE: It's the one set that you only had the one set of.

MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: I only had the one set.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: There's a stack over there.

MS. LINDA LEE: No, no, no. It's the big one. This one.

MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: What I wish to point out, while y'all are looking at it, is to
like at the mean high rise, the house was built back further. Do y'all see that?

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: (Indicates affirmatively.)
MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: At -- it's a fifty (50) foot setback from the mean high rise, I built a house five (5) feet further back. And I have no -- I have not had any problem building that close to the property or anything.

So what I would -- Was it Camille was a year ago last October? When I went to build, I also asked for a variance. My contractor says, you're in the Montrose area, and there's never really been one granted. He says, I suggest you forget about it.

I went with it. I had no problems. I forgot in 2015, I think, was the other -- Bodiford had the property next to me, and he was selling it. And they asked for a one-and-a-half (1-1/2) foot variance that was denied.

So I'm basing it all on history. No one wants change. They want to maintain the same appearance. This is the Montrose area. It's not Spanish Fort. It's the county. We want to maintain, as we all have previously stated from the previous meeting. But I see no problem in building closer to the water.

The neighbor that just moved in last week
next to me, he bought that property, and he didn't request a variance. And he's got a nice, big house there. And he's just as close to the water as I am. No problem.

Have any questions?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: Yeah. I've got a question. You know, it doesn't matter how close he goes to the water, he can't build this size house, because the house is thirty (30) feet across.

And if he doesn't get a variance, he's got to have ten (10) feet on each side. And that's fifty (50) feet. And the widest point on that lot, near that tide line, is forty-five (45) feet. He needs fifty (50).

He can't -- your house is exactly -- almost exactly the same width as what -- the person that's trying to get the variance. But he has a smaller lot than you do, and he can't build that lot on this thing on -- with thirty (30) foot wide house, because it's not wide enough.

We got the variance -- the widest point is forty (40) -- forty-six-point-three-zero (46.30). He can't build. So the argument for moving it closer is not going to work.

MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: Well, my suggestion is buy a bigger piece of land.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
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BIAS: George, can you tell me --
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: So there's a hardship on this land. That's what variances -- that's what -- that's what we're all about. There's a hardship on this land due to the narrowness. You didn't have a hardship on your land because --

MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: I didn't bring it up.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: No -- well, you built a house exactly the same width of this, and you didn't have to have a variance, so you didn't have a hardship on this land.

MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: I wanted a bigger house, but $I$ didn't get it.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Well, you didn't go -- did you -- well, I don't know. We just -- we just follow the rules, you know.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: George, can you tell me how wide your piece of land is? Is it --

MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: Fifty (50) feet --

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: -- a fifty (50) foot lot?

MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: Fifty (50)
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foot by four hundred (400) plus feet. I have a long driveway, I got to push the garbage all the way.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Any other questions?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: No.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE: (Indicates negatively.)

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: All right. Thank you, sir.

Next up is Mr. Roger Koby.
MR. ROGER KOBY: Okay. I paid to have this drawn up, right here. It's a twenty-four-point-five (24.5) foot house wide. That is a common-size house.

Hi. Name is Roger Koby. I live adjacent to the property in question. We -- now, are we going to make a decision on both lots together, or are we going to decide on just one, or how is this going to work?

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: We'll take these cases independently.

MS. LINDA LEE: You're adjacent to the one --

MR. ROGER KOBY: Okay.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Yeah, this is the northern of the two lots
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right now.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: We're doing one lot at the time.
MR. ROGER KOBY: Well, the lot next to it is going to affect this lot. So I'll give you my -- what $I$ have to say about -- which is really about both of them both.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: That's fine.

MR. ROGER KOBY: I apologize that I say south or north. I live adjacent, one lot over from the property in question. And I don't share the property line with that one.

I'm opposed to approval of the variance. The variance is clearly for the sole benefit of not having to build in the $V E$ Zone because of monetary considerations.

I would like to apologize to the Board for my last slightly incorrect plan. The confusion -the confusion arose from the lack of a mean high tide line that was not required when we came in front of the Board.

With that being said, I think it was a correct decision by the Board to require the mean high tide line in order to make a proper, educated decision about this variance request.

According to the building code, you can build a house fifty (50) feet behind the mean high tide
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line. Now, with the mean high tide line and the definite -- defined regulations about building in the VE Zone, I am relieved to say that my plan presented in the previous meeting was very similar to the plan presented today.

In fact, the new plan has a larger square foot than the previous plan that the Board unanimously found fair; a fifteen hundred and ninety-two $(1,592)$ square foot single story -- or in his case, $I$ think, fifteen sixty-six $(1,566)$. I can't really read it. Or is it fifteen forty-four (1,544) square foot on that house?

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Fifteen forty-four $(1,544)$.

MR. ROGER KOBY: Right. For a single story or it would be about three thousand (3,000) square foot two-story home can be built without a variance.

This property does not represent a hardship. Variances should only be granted in cases of extreme hardship. This case is an economic convenience for the prospective property owner.

If the house is located fifty (50) feet behind the mean high tide line, the setbacks can easily be met.

The house can be built twenty-four-point-five (24.5) wide by sixty-three (63) -- or that one, sixty (60)foot in length. This is a common house
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size on a fifty (50) foot lot.
I recently built a twenty-five (25) foot house in downtown Fairhope on a fifty (50) foot lot with a ten (10) foot driveway and all within -- everything within the setback.

This house is six (6) inches different than that house. And there are a lot of houses in Fairhope that are built on fifty (50) foot lots.

Again, this house plan has more square footage than my last house plan that the Board unanimously denied this variance request.

I hope the Board considers the precedent set in the last meeting. Building in the VE Zone is not a hardship. Several of the houses on our street and down the coast on Montrose are built in the VE Zone.

Most homeowners actually want to build in VE Zone, because it provides a better view. Several of the neighbors who spoke at the last meeting in opposition to the request for variance have their homes in VE Zone. They find it to be a treasure, not a hardship.

There is a reason why this property is among the least inexpensive waterfront lots in the Daphne/Historic Montrose area. You must build a smaller home, which is not bad or a hardship.

Every piece of property is created and divided with a purpose. There is nothing wrong
with designing a property to be compatible with twenty-four-point-five (24.5) foot three thousand $(3,000)$ square foot house.

If the property owner wants a thirty (30) foot wide house, he or she can easily achieve that by combining the two lots that were long ago subdivided.

As I've stressed, the variance is being sought solely for economic and personal reasons, clearly violating the ordinance for a reason to give a variance.

Baldwin County Zoning Ordinance, under 18.6.2, Standards for Approval, that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation of a property right and not merely to serve as a convenience to the applicant or based solely on economic loss.

In Section D, it increases the danger of fire, might impair health, safety, comfort, morals, or general welfare of the inhabitants of Baldwin County.

My house is not fireproof, nor is the Healy's house fireproof. They would have to build their home fireproof on the south side.

Their plan hinders access to emergency vehicles to the beach area, sides, and yard cleanup after a storm.

I feel that taking away our privacy reduces
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the real estate value. Building a house so close to ours also reduces real estate values.

Additionally, taking away the land on the side of the house will increase the flooding problems that already exist on both these properties.

I live there, and I understand this issue firsthand. If anyone wants to build a house on this property, we welcome them to the neighborhood, but they should adhere to the zoning ordinances of Baldwin County.

You can build a house on this property in multiple configurations adhering to current setbacks. If this lot does not fit the prospective buyer's desired house, then they don't need to buy the property.

A property owner cannot inflict a hardship on themselves by saying they want a bigger house. That does not qualify under the Baldwin County Ordinance.

I want to thank the Committee and the Adjustment Board and staff for your continued effort on this case.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Any questions for Mr. Koby?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: I don't think so.
MR. ROGER KOBY: You've got a copy of my
plan up there that I --
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: We do.
MR. ROGER KOBY: I --
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: And, Mr. Koby, I have a question, and I'm going to ask the applicant to come back up at end and ask him the same question.

He mentioned that there was a discussion amongst residents in the neighborhood about building houses closer to the water than previous the houses -- other houses that have been built.

So your plan has these two houses much closer to the water than you or to the neighbor to the north. Is there any --

MR. ROGER KOBY: That has not been the deal with me. That is my plan, and that is my desire, you know, the way that $I$ think that the property can be used.

I can't -- I don't want to take people's property rights away. Those people -- if they buy the property, they can use the land however they want, as long as it falls within the -- our zoning ordinances.

And that is the only way that that can be built on, is with -- with the largest house, the footprint, which is what $I$ had put on the plan, which, is the same basic one that I put on there
before that I did not have the mean high tide
line to accurately put the plan on there.

So we got as close as we could. And you can see the house is very similar in nature to the last house that we put on there.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: How did you arrive at this width on this house that you have? I think it was twenty-four (24) -- I think it was around twenty-four (24) feet wide.

MR. ROGER KOBY: It's
twenty-four-point-five (24.5).
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: Yeah, twenty-four-point-five (24.5). How do you arrive at that? Because I don't think that's really the typical house for a waterfront property in -- on the Eastern Shore.

I mean, I've been appraising for thirty-five (35) years. And I've probably done tens of thousands of houses over those thirty (30) years.

And I'm pretty familiar with the -- I've done some really big houses down there, and I've done some really, really small houses down there, and probably in the hundreds -- probably in the hundreds of thousands of houses, you know.

I've been at Boardwalk, done some up there. I've done some down on County Road 1, where the bridge kind of had some problems. I've done some
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in Montrose. I've done some on the Bluffs.
I just don't agree that
twenty-four-point-five (24.5) is a reasonable width on a house with this type of property. When you get into this category of house, you get into a very expensive site, and you expect to have a fairly large house on that site.

MR. ROGER KOBY: Yes.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: And I disagree with you about the twenty-four-point-five (24.5). I don't -- where did you get that?

MR. ROGER KOBY: Well, that's what will fit on the property. Now, if you shrink the house further forward, the house is going to get wider.

## BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL

 COCHRAN: So you're just -- you're just trying to figure out what would -- what would work on it. You're not -- you're not saying there is hardship because of the size of the house. You're just saying this will work and that's what size I'm going to put on it.MR. ROGER KOBY: I put a building footprint on the property of what could be used. Now, if you bring the house towards the water, the house is going to get wider.

So I tried to get close to the size house
that they wanted. And it is a three thousand $(3,000)$ square foot house.

I think that three thousand (3,000) is plenty big for the water. I don't disagree with you about there's a lot of big houses there, but there's a lot of big houses that are for sale that aren't selling, either.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: When you get into that -- that width of a house, and you have descriptions on widths of bedrooms and what would you expect in eight hundred thousand dollar ( $\$ 800,000$ ) home, you would expect to have a fourteen-by-fourteen (14x14) room. When you start getting into twenty-four (24), you start running out of -running out of room to do a master bedroom, master bathroom.

I mean, I just disagree that you mentioned earlier in your comments that you said twenty-four-point-five (24.5) is a common width for houses on the bay. That's what you said.

MR. ROGER KOBY: No, I said on fifty (50) foot lots, sir. I'm sorry. I didn't -- I don't believe I said that, but I said twenty -fifty (50) foot lots.

Well, how much can you put on a fifty (50) foot lot without a variance? A thirty (30) foot wide house. If you --
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BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: That's -- that's right, if you've got twenty (20) or thirty (30), I can add that, you know. It's fifty (50) feet.

MR. ROGER KOBY: Right. So if this
house is sixty (60) feet long, if you reduce the length of the house, it's guaranteed to get wider, because the lots tapers. So we can move the house forward and get it wider.

Normally, you know, some of the houses that I've seen built is you have a great room in the front, which is twenty-five (25) feet wide, an eat-in kitchen right behind it, that's all twenty-five (25) foot.

So that's what most people would build, I think, on a house like that. And behind that, you put a bedroom on the back of the house, and then you have steps that go upstairs to more bedrooms.

I mean, it's a totally usable plan. I'm sure you've appraised plenty of houses in that size range, just not down there on the bay.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: I have. I have.

MR. ROGER KOBY: But this doesn't have to do with that. This is a piece of property that is a particular size. And there's lots of pieces of property everywhere. That would mean
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that every single piece of property out there could command a variance.

You've got this piece that's forty-nine (49) feet wide in the front and tapers down. There could be a piece that's one hundred (100) foot wide. And I could come in here and say, I want a variance for that because I want to a house that's ninety (90) feet wide. I mean, every -this property is what it is.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Probably, ninety (90\%), eighty percent (80\%) of our variances that are issued are on the bay. And they're all on County Road 1. And they're all, you know, right up -- right up -waterfront properties, eighty percent (80\%) of what we do.

We don't -- and we have huge districts all over the county that are all -- it's all hardships are all around -- all on the bay, because these waterfronts a lot of the times were designed in the '50s, when you could buy a waterfront lot for twenty $(\$ 20,000)$ to thirty thousand dollars ( $\$ 30,000$ ). And now, they're two hundred and fifty (\$250,000) -- they're five thousand ( $\$ 5,000$ ) a front-foot in some areas. So if you've got fifty (50) foot lot, you've got a half-a-million dollar (\$500,000) lot.

So the times have changed. And the
subdivisions are old. And then we've got -- on top of that, we've got zoning restrictions that are -- are hurting the people in the development of the properties.

And so there's hardship on these lands.
And so that's what we're trying to -- trying to make everything fair for everybody.

MR. ROGER KOBY: Could I ask a question?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: Sure.
MR. ROGER KOBY: The last time that this came up, you voted to deny the request. What's the difference between now --

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: Because I -- because -- okay. I would like for people to submit their -- their surveys so I can study them at home, and I don't have to sit in front of all these people and be -- I have stage fright. And so I don't like to have all this stuff --

MR. ROGER KOBY: So do I.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Well, let me tell you. We're going to get through this thing. I have stage fright. And I don't like to try to analyze and do my homework here in front of fifty (50) people, in front of a court reporter.

And so, you know, you submitted it late. And
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if I would have noticed -- And it was my fault.
I should have voted probably against it, but I
didn't see that you had twenty-four-point-five
(24.5) on those sites. And I don't -- and
another thing, too, we just didn't have enough
information.
MR. ROGER KOBY: I didn't submit -- I
didn't submit --

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Well, I -- you know, I'm going to make my decision. You can submit whatever you want to submit.

But these submissions need to be put to us before we go in here and sit down at these tables. Because there's a lot of information given to us right at very last minute, and then we've got to make a decision on the thing.

And it makes it hard for us. And I don't get paid a damn penny for it. And I'm here for a volunteer -- hold on just a second. Listen. I'm a volunteer. And I work. And -- and I'm doing this to make my community better.

MR. ROGER KOBY: I'm not trying to upset you. I'm just --

BOARD MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Okay. But I'm just saying just get your stuff together and get it to us. That's the reason that I did vote the way I did that time.
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MR. ROGER KOBY: I submitted my plan to Ms. Linda Lee by the cutoff time.

MS. LINDA LEE: The plan that he's
talking about in today's meeting, which is on the screen, you all received in your packet.

I believe that -- what you're talking about was at the last meeting in August, when it was presented at the meeting, not --

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Yeah, the very first time, so --

MR. ROGER KOBY: Okay. There's very
little difference between the two things. But I just want to make it clear. A house can be built on this property twenty-four-point-five (24.5) by sixty (60) feet in length. And the property can be used without a variance.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: We understand that. Thank you.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Any questions?

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE: (Indicates negatively.)

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: The next speaker is Monica Koby.

MS. MONICA KOBY: Good afternoon. I'm Monica Koby. I own the house directly south of the property in question. My son, Sam Koby, he was at the two previous meetings, prepared this

1
statement. He is currently at college and asked me to read the statement for him.

At the last meeting, I shared the results of my petition and the thorough and fair process I followed to gather the data.

To refresh the Board's memory, the results of the petition was a unanimous selection of opposed to the said variances.

After the community's last strong showing, I did not think we would be back to discuss this matter. After thousands of dollars and hours spent by the community of Red Gully, this issue should be put to rest. The community is exhausted.

I am no expert or professional, so I'll leave the technicalities to someone else. However, I do know the general setback and building requirements.

With the minimum knowledge, I was able to take the survey provided by the applicant's application and draw a setback conforming house around 25 foot wide that would have a living area of approximately 3,000 plus square foot with two stories.
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| 1 | With a third floor, as some of the |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | houses on the street have, square |
| 3 | footage could be over 4,500 square feet. |
| 4 | For a 50 foot lot, that sounds like a |
| 5 | very overly fair-sized house. |
| 6 | For that reason, I cannot comprehend |
| 7 | the land's hardship. It has straight |
| 8 | lines and tapers that makes the lot |
| 9 | bigger as it approaches the road. That |
| 10 | is not rare, many of the properties in |
| 11 | the area have that shape. |
| 12 | The agenda stresses the VE zone as |
| 13 | the reason for why the variance should |
| 14 | be passed. Why? The VE Zone is |
| 15 | physically a flood zone, not an |
| 16 | un-buildable area. It is irrelevant to |
| 17 | the variance and appears to be |
| 18 | misleading to create the illusion that |
| 19 | the lot must have a variance |
| 20 | The application states the variance |
| 21 | to allow for the construction of a |
| 22 | single-family dwelling. The property |
| 23 | already allows for the construction of a |
| 24 | single-family dwelling and the house |
| 25 | plans to build a single-family dwelling. |
| 26 | I do not understand the meaning of |
| 27 | this request. If worded the purpose of |
| 28 | this request is to allow the |
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| 1 | construction of a larger house that |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | prospective owner desires, I would |
| 3 | understand, but then consequently that |
| 4 | would conflict with the ordinance. And |
| 5 | the variance cannot serve as a |
| 6 | convenience to the applicant. |
| 7 | To push the point further, |
| 8 | Mr. Allen's application states the |
| 9 | reason for the variance request is the |
| 10 | applicant would like a 29.5 foot wide by |
| 11 | 65 foot long total buildable footprint. |
| 12 | The word "like" is key. |
| 13 | You can have, according to the |
| 14 | building code, 24.5 by sixty-five 65 |
| 15 | foot home. |
| 16 | At the last board meeting, Member |
| 17 | Mr. Cochran made a statement that really |
| 18 | resonated with me. You cannot show any |
| 19 | metrics that definitively prove that the |
| 20 | house built in the setback will decrease |
| 21 | adjacent property value. |
| 22 | You are completely right, |
| 23 | Mr. Cochran. You cannot prove that. |
| 24 | But the same goes for the applicant's |
| 25 | application claim that the variance will |
| 26 | not decrease the chance of fire, risk to |
| 27 | public safety, or property values. |
| 28 | I would like to know how the staff |
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| 1 | supports this claim. With building |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | homes 10 to 15 feet from each other, I |
| 3 | would assume this is an increase fire |
| 4 | risk compared to the 20 foot required |
| 5 | setback. |
| 6 | Additionally, a fire lane must be 10 |
| 7 | foot just to fit a fire truck in it. |
| 8 | With the fences between the lots, there |
| 9 | would be 4 to seven 7 foot for a fire |
| 10 | truck to travel. Impossible. |
| 11 | How does this not raise concern for |
| 12 | the public's safety. This is part of |
| 13 | why the setbacks are 10 foot. |
| 14 | I do not know how many neighbors are |
| 15 | here today, but I would guess it is |
| 16 | fewer than the previous meeting. I |
| 17 | believe part of this is because they are |
| 18 | worn out from driving 30 minutes to |
| 19 | agree -- to argue over something that |
| 20 | seem fundamental to them. |
| 21 | The other part is the community |
| 22 | believes that the Board will remember |
| 23 | the points that they made in the |
| 24 | previous meetings and respect the |
| 25 | precedent in the meeting's ruling. |
| 26 | The last major point $I$ want |
| 27 | emphasize is that the Board unanimously |
| 28 | denied the previous request for a |
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variance. The arguments and evidence from both sides have not significantly changed. So I do not believe that the ruling should change.

A high-quality family home can be built without any variance -- variances. The setbacks are in place to keep our homes safe, private, and green. Let's keep it that way and not negligently change Montrose Historic District.

I care so much about this ruling because of the meaning of the neighborhood to me. The community and landscape has raised me. And I want the future generations to experience the community as it was intended.

Thank you very much to the Board for your time and the staff for your support through the process. Sincerely, Sam Koby

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Any questions for Ms. Koby?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: No.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE:
(Indicates negatively.)
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Thank you. The next speaker is Mr. Healy.
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MR. WILLIAM HEALY, JR.: My name is William Healy, Jr. My family owns the house to the north. I'm going to try not to get emotional like I did last time.

But My family bought that property probably when I was, I think, nine, and we built the house. And as Mr. Murphy said, it doesn't conform to the standards now.

A lot's been brought, you know. We did have a meeting with Mr. Murphy, and we discussed several different options. We gave him, you know, things that we would agree to.

And I'm looking up at it now, and I'm seeing he totally disregarded all of that. All he did was sit there and talk to us and try to determine how best to use that meeting to his advantage.

The house as it sits -- there was two houses on those lots. They were tiny, I'd say maybe twenty (20) feet wide. They were little -- just little cottages.

They were vacation homes for people. They weren't anything massive. I mean, right now, the plans, I think, they have is for a four thousand (4,000) square foot house.

A four thousand (4,000) square foot on lot that is thirty-nine (39) feet wide at its widest -- or forty-nine (49) feet wide at its widest, that's not what the lots were intended
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for. These lots were intended for a small, one bedroom, maybe two bedroom house.

You know, my living room at my house that I live in now, I think, is seventeen (17) feet wide. So you can have a full living room -- I mean, I don't -- I don't tend to guess what seventeen (17) feet wide is, but it's a nice living room. I like it. If $I$ had windows on one side, I could have a new view of the bay.

That's what these -- these lots were designed for. That's why they were subdivided. They -they're not -- they're not big enough for a huge, four thousand $(4,000)$ square foot house.

You could put a small house. Yes, I understand your -- your logic about the cost of the lot. Well, maybe that means that the lots are priced too high. They have to fit inside the -- the -- the zoning whatever.

I'm more concerned about the distance between my house and the house. We're already, as you said, I think, five-and-a-half (5-1/2) feet off the line. If you grant this variance, that puts us, what, thirteen (13) feet?

I don't even know if it's that much. That's too close. That house that we live in, it was old when we bought it, and we retrofitted it. But the original house, that was built in the '30s or 40 s or whenever it was, is still the
main brunt of our house.
That wood is so dried out, it's going to go up quick if there's fire. If there's a hurricane that comes through -- Mr. Murphy, he alluded to the fact that the pilings are nine (9) feet wide or nine-and-a-half (9-1/2) feet. Yes, some of them are. Some of them are actually shorter than that. But I'm not worried about that.

If a log comes through and takes out my house, so be it. But if a log comes through and takes out his house, and because he's so close to me, takes out mine as well, that's my problem.

If a fire erupts through his house, whether we got a firewall or not, and he's that close, shingles, when they burn, they fly, embers, doesn't take much to burn a house, especially one as old as our ours.

I grew up in this area. We went over there every summer. Montrose is different. It's not, what, District 26? Houses aren't on top of each other. It's different.

My parents had a house -- had a lot down on Cotton Bayou. They chose to make this our family home, our family vacation home, because it was different, because it was -- wasn't about houses on top of each other. It was about the area. It was about the specialness of that particular area.

And I understand that zoning and variances and what y'all do with them. Y'all are doing a lot on the bay. But Montrose is a very specific area of the bay. It's a little bit different. It always has been.

And that's why it's so important that we don't allow it. They can put a twenty (20) foot house by sixty-five (65) feet, I think is what they own. And that's thirteen hundred (1,300) square feet. That way he could have a two thousand, six hundred $(2,600)$ square foot house. What do you want?

I mean, I think the house $I$ live in is twenty-nine hundred $(2,900)$ square feet. Go another five (5) feet back, and you're bigger than the house I've got. It doesn't require a variance to put a nice house.

Is it going to be the exact house that they want? Apparently not. But that's not what this is about. It's not about what they want. It's about what will fit.

And I get the values, and I get the money and I get all that. I just don't care. I want to preserve this area so my kids can play there and my grand kids can play there and not lose the house because of something stupid that should never have happened in the first place. Because there are laws against it.
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I mean, I don't know what else to say. I don't know why we're here again. This is the third time. Nothing's really changed. Apparently, we've got this -- this because the -the mean high tide line wasn't there.

Well, if that's the only reason we're here again, then the mean high tide line, they could put a house. There's no hardship. They could put a smaller house. There's no hardship.

If the only reason we're here is for a hardship, why are we here for the third time? I mean, is it just because we can't have enough support here today because of Columbus Day and half the people are out of town, that we had to keep going and coming back and coming back until y'all get so frustrated y'all just, what, approve it so y'all are done with it?

Do you -- are we just going keep doing this every month, or are we going to actually get a decision that is the right decision that you've made twice already?

I don't understand it. And I'm sorry I'm frustrated. It's not with y'all. It's with the situation. But we -- I don't like to get emotional. I hate it. It's not something I do. Ask my sister and mom. But this is important to me.

And this the third time, you know. And each
time we come back and it's the same thing, but
the only difference is now they have more information. And they're just going to try to -you know, throw the same thing up there, again, and get a different thing.

What happens when I can't be here or nobody can be here? Hell, there's only, what, six or eight of us here now? We had about twenty (20) or thirty (30) people here last time.

Please stay with the decision that y'all have already made and deny this variance. I know there's -- like you pointed out, it's an expensive lot, but houses can be built on it, just not the houses they want. And that's what it comes down to.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Any questions for Mr. Healy?

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: None.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Thank you, sir.
MR. WILLIAM HEALY, JR.: Yes, sir.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Next speaker is Gwendolyn Healy.
MS. GWENDOLYN HEALY: I pass.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Is there any -- okay. Thank you. Next speaker is John Allen.

MR. JOHN ALLEN: Thank y'all for letting me come back to talk. I just wanted to say that through this process, it's been educational and constantly looking at every viewpoint, if I put myself in other's shoes.

I think there's been good points. But I think we all must be honest with ourselves when we look at this lot, that there is an irregularity to it. That has been the basis of them submitting a house plan. I guess the question that we keep circling around is how big a house -- should a house --

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Mr. Allen, can you address the Board, please, and not the audience.

MR. JOHN ALLEN: Yes, sir. I apologize. We keep addressing and making a circle about how big a house should be.

So the first meeting, there needed to be more information. The second meeting, y'all made the decision off of a twenty-five (25) foot lot house, saying -- and there was points brought up that this would be a good size house.

If you look at the plot, where it shows the twenty (20) foot increments on there, a twenty-five (25) foot house, as I start from the road going towards the water and the length of the house submitted the last time, you'd have to
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ask for a variance on any spot on this if you stuck with a twenty-five (25) foot lot house.

Point's been made this time, let's decrease the width of the house and make it a twenty-four-and-a-half (24-1/2) foot wide house.

The other things we brought up, you know, the code states -- does in the County, that you need at least ten (10) foot from houses. These right here would comply to that. It wouldn't be that they would have to have a firewall, but we volunteered to do that.

Just all in all, I'm sure it's a hard decision for people -- for y'all to make. But I think, you know, it's been proven that this lot is on the merit of an irregular shape lot. On this proposal, the size of footprint was decreased for this meeting as well.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Any questions for Mr. Allen?

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: No.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE: (Indicates negatively.)

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Thank you, sir.
MR. JOHN ALLEN: Thank you.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Y'all have any questions you want to bring
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the applicant back up for?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: No, I don't think so.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE: (Indicates negatively.)

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: With that, we will close the public hearing.

Staff, any additional comments that you want to make?

MS. LINDA LEE: First off, question. Because earlier you did say you wanted Mr. Murphy to come up. You don't want him after all?

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: No, I think we got it. I think we're clear on that.

MS. LINDA LEE: All right. Just wanted to be sure.

All right. I do have a couple of things to say. First of all, everyone is entitled to their opinion, and that doesn't make your opinion fact; okay?

So, naturally, it's Mr. Koby's opinion that they could build a house down there. That does not mean that they have to build it where he thinks they should build.

The zoning ordinance provides a setback in a VE Flood Zone to the minimum, but the zoning
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ordinance does not force anyone to build in VE Flood Zone. It just states your minimum setback that you have to be from the reach of the mean high tide.

The lot, in staff's opinion, because I believe the question was asked, how could staff recommend approval? It's narrow. The zoning ordinance requires, if someone were to subdivide a lot today, an eighty (80) foot wide lot at the building line.

These lots are almost half that at the -- at the building line. So, as stated in staff's opinion, that that is a hardship on this land, because of the narrowness of the lot.

It is not staff's responsibility, position, whichever, to dictate to someone the width of their house or the size. But in making our recommendations -- Because we have in the past recommended denial if we thought that what they were requesting was a larger than a reasonable size house.

I think, if you all recall, just at the last meeting, a lady was asking for basically a two (2) foot variance, but she was wanting to build forty-six (46) foot wide house, and we said forty-five (45) feet was reasonable.

So, in my opinion, thirty (30) feet or less is definitely, you know, a reasonable size house.
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I don't think you have to force someone to go down to a size that someone else thinks may fit just because that's what they think it will fit.

The zoning ordinance does not state that. It states the narrowness of the property. And this property is narrow as compared to the zoning requirements for that size lot -- I'm sorry for that zoning, RSF-2.

I will state that, I think, Mr. Murphy commented that what they're proposing was a given in District 26. As you all know, this is two different districts. Different districts have their own local provisions.

The Advisory Committee for District 26 at that time chose to put some relief in here for fifty (50) foot wide lots. Planning District 16, we don't make that provision.

So, yes, we sometimes tell people that is allowed in a different district, but each lot, as always, is heard on it's own merits.

And I say that because there was comments made concerning the lots to the north and variances that were denied.

I'm trying to get to the picture. I'm not sure which of these lots is Mr. Sakellarides', if I say it correctly. But I do know that he said his lot was fifty (50) feet wide.

The variance $I$ was asked about on the

Bodiford property, which is to the north of him that he spoke on, was denied in 2015. The motion was to approve it, the motion was made by Mr. Tolbert. There was a second from Mr. Bragg. The vote was two-to-one. And that is why it was failed. There was three (3) people in attendance. It took three (3) to approve a variance. So the vote was two-to-one.

And I'm only saying that just so people can understand that just because someone was denied doesn't mean that the motion was to deny it or that the whole Board voted to deny it. It failed on a vote two to approve and one to deny.

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: But it was denied. MS. LINDA LEE: I understand that, sir. I was just explaining how it happened. Because sometimes if you don't give the facts exactly as it happened, it could be a little bit misleading. That's all I was explaining.

And Mr. Sakellarides spoke at that variance. And he spoke against the variance. So I'm just making you all aware of the facts from that case as the minutes states.

So, anyway, as far as the width between structures, according to the building official, the building code, based on the fire code requires, ten (10) feet between structures. That's the building code. So if you're an area
that's un-zoned, the building code is only that's going to require you to be ten (10) feet of distance -- separate. And I think these all meet that ten (10) feet.

The zoning ordinance does have -- in District 26, we require thirteen (13) feet of separation, but the building code only requires ten (10) feet.

And if it's less than five (5) feet to a property line, then that's when they sometimes will require fire protection, depending on, I think, the windows and what-have-you on that side.

I'm not trying to persuade you all in either direction. Sometimes I just want to get the facts out there, make sure everybody's aware of, you know, what happened when, and explain staff's position as to why staff recommended approval, because the question was asked.

Staff feels that this is a narrow lot. It meets the hardship requirements. And that is why staff made that recommendation.

As to the question as to how many times this will come back, an applicant can only be granted one rehearing on a property. So this will be the last meeting, unless it goes to court. Because anyone aggrieved by the decision of this Board does have the right appeal to circuit court.
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BIAS: Any other comments, Linda?
MS. LINDA LEE: That's it for me.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: Thank you.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Any discussion among the Board?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: No.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: I'll entertain a motion.
BOARD MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: I make a
motion that we approve the variance.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Second?
MS. LINDA LEE: I'm sorry. Do you
second it? Are you asking for a second, or did you second?

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: I was asking for a second.
MS. LINDA LEE: Okay.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: I suppose I will second it.
MS. LINDA LEE: Ms. Hope?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE:
(Indicates negatively.)
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: And, for clarity, this is for approval.
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MS. LINDA LEE: No. Mr. Cochran.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: Yes.
MS. LINDA LEE: Mr. Bias.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: No.

MS. LINDA LEE: No. Okay.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Correct, no.
MS. LINDA LEE: All right. So this
motion fails on a vote two against one in favor.
And I will state, again, just for the record, any party aggrieved by the final decision of the Board may, within fifteen (15) days after the decision made, file an appeal to the Circuit Court of Baldwin County and to the Baldwin County Planning and Zoning Department on behalf of the Board of Adjustment for Baldwin County Commission District 2.

5-C - CASE NO. V-190040 MONTIEL FAMILY INVESTMENTS LTD PROPERTY

MS. LINDA LEE: The next case will be
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presented by Ms. Bates.
MS. CRYSTAL BATES: Case V-190040,
Montiel Family Investment Property. This is a request for approval of the side yard setback variance to allow construction of single-family dwelling.

Subject property is located at 24179 Bay Shore Drive in Planning District 16. The subject property is zoned Residential Single-Family 2. Here is an aerial.

The applicant is requesting a variance from Section 4.3.5 of the Baldwin County Zoning Ordinance, as pertains to side yard setbacks, which is ten (10) feet.

The applicant is requesting approval to build a house five (5) foot to the northeast side property line, five (5) foot on the northwest side property line, and five-point-seven-one (5.71) on the southeast side property line, and eight-point-one-six (8.16) to the southwest side property line.

The reason for the variance request is the applicant would like twenty-nine-point-fifty (29.50) foot wide by sixty (60) foot long total -- total buildable footprint.

This is a picture of the subject property, the adjoining property to the north, the adjoining property to the south, the subdivision
plat.
Here's the survey showing the house with the fifty (50) foot from the setback of the highest tide line. Closer view of the proposed dwelling showing the setbacks. Survey without the house. Site plan for the dwelling to the south of the Allen property -- Montiel property, I'm sorry.

Staff recommends that Case Number V-190040, Montiel Property, be approved. I'll answer any questions you have.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Questions for staff?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: I don't have any.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: All right. Thank you.
With that, we'll open the public hearing. The first person to speak is the applicant, Mr. Allen.

MR. JOHN ALLEN: Thank you. This is very similar to Mr. Murphy's application. The footprint is actually smaller. It's only about a thirty-eight hundred $(3,800)$ square foot home, which would encompass a porch and the whole contents of the house, just like Mr. Murphy's in that footprint.

On the south side, toward the Koby residence, we're asking for less than two (2) feet of a
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variance. On -- because we're at
eight-point-one-six (8.16) from his property
line, closer to his home. It's
four-point-two-nine (4.29) feet from his side.

The first meeting, there was questions about Mr. Koby could look out his house, he didn't want to see us. So there's not a home in that location.

And if we can't build any closer to the water, due to the point less, if we're just going to say we build a forty-six (46) minus -- yeah, basically, it -- almost -- you know, it'd still be on this -- on this last case, you can't even put a twenty-five (25) foot lot house up there in the front.

That was point at the last meeting that y'all went off the merit that you could, that you'd still be asking for a variance. I think that, you know, the whole application process has been basically off the merit that it's an irregular shaped lot.

There's thirty-five (35) feet at road. And it's very -- very long, like the other ones in the neighborhood. It's consistent with some of the houses built on the street currently.

There is a thirty (30) foot wide home. This is actually smaller than that home being built due to the size. Basically, just asking for --
that on the merit of approval, just because of the irregular shape to the lot. Thank you.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Questions for the applicant?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: No.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Thank you, sir.

MR. JOHN ALLEN: Thank you.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Next speaker is Mr. Murphy.

MR. PAT MURPHY: Pat Murphy. I was speaking in favor of Mr. Allen's lot.

You know, one thing I didn't mention when I was up here earlier, you know, regarding the VE, I spoke with both Farmers and Allstate and two different agents. And they both had policies denied over the last six (6) months trying to write in the VE Flood Zone.

So I know John and the opportunity that he has moving closer, he would be in the VE Flood Zone. And I know this isn't a hardship because of economics, but there are -- there are -- both Farmers and Allstate have ran into issues writing new policies in the VE Flood Zone.

Getting to Mr. Allen's home as he's proposing, it's offset from Mr. Koby's. It is not adjacent to it. That was one of the
concerns, again. And then, also, with the Healy's discussion of not having a home in front of their property, if Mr. Allen builds where Mr. Koby has proposed, up close to the water, it would obscure the view of the Healy's. When they look south, they would be staring at the front door of John's house when built in that spot.

So I do believe it is a hardship. That's why I applied for a hardship on the lot next to it. But I believe Mr. Allen's plan should be approved.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Any questions for Mr. Murphy?

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: No.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Thank you, sir. Next speaker is Glenda Montiel, again.

MS. GLENDA MONTIEL: I'd just like to say that $I$ hope that after this vote, you will be kind enough to tell us why you voted not to approve and what your reasons are, especially considering that the administrative staff has recommended three times now that it be approved based on legitimate, lawful reasons, and also considering the comparisons that were given.

Because Montrose, although it is lovely village, it is still considered county. We are
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not an incorporated city.
I'd like to respond -- take my time to respond to the first speaker, who may -- I'm just curious what their road frontage is.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Ms. Montiel, could you please address the Board?

MS. GLENDA MONTIEL: I'd like -- the first speaker who was opposed to the variance mentioned that he had done -- you know, built his house, and he was only fifty (50) foot lot.

But there are only three lots that are fifty (50) feet plus or minus one or two feet that are bay front, that also have fifty (50) feet road frontage.

And these two lots, the two lots that are under consideration, each only have thirty-five (35) feet, which makes it a triangular lot. And when you start gnawing ten (10) feet out of each side of the lot, it leaves you with a very small footprint.

The property has never -- just for those who keep referring to it as subdivided, it was never subdivided. It was platted as lots when the Red Gully Subdivision was recorded in 1949.

It did have two small cottages. They were within five (5) feet of the property line, and -but that was when there were no setback
requirements.
I feel like that locating the houses in a VE Zone does create hardship for the owner and as well as because of the narrowing of the lot, decreasing your potential footprint on the lot.

The original people who lived there were not summer residents. They were permanent residents. They lived -- a woman and her husband moved into one cottage. Their daughter and her family lived in the other cottage. And they were permanent residents.

They chose to offer the property for sale at the same time and would not separate it because both families were going to move to Spanish Fort due to the age of the older couple, so that they could reside comfortably together in one home.

I was -- had the fortune to purchase the property. Loved it. I grew up a tenth of a mile to the north, Winding Brook.

And it was like coming home to me, because I used to jubilee on that beach. My parents were good friend with several of the neighbors there, the Meers, the Schneiders -- I mean, the Skinners, and several other people.

But that -- that has nothing to do with that, because it's very subjective. Although, subjective seems to have a place here.

And I want to apologize to the neighbors who,
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except for two, for having gone in and put -putting no trespassing signs on my property, on the two lots.

I was advised by the supervisor of my realtors that $I$ should get a cease and desist warrant against one of the neighbors who kept going on the property and telling people that were looking at the lots that a variance would never be issued. Well, they won, didn't they? And also telling them that the house -- a house couldn't, you know, just couldn't be built on that property.

Well, they were not willing to support that a nice house could be built on that property. And I do believe a larger house, even though it's not much larger, would retain the new norm of houses -- of house values in that neighborhood, because so many new houses have been built that are large, very nice, and very beautiful. And the neighborhood has really improved because of it.

There is one question I'd also be interested in finding out, that since Mr. Healy has complained about the variance request, how I'm betting that he really still does not want two houses sitting out in the high -- beyond the high tide line to block his view toward the south.

I know that 8 of 15 of the lots, and I think
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I just said that, in the Red Gully Subdivision
are fifty (50) foot bay fronts, but I'm going to
reiterate that only three of them have
thirty-five (35) foot road frontage and -- or in
my opinion, then, subject to the request
variances. variances.

That's all I've got to say. Thank you.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Any questions for Ms. Montiel?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: No.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Next speaker Mr. George, again.

MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: George
Sakellarides. The previous comments I made at the last meeting and today still stand. I haven't changed anything.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Thank you, sir.

MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: I'm through. Thank you.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Thank you.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: I don't think we have any questions for you. I appreciate it.

MR. GEORGE SAKELLARIDES: Thank you.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
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BIAS: Mr. Koby, anything you'd like to add to this discussion? You're the next speaker.

MR. ROGER KOBY: Thank you for having me up here, again.

Could you pull up the -- his house plan, please?

MS. LINDA LEE: Which one did you say? Which one did you want me to pull up? Which house?

MR. ROGER KOBY: Allen's house.
MS. LINDA LEE: I think it's --
MR. ROGER KOBY: Well, the site plan, I'm sorry.

MS. LINDA LEE: That one.
MR. ROGER KOBY: That's correct.
MS. LINDA LEE: Okay.
MR. ROGER KOBY: There's -- first of all, for safety, right there, he's got five (5) foot on his north side, five-point-seven-one (5.71) foot on my side right there. There's a wooden fence right there.

There's nothing from preventing him from putting a wooden fence on the other side. There's -- that's not a fire lane. There's no safety there. You know, if something catches fire, the firemen aren't going to get up front.

The other situation is that if somebody gets hurt on the beach or in the water -- Because that
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has happened before -- emergency vehicles are
going to have a hard time getting up to the
front.

Can we pull up the site plan of all the lots on the street? There you go.

So most of the lots on this street, as you can see, are tapered out the same way. They're narrower at the street, some of them are, just depending as you go up the street. You go further up there, they're all tapered up like.

I left some color pictures up there of the water raining on the side of my yard right there. You can see where my -- my pump is there on the side. And that's during a hard rain. And that's going to be on the north side of my property, which would be on Allen's south side.

There is already a severe water problem right there, and it gets pretty deep. And so the lay of the land here on Bay Shore Drive is it's a hill on the other side of the street.

So everything running down past all those houses and comes and dives through my property through both sides, not including what comes off of the roof.

Insurance is not an issue. You can buy insurance. My insurance is from Lords of London. So that's not an issue. So you can build close the water.
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BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: The south half of the lot. It's right there.

MR. ROGER KOBY: At one time, it was 3 for the whole thing.

I also did some research through the court system to find previous cases that have been like this. And I found one case here -- well, it says there will be no hardship found if the circumstances is linked to the individual instead of the property.

The applicant must show that a strict application of the zoning ordinance produces a unique or unnecessary hardship with reference to its parcel of land.

Notice that the hardship must be in reference to the parcel itself, not the applicant. The granting of a variance runs with the land and is not a personal license given to the landowner.

Accordingly, the necessary hardship which will suffice for the granting of a variance must relate to the land rather than to the owner himself.
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In the application, he stated that he would like a twenty-nine-point-five (29.5) foot house. Well, just because you want something and you would like to have something doesn't mean that you're going to get it.

You can build a twenty-four-point-five (24.5) by sixty-three (63) foot long house there with no problem and no variance. Okay.

There will be no hardship found if the circumstances were cause by the owner himself. So you cannot assert benefit of a self-created hardship.

So that's -- that's basically all I have to add to this. It's pretty much the same as the last case.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Any questions for Mr. Koby?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: No.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Thank you, sir.
Next speaker is Monica Koby.
MS. MONICA KOBY: What I said earlier applies to this variance also.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: All right. Thank you.
Next is Mr. William Healy.
MR. WILLIAM HEALY, JR.: William Healy.
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First, I want to thank you for denying ours. And second, I was going to address Ms. Montiel, how I feel if --

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Mr. Healy, do you mind addressing the Board?

MR. WILLIAM HEALY, JR.: I'm sorry. She asked a specific question about how I would feel about them building further out on the lot. And I wouldn't like it, but there's nothing I can do about that, because that's -- the zoning puts them in the area.

Where you buy a lot when you build is fine, but the zoning, that's what it's there for. So you can't do certain things. So you can't encroach on other people's property.

So, no, I wouldn't like it if they built further out on the property, but there's nothing I can do about that. I can do something about them encroaching on my property or my neighbor's property.

So that's all I wanted to say. Thanks.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: Thank you, sir.

Next speaker is, again, Gwendolyn Healy.
MS. GWENDOLYN HEALY: I'll pass.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Thank you. Does the Board have any
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questions for the applicant?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL

COCHRAN: No.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: With that, we will close the public hearing. Anymore questions or comments, staff?
(No response.)
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Anything else to add?
MS. CRYSTAL BATES: No, sir.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: Linda, you got anymore comments?
MS. LINDA LEE: (Indicates negatively.)
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: Are you going to make a motion?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Anybody call for a motion?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: You want to make a motion?
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MARY HOPE:
Huh-uh. (Indicates negatively.)
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL COCHRAN: Well, who's going to do it?

I make a motion that we approve per the staff's recommendations.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: I'll second.
MS. LINDA LEE: Ms. Hope.
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## 6 - OLD BUSINESS

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Ms. Linda, any old business for the Board?
MS. LINDA LEE: No, sir.

## 7 - NEW BUSINESS

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: Any new business? I think we have a meeting calendar. We need to approve those dates?

7-A - APPROVAL OF 2020 MEETING AND DEADLINE CALENDAR
MS. LINDA LEE: Correct. Give me just a moment and I'll go over it. Okay. The dates on here are your usual second Monday of each month. We do not appear to have any holidays that interfere with your meeting date. So all of them
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will be on the second Monday of each month. And we do need a motion to -- excuse me. If you all don't mind.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON BIAS: We still have some business to conduct here. If y'all want to take your conversation out to the lobby, please.

MS. LINDA LEE: Excuse us, would y'all, please -- thank you. I'm sorry. Anyway, we need a motion to approve the calendar and a second, and then you all can vote.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER MICHAEL
COCHRAN: I make a motion that we approve the calendar.

BOARD MEMBER MARY HOPE: I second it.
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: All those in favor, signify by saying aye.
(Board Members say "aye" in unison.)
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: All opposed?
(No response.)

## 8 - ADJOURNMENT

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CHAIRMAN BRANDON
BIAS: And with that, we're adjourned.
MS. LINDA LEE: Thank you very much. I appreciate $y^{\prime} a l l^{\prime} s$ time.
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(The Baldwin County Commission Board of Adjustment \#2
meeting was adjourned at 5:41 p.m.)
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| ```New [1] - 3:17 next [19]-9:14, 21:26, 24:28, 26:18, 27:1, 29:12, 30:4, 43:24, 48:28, 54:24, 54:27, 63:28, 67:11, 68:9, 68:17, 72:13, 73:2, 76:22, 77:25 Next [1] - 76:27 nice [5]-27:2, 50:7, 52:17, 71:14, 71:19 nine [20] - 10:7, 10:22, 11:4, 14:3, 14:6, 14:14, 14:25, 15:3, 17:9, 20:10, 40:3, 49:6, 49:26, 49:27, 51:5, 51:6, 52:14, 64:23, 66:4, 76:2 nine-and-a-half [1] - 51:6 ninety [3] - 31:9, 40:8, 40:11 ninety-two [1] - 31:9 NO [3]-6:6, 9:11, 63:26 nobody [1] - 54:6 nonconforming [2] - 10:14, 23:4 none [1] - 54:19 norm [2]-23:13, 71:16 normally \([1]\) - \(39: 10\) north [19] - 9:18, 10:3, 17:28, 18:1, 19:10, 19:13, 20:2, 20:9, 20:18, 30:11, 35:15, 49:3, 59:22, 60:1, 64:27, 70:19, 73:19, 74:15 northeast [3]-10:23, 14:8, 64:16 northern [1] - \(29: 28\) northwest [2]-10:26, 64:17 nothing [5] - 32:28, 70:25, 73:22, 77:10, 77:18 nothing's [1] - 53:3 notice [1] - 75:21 noticed [1] - 42:1 Number [5] - 1:16, 7:10, 11:14, 65:8, 75:3 numbers [1]-15:24``` objection [2]-18:24, 20:6 |  | ```79:7, 79:13, 79:18, 79:23, 80:4, 80:12, 80:16, 80:19, 80:24, 82:3 offer [2] - 22:8, 70:12 official [1]-60:25 offset [1] - 67:27 OLD [1] - 79:12 Old [1] - 3:16 old [4] - 41:1, 50:26, 51:17, 79:14 older [1] - 70:15 one [54]-11:3, 11:22, 11:23, 14:11, 16:12, 17:3, 18:10, 19:24, 20:3, 20:23, 22:4, 25:19, 25:20, 25:22, 25:26, 26:14, 26:19, 26:21, 29:20, 29:25, 30:3, 30:11, 30:13, 31:27, 35:28, 40:5, 50:1, 50:8, 51:16, 60:5, 60:8, 60:13, 61:25, 63:16, 64:18, 64:20, 66:2, 67:14, 67:28, 69:13, 70:9, 70:16, 71:6, 71:22, 73:7, 73:8, 73:14, 73:19, 75:1, 75:3, 75:9, 75:13, 79:10 one-and-a-half \([1]\) - 26:19 one-size-fits-all [1] - 22:4 ones [1] - 66:23 open [3] - 7:16, 16:5, 65:17 opinion [8] - 23:25, 57:21, 57:23, 58:5, 58:13, 58:27, 72:5 opportunity [1] - 67:20 opposed [5] - 5:11, 30:14, 44:9, 69:9, 80:20 opposition [1] - 32:20 options [1] - 49:11 ORDER [1] - 4:3 order [2] - 4:6, 30:25 Order. . [1] - 3:4 ordinance [16] - 16:15, 17:6, 21:7, 21:10, 21:11, 21:12, 33:10, 46:4, 57:27, 58:1, 58:8, 59:4, 61:5, 75:18 Ordinance [3]-33:12, 34:20, 64:13 ordinances [3] -``` | ```17:12, 34:11, 35:24 original [2]-50:27, 70:6 originally [1] - 13:8 ourselves [1] - 55:7 overly [1] - 45:5 own [4]-43:26, 52:9, 59:13, 59:20 owner [9]-16:23, 23:12, 31:22, 33:4, 34:17, 46:2, 70:3, 75:27, 76:10 owners [1] - 22:5 owns [1]-49:2```P <br>  <br> p.m $[1]-81: 2$ <br> packet $[1]-43: 5$ <br> paid $[2]-29: 13,42: 19$ <br> Palmer $[1]-1: 15$ <br> parcel $[3]-11: 13$, <br> $75: 20,75: 22$ <br> parents $[2]-51: 22$, <br> $70: 21$ <br> part $[4]-13: 2,47: 12$, <br> $47: 17,47: 21$ <br> particular $[4]-16: 19$, <br> $21: 8,39: 27,51: 27$ <br> parties $[2]-82: 11$, <br> $82: 14$ <br> party $[1]-63: 18$ <br> pass $[3]-6: 2,54: 25$, <br> $77: 26$ <br> passed $[1]-45: 14$ <br> past $[2]-58: 18,74: 21$ <br> PAT $[3]-16: 7,21: 24$, <br> $67: 12$ <br> Pat $[2]-16: 7,67: 12$ <br> penny $[1]-42: 19$ <br> people $[17]-23: 9$, <br> $35: 21,39: 15,41: 3$, <br> $41: 16,41: 18,41: 26$, <br> $49: 21,53: 14,54: 9$, <br> $56: 13,59: 18,60: 6$, <br> $60: 9,70: 6,70: 24$, <br> $71: 7$ <br> people's $[2]-35: 20$, <br> $77: 16$ <br> per $[3]-8: 16,19: 9$, <br> $78: 24$ <br> percent $[4]-17: 23$, <br> 18:10, $40: 11,40: 15$ <br> permanent $[2]-70: 7$, <br> $70: 10$ <br> person $[4]-16: 6$, <br> $21: 26,27: 17,65: 18$ <br> personal $[3]-24: 15$, <br> $33: 9,75: 24$ | ```personally \({ }^{[1]}-24: 9\) perspective [2]-19:2, 19:12 persuade [1]-61:14 pertains [1] - 64:13 petition [2]-44:4, 44:8 photography [2] - 6:27, 10:1 physically \({ }_{[1]}\) - 45:15 picture [5] - 6:27, 10:2, 25:16, 59:24, 64:26 pictures [1] - 74:11 piece [8] - 16:28, 27:27, 28:23, 32:27, 39:26, 40:1, 40:3, 40:5 pieces [1] - 39:28 pilings [4]-20:8, 20:13, 20:18, 51:5 place [4]-21:8, 48:7, 52:27, 70:27 plan [24]-7:2, 11:7, 30:19, 31:3, 31:5, 31:6, 31:7, 32:9, 32:10, 33:25, 35:1, 35:13, 35:17, 35:27, 36:2, 39:20, 43:1, 43:3, 55:10, 65:6, 68:10, 73:5, 73:12, 74:4 Planner [1]-2:9 planning [1] - 23:6 PLANNING [2] - 1:4, 2:7 Planning [8]-2:10, 6:12, 9:28, 16:18, 22:1, 59:16, 63:22, 64:8 plans [2]-45:25, 49:23 plat [1] - 65:1 platted [2]-23:5, 69:24 play [2] - 52:24, 52:25 plenty [2]-38:3, 39:21 plot \([1]\) - \(55: 24\) plus [3]-29:1, 44:27, 69:13 podium [1]-5:26 Point [1]-16:25 point [41]-10:22, 10:24, 10:25, 10:27, 11:3, 11:4, 14:3, 14:6, 14:11, 14:13, 14:14, 14:25, 15:3, 15:18, 18:9, 25:28, 27:13, 27:22, 27:23, 29:15, 31:26, 33:2,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```36:12, 36:14, 37:3, 37:11, 38:20, 42:3, 43:14, 46:7, 47:26, 64:18, 64:20, 64:23, 66:2, 66:4, 66:10, 66:16, 73:19, 76:2, 76:6 point's [1] - 56:3 pointed [1] - 54:12 points [5] - 16:11, 17:17, 47:23, 55:6, 55:22 policies [2]-67:17, 67:25 populations [1] - 22:3 porch [2] - 19:20, 65:24 portion [2]-14:20, 14:24 position [2] - 58:15, 61:18 potential [4] - 17:19, 20:5, 24:4, 70:5 precedent [2] - 32:12, 47:25 preexisting [1] - 10:16 prepared [2] - 43:28, 83:8 presence [1]-7:5 PRESENT [2] - 2:3, 2:8 present [1] - 22:5 presented [6] - 9:20, 16:23, 31:4, 31:5, 43:8, 64:1 preservation [2] - 18:18, 33:15 preserve [1]-52:24 preserves [1]-23:11 pretty [3]-36:21, 74:18, 76:14 preventing [1] - 73:22 Previous [1] - 3:6 PREVIOUS [1] - 4:24 previous [12]-4:28, 7:4, 26:26, 31:4, 31:7, 35:11, 43:28, 47:16, 47:24, 47:28, 72:15, 75:12 previously [1]-26:25 priced \([1]\) - 50:17 privacy \({ }^{[1]}\) - 33:28 private [1]-48:8 problem [7] - 15:10, 26:8, 26:26, 27:4, 51:12, 74:17, 76:8 problems [3] - 26:16, 34:5, 36:28 proceed [5] - 4:7, 4:27, 6:8, 8:21, 8:25``` | ```proceeding [1] - 9:14 PROCEEDINGS [1] - 1:2 proceedings [3] - 1:12, 82:8, 82:16 process [5] - 22:8, 44:5, 48:19, 55:3, 66:19 produces [1] - 75:18 professional [1] - 44:18 proper [1] - 30:25 properties [6] - 10:12, 23:20, 34:6, 40:15, 41:4, 45:10 PROPERTY[3] - 6:6, 9:12, 63:27 Property[4]-3:11, 6:11, 64:3, 65:9 property[113]-6:11, 6:13, 6:20, 6:22, 6:28, 7:1, 7:7, 9:27, 10:2, 10:3, 10:4, 10:6, 10:15, 10:23, 10:26, 10:28, 11:2, 13:28, 14:9, 14:10, 14:24, 16:23, 16:28, 17:19, 17:26, 17:28, 18:3, 18:6, 18:18, 19:5, 19:6, 19:11, 19:15, 20:2, 20:10, 20:24, 21:1, 21:3, 22:5, 23:11, 23:24, 23:26, 23:27, 26:9, 26:18, 27:1, 29:18, 30:12, 30:13, 31:19, 31:22, 32:23, 32:27, 33:1, 33:4, 33:15, 34:9, 34:12, 34:16, 34:17, 35:19, 35:21, 35:22, 36:17, 37:4, 37:14, 37:25, 39:26, 39:28, 40:1, 40:9, 43:14, 43:15, 43:27, 45:22, 46:21, 46:27, 49:5, 59:5, 59:6, 60:1, 61:10, 61:25, 64:7, 64:9, 64:17, 64:18, 64:19, 64:21, 64:26, 64:27, 64:28, 65:7, 66:2, 68:3, 69:22, 69:27, 70:12, 70:18, 71:2, 71:7, 71:12, 71:14, 74:15, 74:22, 75:2, 75:16, 77:16, 77:18, 77:20, 77:21 Property.............. [2] - 3:13, 3:15 proposal [1]-56:16``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { proposed }[9]-6: 18, \\ 6: 23,7: 2,10: 21, \\ 11: 2,11: 6,11: 7, \\ 65: 4,68: 4 \\ \text { proposing }[4]-14: 2, \\ \text { 14:7, } 59: 10,67: 27 \\ \text { prospective }[3]- \\ 31: 22,34: 15,46: 2 \\ \text { protection }[2]-18: 28, \\ 61: 11 \\ \text { prove }[2]-46: 19, \\ 46: 23 \\ \text { proven }[1]-56: 14 \\ \text { provide }[1]-22: 2 \\ \text { provided }[1]-44: 23 \\ \text { provides }[2]-32: 18, \\ 57: 27 \\ \text { provision }[2]-16: 19, \\ 59: 17 \\ \text { provisions }[1]-59: 13 \\ \text { public }[11]-7: 17,8: 9, \\ 16: 5,22: 21,23: 21, \\ 23: 23,24: 10,46: 27, \\ 57: 7,65: 17,78: 5 \\ \text { public's }[1]-47: 12 \\ \text { published }[1]-17: 7 \\ \text { pull }[3]-73: 5,73: 8, \\ 74: 4 \\ \text { pump }[1]-74: 13 \\ \text { purchase }[1]-70: 17 \\ \text { purpose }[3]-22: 28, \\ 32: 28,45: 27 \\ \text { push }[2]-29: 2,46: 7 \\ \text { put }[21]-20: 27,24: 3, \\ 35: 27,35: 28,36: 2, \\ 36: 5,37: 23,37: 24, \\ 38: 26,39: 17,42: 13, \\ 44: 16,50: 14,52: 7, \\ 52: 17,53: 8,53: 9, \\ 55: 4,59: 15,66: 14, \\ 71: 1 \\ \text { puts }[2]-50: 22,77: 11 \\ \text { putting }[2]-71: 2, \\ 73: 23 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ```67:4, 68:13, 72:9, 72:25, 76:17, 78:1, 78:6 quick [1]-51:3 quite [1] - 14:15 quorum [1]-4:20``` <br> R <br> rain [1] - 74:14 <br> raining [1] - 74:12 <br> raise $[1]$ - 47:11 <br> raised [1] - 48:14 <br> ran [1] - 67:24 <br> range [1]-39:22 <br> rare [1] - 45:10 <br> rather [1] - 75:27 <br> re [1] - 9:25 <br> re-hearing [1] - 9:25 <br> reach [2]-12:10, 58:3 <br> read [3]-25:11, <br> 31:11, $44: 2$ <br> real [2]-34:1, 34:2 <br> realizing [1] - 22:4 <br> really [12]-23:16, <br> 26:13, 30:6, 31:11, <br> 36:16, 36:22, 36:23, <br> 46:17, 53:3, 71:20, <br> 71:25 <br> realtors [1] - 71:5 <br> rear [4]-6:18, 6:20, <br> 6:23, 6:25 <br> reason [11]-16:19, <br> 21:9, 32:23, 33:10, <br> 42:27, 45:6, 45:13, <br> 46:9, 53:6, 53:10, <br> 64:22 <br> reasonable [8] - 7:3, <br> 11:12, 15:9, 22:8, <br> 37:3, 58:20, 58:26, <br> 58:28 <br> reasons [3]-33:9, 68:22, 68:25 <br> received ${ }_{[1]}-43: 5$ <br> recently ${ }_{[1]}$ - $32: 2$ <br> recommend [1] - 58:7 <br> recommendation [3] - <br> 13:11, 23:10, 61:22 <br> recommendations [3] <br> - 8:17, 58:18, 78:25 <br> recommended $[4]$ - <br> 22:25, 58:19, 61:18, <br> 68:24 <br> recommends [3] - 7:9, <br> 11:14, 65:8 <br> record [3] - 10:16, 25:7, 63:17 <br> recorded [1] - 69:25 <br> Red [5]-22:14, 23:7, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:3, 49:24, 49:25, } \\ & 50: 13,52: 10,52: 11, \\ & 52: 14,65: 23 \\ & \text { square-feet }[1]-10: 11 \\ & \text { stack }[1]-25: 24 \\ & \text { Staff }[1]-7: 9 \\ & \text { staff }[22]-7: 3,7: 13, \\ & 8: 10,8: 11,11: 12, \\ & 11: 14,14: 4,15: 9, \\ & 22: 12,22: 26,34: 22, \\ & 46: 28,48: 18,57: 9, \\ & 58: 6,61: 18,61: 20, \\ & 61: 22,65: 8,65: 12, \\ & 68: 23,78: 6 \\ & \text { staff's }[8]-8: 16, \\ & 13: 11,23: 10,58: 5, \\ & 58: 12,58: 15,61: 17, \\ & 78: 25 \\ & \text { stage }[2]-41: 19, \\ & 41: 24 \\ & \text { stand }[1]-72: 16 \\ & \text { standards }[2]-18: 13, \\ & 49: 8 \\ & \text { Standards }[1]-33: 13 \\ & \text { staring }[1]-68: 6 \\ & \text { start }[4]-38: 14, \\ & 38: 15,55: 26,69: 19 \\ & \text { starts }[1]-12: 3 \\ & \text { state }[4]-5: 27,59: 4, \\ & 59: 9,63: 17 \\ & \text { STATE }[1]-82: 3 \\ & \text { statement }[3]-44: 1, \\ & 44: 2,46: 17 \\ & \text { states }[6]-45: 20, \\ & 46: 8,56: 7,58: 2, \\ & 59: 5,60: 23 \\ & \text { stay }[1]-54: 10 \\ & \text { stenotype }[1]-82: 8 \\ & \text { steps }[1]-39: 18 \\ & \text { still }[8]-20: 16,50: 28, \\ & 66: 12,66: 18,68: 28, \\ & 71: 25,72: 16,80: 5 \\ & \text { stood }[1]-19: 20 \\ & \text { stories }[1]-44: 28 \\ & \text { storm }[3]-12: 24, \\ & 20: 5,33: 27 \\ & \text { story }[3]-31: 9,31: 16, \\ & 31: 17 \\ & \text { straight }[2]-15: 25, \\ & 45: 7 \\ & \text { Street }[1]-1: 15 \\ & \text { street }{ }^{[10]} \text { - } 16: 27, \\ & 21: 3,32: 15,45: 2, \\ & 66: 25,74: 5,74: 6, \\ & 74: 8,74: 9,74: 20 \\ & \text { streets }[1]-23: 21 \\ & \text { stressed }[1]-33: 8 \\ & \text { stresses }[1]-45: 12 \\ & \text { strict }[1]-75: 17 \\ & \text { strong }[1]-44: 11 \end{aligned}$ | ```structure \([4]\) - 19:5, 19:14, 21:2, 21:14 structures [4] - 17:5, 20:4, 60:25, 60:27 stuck [1] - 56:2 study [1]-41:17 stuff [2] - 41:20, 42:26 stupid [1]-52:26 subdivide \({ }^{[1]}\) - \(58: 8\) subdivided [5] - 23:8, 33:7, 50:11, 69:23, 69:24 Subdivision [4] - 22:15, 23:7, 69:25, 72:1 subdivision [1] - 64:28 subdivisions [1] - 41:1 subject [9]-6:11, 6:28, 9:27, 10:2, 13:28, 64:7, 64:8, 64:26, 72:5 subjective \([3]-24: 15\), 70:26, 70:27 submissions [1] - 42:13 submit [5] - 41:16, 42:7, 42:8, 42:11, 42:12 submitted [6] - 7:2, 9:25, 10:5, 41:28, 43:1, 55:28 submitting [1] - 55:10 successfully [1] - 24:12 suffice [1] - 75:26 suggest [1] - 26:14 suggestion [3]-21:3, 23:2, 27:27 summer [2] - 51:19, 70:7 supervisor \({ }_{[1]}\) - 71:4 support [3] - 48:18, 53:13, 71:13 supporting [1]-23:2 supports [1]-47:1 suppose [1]-62:23 surge [1] - 12:24 Survey [1] - 65:5 survey [4] - 10:5, 15:24, 44:23, 65:2 surveyor [2]-12:4, 15:13 surveys [1] - 41:16 SUSAN [1] - 82:21 Susan [2]-1:15, \(83: 8\) system [1]-75:12``` | T T tables $[1]-42: 15$ tapered $[2]-74: 7$, $74: 10$ tapers $[3]-39: 8,40: 4$, 45:8 technicalities $[1]-$ 44:19 Technician $[1]-2: 10$ ten [11]-15:1, 17:23, $27: 12,32: 4,56: 8$, $60: 27,61: 2,61: 4$, $61: 7,64: 14,69: 19$ tend $[1]-50: 6$ tens $[1]-36: 19$ tenth $[1]-70: 18$ THE $[2]-1: 2,5: 16$ themselves $[1]-34: 18$ they've $[3]-19: 21$, 19:22, 20:1 thinks $[2]-57: 26$, $59: 2$ third $[6]-16: 9,18: 24$, $45: 1,53: 3,53: 11$, $53: 28$ thirteen $[9]-16: 21$, $17: 4,18: 26,20: 4$, $20: 12,21: 13,50: 23$, $52: 9,61: 6$ thirty $[21]-10: 6$, $14: 11,14: 13,15: 11$, $17: 2,27: 10,27: 20$, $33: 4,36: 18,36: 20$, $38: 27,39: 3,40: 22$, $49: 26,54: 9,58: 27$, $65: 23,66: 22,66: 26$, $69: 17,72: 4$ thirty-eight $[1]-65: 23$ thirty-five $[5]-10: 6$, $36: 18,66: 22,69: 17$, $72: 4$ thirty-nine $[1]-49: 26$ thirty-one-point- something $[1]-$ $14: 11$ thirty-point-five- three $[1]-14: 13$ thirty-seven $[1]-17: 2$ thorough $[1]-44: 4$ thousand $[12]-10: 10$, $31: 16,33: 2,38: 1$, $38: 3,38: 12,40: 23$, $40: 25,49: 23,49: 25$, $50: 13,52: 11$ thousands $[3]-36: 20$, $36: 25,44: 14$ three $[16]-10: 10$, 14:13, 17:3, 22:26, | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 23,31: 16,31: 27, \\ & 33: 2,38: 1,38: 3, \\ & 60: 6,60: 7,68: 24, \\ & 69: 12,72: 3,76: 7 \\ & \text { threshold }[1]-22: 24 \\ & \text { throw }[1]-54: 4 \\ & \text { tide }[25]-11: 9,11: 19, \\ & 11: 28,12: 2,12: 4, \\ & 12: 8,12: 11,12: 12, \\ & 12: 13,12: 14,12: 15, \\ & 20: 5,27: 14,30: 21, \\ & 30: 25,30: 28,31: 1, \\ & 31: 24,36: 1,53: 5, \\ & 53: 7,58: 4,65: 4, \\ & 71: 27 \\ & \text { tiny }[1]-49: 18 \\ & \text { TO }[2]-4: 3,5: 16 \\ & \text { today }[6]-22: 10,31: 5, \\ & 47: 15,53: 13,58: 9, \\ & 72: 16 \\ & \text { today's }[1]-43: 4 \\ & \text { together }[4]-20: 27, \\ & 29: 19,42: 26,70: 16 \\ & \text { Tolbert }[1]-60: 4 \\ & \text { took }[1]-60: 7 \\ & \text { top }[4]-14: 17,41: 2, \\ & 51: 20,51: 26 \\ & \text { topographic }[1]-7: 6 \\ & \text { total }[3]-46: 11,64: 25 \\ & \text { totally }[2]-39: 20, \\ & 49: 14 \\ & \text { toward }[2]-65: 27, \\ & 71: 27 \\ & \text { towards }[2]-37: 26, \\ & 55: 27 \\ & \text { town }[1]-53: 14 \\ & \text { transcribed }[1]-82: 8 \\ & \text { transcript }[3]-82: 7, \\ & 82: 11,83: 5 \\ & \text { transcription }[2]- \\ & 82: 9,83: 7 \\ & \text { travel }[1]-47: 10 \\ & \text { treasure }[1]-32: 21 \\ & \text { trespassing }[1]-71: 2 \\ & \text { triangular }[1]-69: 18 \\ & \text { tried }[2]-24: 12,37: 28 \\ & \text { Troyke }[2]-3: 11,6: 11 \\ & \text { TROYKE }[1]-6: 6 \\ & \text { Troykes }[1]-7: 20 \\ & \text { truck }[2]-47: 7,47: 10 \\ & \text { true }[2]-82: 10,83: 7 \\ & \text { try }[4]-41: 25,49: 3, \\ & 49: 15,54: 3 \\ & \text { trying }[9]-25: 16, \\ & 27: 18,37: 18,41: 6, \\ & 42: 23,59: 24,61: 14, \\ & 67: 18 \\ & \text { turn }[2]-5: 22,5: 23 \\ & \text { twelve }[1]-6: 21 \\ & \text { twenty }[41]-6: 22, \\ & \text { the } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11:10, 14:3, 14:6, } \\ \text { 14:14, 15:3, 15:14, } \\ \text { 15:26, 17:9, 29:15, } \\ 31: 26,32: 2,33: 2, \\ 36: 9,36: 10,36: 12, \\ 36: 14,37: 3,37: 11, \\ 38: 15,38: 20,38: 24, \\ 39: 3,39: 12,39: 14, \\ \text { 40:22, 42:3, 43:14, } \\ \text { 49:19, 52:7, 52:14, } \\ 54: 8,55: 21,55: 25, \\ 55: 26,56: 2,56: 5, \\ 64: 23,66: 14,76: 2, \\ 76: 6 \\ \text { twenty-five }[7]-32: 2, \\ 39: 12,39: 14,55: 21, \\ 55: 26,56: 2,66: 14 \\ \text { twenty-four }[3]-36: 9, \\ 36: 10,38: 15 \\ \text { twenty-four-and-a- } \\ \text { half }[1]-56: 5 \\ \text { twenty-four-point- } \\ \text { five }[11]-29: 15, \\ 31: 26,33: 2,36: 12, \\ 36: 14,37: 3,37: 11, \\ 38: 20,42: 3,43: 14, \\ 76: 6 \\ \text { twenty-nine }[1]- \\ 52: 14 \\ \text { twenty-nine-and-a- } \\ \text { half }[1]-17: 9 \\ \text { twenty-nine-point }[1] \\ -14: 3 \\ \text { twenty-nine-point- } \\ \text { fifty }[1]-64: 23 \\ \text { twenty-nine-point- } \\ \text { five }[1]-76: 2 \\ \text { twenty-nine-point- } \\ \text { six-seven [1]-14:14 } \\ \text { twenty-nine-point- } \\ \text { something }[1]-14: 6 \\ \text { twenty-two-point- } \\ \text { nine-seven }[1]-15: 3 \\ \text { twice }[1]-53: 21 \\ \text { two }[40]-6: 24,10: 22, \\ 10: 27,11: 4,14: 25, \\ 15: 3,17: 21,18: 14, \\ 22: 12,22: 27,23: 7, \\ 29: 28,31: 9,31: 17, \\ 33: 6,35: 13,40: 23, \\ 43: 12,43: 28,44: 28, \\ 49: 17,50: 2,52: 10, \\ 58: 23,59: 11,60: 5, \\ 60: 8,60: 13,63: 16, \\ 65: 28,66: 4,67: 16, \\ 69: 13,69: 16,69: 26, \\ 71: 1,71: 3,71: 25, \\ 79: 10 \\ \text { two-point-five-six }[1] \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



# REGISTRATION TO ADDRESS THE BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION \# 2 <br> PLANNING \& ZONING <br> BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT <br> Monday, October 14, 2019, 4:00 p.m. <br> Central Annex Auditorium <br> Robertsdale, Alabama <br> <br> SPEAKING POLICY REQUIREMENTS 

 <br> <br> SPEAKING POLICY REQUIREMENTS}

1. All individuals wishing to address the Board of Adjustment must fill out a speaking request form.
2. Verbal comments and interruptions from the floor will not be allowed.
3. Each speaker will be limited to $\mathbf{5}$ minutes. For groups of individuals that wish to address the BOA on the same topic, they will be limited to $\mathbf{3}$ minutes per speaker. In cases where there are multiple speakers on the same topic, speakers are encouraged not to repeat the same information presented by previous speakers.
4. All comments must be directed to the Chairman.
5. Under no circumstances will personal attacks on Elected Officials, Appointed Officials, employees or other individuals be allowed or tolerated.

## I/We would like to address the Board of Adjustment on the following topic:

a.) V-190038 Troyke Property, 12059 County Road 1, Planning District 26
$\sqrt{\text { b.) V-190039 Montiel Family Investments LTD Property, } 24181 \text { Bay Shore Drive, }}$ Planning District 16
$\qquad$ c.) V-190040 Montiel Family Investments LTD Property, 24179 Bay Shore Drive, Planning District 16
$\qquad$ d.) Other
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# REGISTRATION TO ADDRESS THE BALDWIN COUNTY COMMISSION \# 2 PLANNING \& ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT Monday, October 14, 2019, 4:00 p.m. Central Annex Auditorium <br> Robertsdale, Alabama 

## SPEAKING POLICY REQUIREMENTS

1. All individuals wishing to address the Board of Adjustment must fill out a speaking request form.
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